Riley v. USA Doc. 40

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

DANIEL RILEY,)
Petitioner)
v.) 1:12-CV-00047-GZS) 1:07-CR-00189-GZS
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 1.07-CK-00189-G2.
Respondent.)

ORDER AFFIRMING THE RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge filed with the Court on January 28, 2013, her Recommended Decision (ECF No. 37). Petitioner filed his Objection to the Recommended Decision (ECF No. 39) on February 14, 2013.

I have reviewed and considered the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision, together with the entire record; I have made a <u>de novo</u> determination of all matters adjudicated by the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision; and I concur with the recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge for the reasons set forth in her Recommended Decision, and determine that no further proceeding is necessary.

- 1. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **AFFIRMED**.
- 2. It is hereby **ORDERED** that Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Motion (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED WITH PREJUDICE**, and his Petition is **DISMISSED**.

3.	It is hereby ORDERED that no certificate of appealability shall issue in the event
	Petitioner files a notice of appeal because there is no substantial showing of the
	denial of a constitutional right within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

/s/George Z. Singal	
U.S. District Judge	

Dated this 25th day of February, 2013.