

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Andy Martin

v.

Case No. 11-cv-129-PB

Jack Kimball, et al.

O R D E R

In an order denying the plaintiff leave to file without prejudice dated April 5, 2011, the court noted that should the plaintiff file a new motion for leave in the future, it must be accompanied by an in forma pauperis motion or a filing fee, the latter of which would be returned to him if leave to file was denied. (Doc. No. 2). A second application for leave to file was denied by endorsed order dated April 29, 2011, and judgment entered on April 30, 2011. (Doc. No. 8). As the plaintiff submitted the \$350 filing fee on March 21, 2011, the filing fee shall be returned to him as required by the court's order of April 5, 2011.

**SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel J. Lynch  
United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

cc: Andy Martin, pro se  
Bonnie S. Reed, Financial Administrator