Curtin v. USA Doc. 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Talbot Curtin

v.

Case No. 11-cv-564-PB

United States of America

ORDER

As the government's brief correctly explains, recent first circuit precedent makes clear that

the predicate offence on which the defendant's career offender determination was based - assault

and battery on a police officer - is a qualifying offense. See United States v. Dancy, 640 f3d 455 (

1st cir. 2011). Thus defendant's motion cannot succeed even if it is not time barred. I decline to

issue a certificate of appealability because, for the above stated reasons, the petitioner has not

made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

SO ORDERED.

January 27, 2012

/s/ Paul Barbadoro Paul Barbadoro

United States District Judge

cc: Talbot Curtin, Pro Se

Seth Aframe, Esq.