Gross v. Rose Doc. 21

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Elijah E. Gross

v.

Civil No. 08-cv-517-JL

Mary Rose

## ORDER

This matter previously came to my attention when it was referred to me for preliminary review. On March 18, 2009, I issued a Report and Recommendation (document no. 18), recommending to the District Judge that this matter be dismissed as plaintiff had failed to state a claim for the denial of constitutionally adequate mental health care. My Report and Recommendation set out a full recitation of the facts alleged in the complaint as well as an explanation of the legal authority and reasoning relied upon in making the recommendation.

Gross now files a "Motion for Clarification to the Issues of the Complaint and Objection to Magistrate Report and Recommendations to Dismiss" (document nos. 19 & 20). I have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It appears that the pleading has been docketed twice, once as an objection to the Report and Recommendation (document no. 19) and once as a motion for clarification (document no. 20).

reviewed this document and I find that it does not contain any new facts or claims. All of the issues raised in Gross' motion/objection have been addressed in my Report and Recommendation, and nothing in the recent filing alters my recommendation regarding the disposition of this case.

SO ORDERED.

James R. Muirhead

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: April 7, 2009

cc: Elijah E. Gross, pro se