Vedrani v. Wrenn, et al. Doc. 33

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Mark Vedrani

v.

Civil No. 09-cv-320-JL

William Wrenn, et al.

ORDER

The petitioner/movant has not requested a certificate of appealability, or otherwise shown that reasonable jurists could debate whether the petition/motion should have been resolved in a different manner, that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further, or otherwise made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000).

SO ORDERED.

Joseph N. Laplante

Un¶ted States District Judge

Dated: September 14, 2011

cc: Sven D. Wiberg, Esq.

Elizabeth C. Woodcock, Esq.