UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Civil Action No. 08-5579

RECEIVED

JAN 2 3 2010

U.s. was samue sunge

In Regard to the Matter of:

Bayside State Prison OPINION/REPORT Litigation

OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

LAURENCE ISOM

-vs-

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al, Defendants.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2009

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

```
Page 2
  1
  2
  3
  4
  5
                     Transcript of proceedings in the above
     matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
  6
 7
      Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
     Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
 8
 9
     United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
     Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 12:25 PM.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
                MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.
        Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing
20
21
                    251 South White Horse Pike
22
                   Audubon, New Jersey 08106
23
                           856-546-1100
24
25
```

Page 3 1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 4 LOUGHRY & LINDSAY, ESQUIRES 5 BY: JUSTIN T. LOUGHRY, ESQUIRE - and -6 BY: LAWRENCE D. LINDSAY 330 MARKET STREET 7 CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102 856-968-9201 8 ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS 9 10 ROSELLI, GRIEGEL, LOZIER & LAZZARO, PC 11 MARK ROSELLI, ESQUIRE - and -12 KENNETH W. LOZIER, ESQUIRE BY: 1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33 13 HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690 609-586-2257 14 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

- JUDGE BISSELL: I am reopening
- 2 proceedings in the action brought by Laurence Isom,
- 3 docket number 08-5579.
- 4 This opinion/report is being issued
- 5 pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference
- 6 to a Special Master and the Special Master's
- 7 Agreement and the guiding principles of law which
- 8 underlie this decision to be applied to the facts
- 9 upon which it is based as set forth in the jury
- 10 instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to
- 11 the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr.
- 12 Isom.
- As finalized after review under Local
- 14 Civil Rule 52.1, this transcript will constitute the
- 15 written report required under paragraph seven of the
- 16 Order of Reference to a Special Master.
- 17 Mr. Isom was housed in F Unit at
- 18 Bayside State Prison on July 30th, 1997. F Unit was
- 19 the unit to which Officer Baker was assigned. He was
- 20 stabbed in the interior of F Unit, staggered out the
- 21 door, fell outside the unit and eventually succumbed
- 22 to his injuries.
- Mr. Isom testified that he was
- 24 assaulted in various particulars on occasions
- 25 beginning with the extraction of the occupants of F

- 1 Unit to the gymnasium for the search of F Unit.
- 2 He described that while sitting in the
- 3 gym, he switched positions at which point the SOG
- 4 took him to the back of the gym, to the cage area
- 5 which, as we have come to learn, houses exercise or
- 6 weight equipment. And Mr. Isom also testified to
- 7 that.
- 8 He then testifies at page 16 of his
- 9 testimony on November 20th, 2008, to the following
- 10 beginning at line seven.
- 11 "Question: Okay. And you said they
- 12 sicced the dogs on you. Just tell us what happened?
- 13 What do the dogs do, for example? What happened with
- 14 you?
- 15 "Answer: Just snapped at my face and
- 16 scratched my legs with his paws. They didn't let him
- 17 get close enough to actually bite me, but close
- 18 enough that I would move back and then I would get
- 19 pushed forward every time I tried to get away from
- 20 the dog. The dog was --
- 21 "Question: I'm sorry?
- 22 "Answer: The dog was still coming with
- 23 his paws, scratching my legs.
- 24 "Question: But you did not get bitten?
- 25 "Answer: No, I didn't get bit.

- 1 He then adds a curious statement
- 2 gratuitously in his testimony beginning at page 31
- 3 going from lines 20 to 25.
- 4 "The witness: If I may, Mr. Loughry,
- 5 and if I may, Judge Bissell, I've come to understand
- 6 through the Abu Ghraib incident that when a dog is
- 7 taken by its handler and forced upon you in any sort
- 8 of way, under the Geneva Convention, it's considered
- 9 torture."
- In the first place, I find that his
- 11 description of the dog's activities upon him at the
- 12 back of the gym is, to be charitable, inaccurate.
- 13 There has been testimony throughout these proceedings
- 14 by the handlers of these dogs and the manner in which
- 15 they were handled. They are trained to attack only
- 16 on command, they are trained in the course of an
- 17 attack to bite the object of their attack and to
- 18 latch on and not let go as a means, frankly, of
- 19 apprehending the person involved or neutralizing him
- 20 if there is an element of danger posed by that
- 21 person. Otherwise, they are kept on leash and
- 22 restrained.
- I find that under no circumstances did
- 24 Mr. Isom testify accurately that the dogs were
- 25 allowed to scratch his legs while at the back of the

- 1 gym. They may have been there, the dog may have
- 2 barked close to him as a means, once again, of
- 3 intimidation and control of this inmate. But there
- 4 was no physical contact with him.
- 5 And with that reference to Abu Ghraib,
- 6 it would appear that Mr. Isom exposed his motive for
- 7 this testimony. Namely, that with that reminder,
- 8 this Special Master might conclude that the
- 9 employment of this dog, whether for intimidation or
- 10 with the scratching that ensued upon him, was an act
- 11 of torture and hence the imposition of cruel and
- 12 unusual punishment which is the gravamen of this
- 13 case. I find, however, that the testimony is untrue
- 14 and that conclusion is only enforced by the
- 15 motivation demonstrated by Mr. Isom's Abu Ghraib
- 16 comment.
- He testified to a second allegation of
- 18 assault after the events of his extraction, march to
- 19 the gym, stay in the gym and return, during which
- 20 there were no other allegations of assault in that
- 21 time frame. The question begins at page 16, line 24
- 22 as follows:
- 23 "Question: And now I want to go
- 24 forward in time another day or two. Did there come a
- 25 point when some SOG officer or officers came into

- 1 your cell in F Unit and had some interaction with
- 2 you?
- 3 "Answer: That's correct.
- 4 "Question: What --
- 5 "Answer: I was shackled to my bunk.
- 6 "Question: Now, what happened at that
- 7 time? First of all, how many officers or officer
- 8 came into your cell? One or two or three, how many?
- 9 "Answer: Three were outside of the
- 10 cell. One came in.
- 11 "Question: And this was -- now, do you
- 12 know what the special operations group was?
- 13 "Answer: Yes, I do. That is known as
- 14 SOG.
- 15 "Question: Were they dressed in the
- 16 same or different ways than the regular Bayside
- 17 Officers?
- 18 "Answer: They're all dressed
- 19 differently, they're dressed in black.
- 20 "Question: Were these SOG officers
- 21 that came to your cell, were they regular Bayside
- 22 Officers?
- "Answer: No, they were not.
- 24 "Question: Were they SOG officers?
- 25 "Answer: Yes, they were.

- 1 "Question: All right. One of them
- 2 came in and what did he do?
- 3 "Answer: He told me to sit on my bunk
- 4 and put shackles on my legs. He said, you didn't
- 5 comply. I said comply with what? He wouldn't give
- 6 me an example or tell me what it was. He just said
- 7 you didn't comply. Sit down and shut up. He put the
- 8 shackles on.
- 9 "Question: Had you been given any kind
- 10 of order or instructions that you think, as you look
- 11 back, that you did not obey in some fashion? Or do
- 12 you know what he was talking about?
- 13 "Answer: Not to my knowledge at all.
- 14 I don't have any idea to this day what he was talking
- 15 about.
- 16 "Question: Did you receive any kind of
- 17 a disciplinary charge that day from anyone at
- 18 Bayside?
- 19 "Answer: None at all.
- "Question: How long were you shackled
- 21 to the bed?
- 22 "Answer: A number of hours.
- "Question: And did you suffer any kind
- 24 of injury from that?
- 25 "Answer: Feet swollen, and they get

- 1 numb sometimes."
 2 That's his testimony on that event.
 3 Like the supposed incident of being
 4 scratched by the dog in the gym, Mr. Isom's
 5 recounting of these events in his cell also has
 6 inherent elements of incredibility.
 7 First, the SOG units were assigned
- First, the SOG units were assigned

 basically for specific duties during the course of

 the lockdown. They were involved in the extraction

 of the inmates en masse from various units in order

 that those units could be searched. They

 occasionally assisted with showers and phone calls

 when called in to do so and when those opportunities
- 14 resumed after the beginning of the lockdown.

15

16 individual extractions, usually to lockup, although

They occasionally were called for

- 17 that's a duty that they shared even during the
- 18 lockdown with the Bayside Response Team. But there
- 19 is no satisfactory explanation developed here, and
- 20 the plaintiff does have the burden of proof, as to
- 21 why a SOG unit would even have been in F Unit at this
- 22 time and on this occasion, SOGs would have been let
- 23 into his cell which we know requires a double locking
- 24 technique, one at the podium and one at the door by a
- 25 Bayside Officer, and why they would then shackle him

- 1 to his bunk within the confines of a secure cell.
- 2 First, I do not find this recounting of
- 3 the alleged event to have any indicia of credibility
- 4 or reality at all.
- 5 Secondly, if indeed the shackles were
- 6 placed upon his legs and even if they were tight to
- 7 the extent of leading to impact on his feet, I do not
- 8 find that this type of event, while bizarre and
- 9 unexplained, constitutes either cruel or unusual
- 10 punishment or the use of excessive force. At the
- 11 most, it's an unnecessary imposition of additional
- 12 security with a deminimis impact.
- To summarize this, first, Mr. Isom has
- 14 not established to the satisfaction of the Special
- 15 Master that he sustained his burden of proof by a
- 16 preponderance of the credible evidence that this
- 17 event occurred at all.
- But secondly, even if it did as he
- 19 described it, it would not constitute an imposition
- 20 of excessive force and/or punishment. Rather it is,
- 21 at most, the modest enhancing of the restraints
- 22 already in place upon Mr. Isom as a result of him
- 23 being incarcerated in the secure cell.
- Once again, at page 19, line six
- 25 running through page 20 line 14 Mr. Isom testifies

- 1 with regard to a random arrival, an intrusion by SOG
- 2 officers on F Unit targeting in this case him and his
- 3 bunk mate.
- 4 Beginning at line six on page 19.
- 5 "Question: And about how far into the
- 6 lockdown did that happen, do you know?
- 7 "Answer: A few days.
- 8 "Question: And what happened, did some
- 9 officers come in your cell?
- 10 "Answer: Yes, they did."
- And this, by the way, is on a different
- 12 occasion than the shackling that he had previously
- 13 described.
- 14 Back up a little bit.
- "Question: And what happened? Did
- some officers come in your cell?
- "Answer: Yes, they did.
- "Question: And where were you when
- 19 they came into your cell?
- "Answer: Under the bunk, where I was
- 21 ordered to go.
- 22 "Question: They ordered you to go
- 23 under the bed?
- 24 "Answer: Initially when he came to the
- 25 door, they said you, on the bottom bunk. Get the

- 1 fuck up under you. You in the top bunk, get down.
- 2 Get on your knees, face the window with your hands on
- 3 your head. After we complied, the door just slid
- 4 open and they came in, jabbed me under the bunk, said
- 5 you took too long. Slammed my cellie to the side of
- 6 the wall. And had me come out, hit me in the head.
- 7 "Question: Hit you where?
- 8 "Answer: Right in the back of my head,
- 9 left side.
- 10 "Question: What did they hit you with?
- "Answer: The baton.
- 12 "Question: Was there bleeding or a cut
- or anything like that? Did you see any blood?
- 14 "Answer: No. Thank God, no. Just a
- 15 lump. Just a lump and some pain.
- 16 "Question: And at the time that that
- 17 happened, was there one or more than one guard in
- 18 your cell?
- "Answer: More than one.
- "Question: Now, were these personnel,
- 21 were they SOG officers or were they regular Bayside
- 22 Officers?
- 23 "Answer: SOG officers."
- Once again, a totally unexplained
- 25 intrusion of SOG officers into a line of cells on F

- 1 Unit, completely inconsistent with the duties that
- 2 SOG was required to perform.
- Now, I have found in other cases tried
- 4 before me that there have been occasions when SOGs
- 5 have come in unannounced essentially for, I believe I
- 6 may have characterized them from time to time, as
- 7 recreational assaults on inmates. But this is not
- 8 one of those incidents. I find that the inherent
- 9 incredibility of Mr. Isom's testimony with regard to
- 10 the other alleged incidents, which he mentioned and
- 11 which I've described above, clouds the credibility of
- 12 his description of this alleged event in his cell as
- 13 well.
- 14 Furthermore, if there was an entry into
- 15 the cell, some of the description about making him on
- 16 the lower bunk go under his bunk and being prodded by
- 17 a stick if the officers were not satisfied that he
- 18 was far enough under his bunk or had moved promptly,
- 19 is consistent with that type of conduct involving
- 20 other inmates on occasions when there was a
- 21 reasonable explanation for SOG even to be in the
- 22 cells at all. However, such prodding would not
- 23 constitute the infliction of excessive force or cruel
- and unusual punishment as defined in the jury
- 25 instructions which govern this matter.

- 1 Maybe mindful of that, Mr. Isom on
- 2 continuing this part of the story, talks about him
- 3 being struck in the head, also with a night stick, by
- 4 the SOG officer upon his exiting from the space
- 5 underneath his bunk. I find once again this alleged
- 6 incident is a fabrication.
- 7 Mr. Isom testifies finally to a fourth
- 8 incident involving SOG officers relating to the
- 9 shower and I do find that it is reasonable to
- 10 conclude that SOG officers would have been brought in
- 11 to assist in the taking of showers to which inmates
- 12 during the lockdown were now being escorted, unlike
- 13 the circumstances when no lockdown was in place.
- 14 He describes how he was taken down to
- 15 the shower, and then the testimony picks up at page
- 16 21, line 18.
- "Question: All right. So you're
- 18 dragged down to the shower. Did you get to go into
- 19 the shower?
- 20 "Answer: Yes, I did.
- 21 "Question: And then what happened
- 22 after that?
- 23 "Answer: After taking a shower, I came
- 24 back out the door, I'm waiting to be escorted back,
- 25 and he said go ahead. But when I looked down the

- 1 hallway, there is a number of officers down the
- 2 hallway, so I looked back at him, I figured he's
- 3 going to walk me down. He said no, no, no. Go
- 4 ahead. Go ahead.
- 5 "Question: They walked you to the
- 6 shower, but you were not escorted back?
- 7 "Answer: No, I wasn't escorted back.
- 8 "Question: What happened on the way
- 9 back?
- 10 "Answer: On the way back the officers,
- 11 each and every one of them, took a swing at me. Some
- 12 caught me, some didn't. Because as they swung at me,
- 13 I started to run.
- 14 "Question: What were they swinging at
- 15 you with, their fists or clubs?
- 16 "Answer: Batons and feet.
- 17 "Question: Did anything make an impact
- 18 on you, did you get struck?
- 19 "Answer: Head, back, shoulders and
- 20 legs.
- "Question: Were you able to maintain
- 22 your footing and keep going?
- 23 "Answer: Yes, I was.
- 24 "Question: Did you check your body
- later to see whether you had any bruises?

- 1 "Answer: Yes, I did. Some bruises,
- 2 some swelling, nothing broken."
- Once again, I find this recounting of
- 4 this story not to be credible. Whether or not he was
- 5 escorted directly back to his cell by a SOG officer
- 6 after completion of the shower, it is inherently
- 7 incredible that, for an event such as a shower
- 8 detail, a gauntlet of SOG officers would be set up
- 9 along the hallway to inflict this type of punishment
- 10 on Mr. Isom as he made his way back to his cell.
- 11 First, this type of conduct would be
- 12 completely visible by inmates from other cells. I
- 13 find, frankly, that the SOG units would not run this
- 14 risk.
- 15 Secondly, the Bayside housing officers
- 16 certainly have a stake in the orderly running of the
- 17 units assigned to them and in minimizing incidents
- 18 that could prove to be provocative. Bayside Officers
- 19 had a significant level of control, even though
- 20 assisted by SOG, with regard to showering.
- 21 Particularly because it was Bayside Officers who
- 22 controlled the locking and unlocking of the cells in
- 23 question.
- 24 Finally, given what I have already
- 25 determined to be the fabrication by Mr. Isom of the

- 1 other incidents that allegedly occurred to him, I
- 2 find for this additional reason that his testimony
- 3 about being beaten while running a gauntlet of SOG
- 4 officers back to his cell after taking a shower has
- 5 not been supported by a preponderance of the credible
- 6 evidence.
- Accordingly, in all respects with
- 8 regard to the incidents about which he has testified,
- 9 both individually and collectively, Mr. Isom has not
- 10 maintained that burden of proof and has thus failed
- 11 to sustain his claims before me.
- 12 Finally, although not every item of
- evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report,
- 14 all evidence presented to the Special Master was
- 15 reviewed and considered. For the reasons set forth
- 16 above, I recommend in this report that the District
- 17 Court enter an order and judgment of no cause for
- 18 action with regard to Laurence Isom.
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and	
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New	
5	Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a	
6	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as	
7	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,	
8	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.	
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a	
10	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any	
11	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither	
12	a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,	
13	and that I am not financially interested in the	
14	action.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R.	
20	Notary Public, State of New Jersey	
21	My Commission Expires May 5, 2010 Certificate No. XIO857	
22	Date: December 15, 2009	
23		
24		
25		

	10:7 17:17	bottom 12:25	circumstances	anadibility 11.2
<u>A</u>	assist 15:11	broken 17:2	6:23 15:13	credibility 11:3
able 16:21	assisted 10:12	brought 4:2	Civil 1:2 4:14	credible 11:16
Abu 6:6 7:5,15	17:20	15:10	claims 18:11	i
accurate 19:6		bruises 16:25	k	17:4 18:5
accurately 6:24	attack 6:15,17		close 5:17,17 7:2	cruel 7:11 11:9
act 7:10	6:17	17:1	clouds 14:11	14:23
action 1:2 4:2	attorney 19:10	bunk 8:5 9:3	clubs 16:15	curious 6:1
18:18 19:11,14	19:12	11:1 12:3,20	collectively 18:9	cut 13:12
activities 6:11	ATTORNEYS	12:25 13:1,4	come 5:5 6:5	C.S.R 19:19
additional 11:11	3:8,14	14:16,16,18	7:24 12:9,16	D
18:2	Audubon 2:22	15:5	13:6 14:5	D 3:6
adds 6:1	В	burden 10:20	coming 5:22	
Agreement 4:7	back 5:4,18 6:12	11:15 18:10	command 6:16	danger 6:20
ahead 15:25	6:25 9:11	С	commencing	date 19:8,21
16:4,4		***************************************	2:10	day 7:24 9:14,17
al 1:9	12:14 13:8	C 3:2 19:1,1	comment 7:16	days 12:7
allegation 7:17	15:24,24 16:2	cage 5:4	Commission	December 1:15
allegations 4:11	16:6,7,9,10,19	called 10:13,15	19:20	19:21
7:20	17:5,10 18:4	calls 10:12	completely 14:1	decision 4:8
alleged 11:3	Baker 4:19	Camden 2:10	17:12	Defendants 1:10
14:10,12 15:5	barked 7:2	3:7	completion 17:6	3:14
allegedly 18:1	based 4:9	case 7:13 12:2	complied 13:3	defined 14:24
allowed 6:25	basically 10:8	cases 14:3	comply 9:5,5,7	deminimis 11:12
and/or 11:20	baton 13:11	caught 16:12	conclude 7:8	demonstrated
Answer 5:15,22	Batons 16:16	cause 18:17	15:10	7:15
5:25 8:3,5,9,13	Bayside 1:5 4:18	cell 8:1,8,10,21	conclusion 7:14	described 5:2
8:18,23,25 9:3	8:16,21 9:18	10:5,23 11:1	conduct 14:19	11:19 12:13
9:13,19,22,25	10:18,25 13:21	11:23 12:9,16	17:11	14:11
12:7,10,17,20	17:15,18,21	12:19 13:18	confines 11:1	describes 15:14
12:24 13:8,11	beaten 18:3	14:12,15 17:5	considered 6:8	description 6:11
13:14,19,23	bed 9:21 12:23	17:10 18:4	18:15	14:12,15
15:20,23 16:7	beginning 4:25	cellie 13:5	consistent 14:19	detail 17:8
16:10,16,19,23	5:10 6:2 10:14	cells 13:25 14:22	constitute 4:14	determined
17:1	12:4	17:12,22	11:19 14:23	17:25
appear 7:6	begins 7:21	certainly 17:16	constitutes 11:9	developed 10:19
applicable 4:11	believe 14:5	Certificate	contact 7:4	different 8:16
applied 4:8	Bissell 1:21 4:1	19:21	continuing 15:2	12:11
apprehending	6:5	Certified 2:6,20	control 7:3	differently 8:19
6:19	bit 5:25 12:14	19:4	17:19	directives 4:5
area 5:4	bite 5:17 6:17	certify 19:5,9	controlled 17:22	directly 17:5
arrival 12:1	bitten 5:24	characterized	Convention 6:8	disciplinary
assault 7:18,20	bizarre 11:8	14:6	correct 8:3	9:17
assaulted 4:24	black 8:19	charge 9:17	counsel 19:10,12	discussed 18:13
assaults 14:7	bleeding 13:12	charges 4:10	course 6:16 10:8	District 1:1,2 2:9
assigned 4:19	blood 13:13	charitable 6:12	Court 1:1 2:7,9	18:16
	body 16:24	check 16:24	2:20 18:17	docket 4:3

18:6,13,14 example 5:13	fists 16:15	guard 13:17	incident 6:6 10:3
	followin ~ 5.0	· · · · · · -	
	following 5:9	guiding 4:7	15:6,8
9:6	follows 7:22	gym 5:3,4 6:12	incidents 14:8
excessive 11:10	footing 16:22	7:1,19,19 10:4	14:10 17:17
11:20 14:23	force 11:10,20	gymnasium 5:1	18:1,8
exercise 5:5	14:23		inconsistent
exiting 15:4	forced 6:7		14:1
Expires 19:20	foregoing 19:5	1	incredibility
explanation	FORMAROLI	1	10:6 14:9
	2:19	1	incredible 17:7
	forth 4:9 18:15		indicia 11:3
extent 4:11 11:7		1	individual 10:16
extraction 4:25	ł	1	individually
	1		18:9
			inflict 17:9
10:16		1	infliction 14:23
		* *	inherent 10:6
		1 - ~	14:8
	li .		inherently 17:6
	FRIDAY 1:15	!	Initially 12:24
	fuck 13:1		injuries 4:22
	FURTHER 19:9	1	injury 9:24
	Furthermore		inmate 7:3
	14:14	I.	inmates 10:10
		1	14:7,20 15:11
			17:12
		i i	instructions
			4:10 9:10
i			14:25
	, .	1	interaction 8:1
		1	interested 19:13
		l i	interior 4:20
)		intimidation 7:3
0	0		7:9
			intrusion 12:1
•	'	housing 17:15	13:25
i i	´ l	***************************************	involved 6:19
•			10:9
	[involving 14:19
	0 0		15:8
· i	1		Isom 1:7 4:2,12
	0	- 1	4:17,23 5:6
		*	6:24 7:6 11:13
1			11:22,25 15:1
	i i		15:7 17:10,25
1/:11	group 8:12	11.43	18:9,18
	11:20 14:23 exercise 5:5 exiting 15:4 Expires 19:20 explanation 10:19 14:21 exposed 7:6 extent 4:11 11:7	11:20 14:23 exercise 5:5 exiting 15:4 Expires 19:20 explanation 10:19 14:21 exposed 7:6 extent 4:11 11:7 extraction 4:25 7:18 10:9 extractions 10:16 F F4:17,18,20,25 5:1 8:1 10:21 12:2 13:25 19:1 fabrication 15:6 17:25 face 5:15 13:2 facts 4:8 failed 18:10 far 12:5 14:18 fashion 9:11 FAUVER 1:9 feet 9:25 11:7 16:16 fell 4:21 figured 16:2 finalized 4:13 finally 15:7 17:24 18:12 financially 19:13 find 6:10,23 7:13 11:2,8 14:8 15:5,9 17:3,13 18:2 first 6:10 8:7 10:7 11:2,13 force 11:10,20 14:23 foreed 6:7 foregoing 19:5 FORMAROLI 2:19 forth 4:9 18:15 19:8 forward 5:19 7:24 found 14:3 fourth 15:7 frame 7:21 frankly 6:18 17:13 FRIDAY 1:15 fuck 13:1 FURTHER 19:9 Furthermore 14:14 Gauntlet 17:8 18:3 Geneva 6:8 Gerry 2:9 Ghraib 6:6 7:5 7:15 give 9:5 given 9:9 17:24 go 6:18 7:23 12:21,22 14:16 15:18,25 16:3 16:4 God 13:14 going 6:3 16:3 16:22 gravamen 7:12 GRIEGEL 3:10	Tit Tit

Isom's 7:15 10:4	line 5:10 7:21	mindful 15:1	16:1,10 17:8	PLAINTIFFS
14:9	11:24,25 12:4	minimizing	17:15,18,21	3:8
issued 4:4	13:25 15:16	17:17	18:4	Plaza 2:9
item 18:12	lines 6:3	modest 11:21	Okay 5:11	PM 2:10
1tem 10.12	Litigation 1:6	motivation 7:15	once 7:2 11:24	podium 10:24
J	little 12:14	motive 7:6	13:24 15:5	point 5:3 7:25
jabbed 13:4	i .	mouve 7:0 move 5:18	17:3	1 -
Jersey 1:2 2:8,10	Local 4:13			posed 6:20
2:22 3:7,13	lockdown 10:9	moved 14:18	open 13:4	positions 5:3
19:5,20	10:14,18 12:6	N	operations 8:12	preponderance
JOHN 1:21	15:12,13	N 3:2	opinion/report 1:5 4:4 18:13	11:16 18:5
Judge 4:1 6:5	locking 10:23	neither 19:9,11		presented 18:14
judgment 18:17	17:22	neutralizing	opportunities	previously 12:12
July 4:18	lockup 10:16	6:19	10:13	principles 4:7
jury 4:9,10	long 9:20 13:5	New 1:2 2:8,10	order 4:5,16	Prison 1:5 4:18
14:24	look 9:10	2:22 3:7,13	9:10 10:10	proceedings 2:5
JUSTIN 3:5	looked 15:25	19:4,20	18:17	4:2 6:13
JUSTIN 3.3	16:2	1	ordered 12:21	prodded 14:16
K	Loughry 3:4,5	night 15:3 Notary 2:8 19:3	12:22	prodding 14:22
keep 16:22	6:4	19:20	orderly 17:16	promptly 14:18
KENNETH 3:12	lower 14:16	November 5:9	outside 4:21 8:9	proof 10:20
kept 6:21	LOZIER 3:10	numb 10:1	P	11:15 18:10
kind 9:9,16,23	3:12	number 2:7 4:3	P 3:2,2	prove 17:18
knees 13:2	lump 13:15,15	9:22 16:1	page 5:8 6:2	provocative
know 8:12 9:12	M	9.22 10.1		17:18
10:23 12:6		0	7:21 11:24,25 12:4 15:15	Public 2:8 19:3
knowledge 9:13	maintain 16:21	O 2:6 19:3,19	į.	19:20
known 8:13	maintained	obey 9:11	pain 13:15	punishment
KHUWH 0.13	18:10	object 6:17	paragraph 4:15	7:12 11:10,20
L	making 14:15	occasion 10:22	part 15:2	14:24 17:9
latch 6:18	manner 6:14	12:12	Particularly 17:21	pursuant 4:5
Laurence 1:7	march 7:18	occasionally	· ·	pushed 5:19
4:2 18:18	MARK 3:11	10:12,15	particulars 4:24	put 9:4,7
law 4:7	MARKET 3:6	occasions 4:24	parties 19:11	O
LAWRENCE	masse 10:10	14:4,20	paws 5:16,23	
3:6	Master 1:6,21	occupants 4:25	PC 3:10	question 5:11,21
LAZZARO 3:10	4:6,16 7:8	occurred 11:17	perform 14:2	5:24 7:21,23
leading 11:7	11:15 18:14	18:1	person 6:19,21	8:4,6,11,15,20
learn 5:5	Master's 4:6	officer 4:19 7:25	personnel 13:20	8:24 9:1,9,16
leash 6:21	Mastroianni 2:6	8:7 10:25 15:4	phone 10:12	9:20,23 12:5,8
left 13:9	2:19 19:3,19	17:5	physical 7:4	12:15,18,22
legs 5:16,23 6:25	mate 12:3	officers 7:25 8:7	picks 15:15 Pike 2:21	13:7,10,12,16
9:4 11:6 16:20	matter 1:4 2:6	8:17,20,22,24		13:20 15:17,21
level 17:19	14:25	12:2,9,16	place 6:10 11:22	16:5,8,14,17
license 2:7	means 6:18 7:2	13:21,22,23,25	15:13 19:8	16:21,24 17:23
LINDSAY 3:4,6	Mejias 4:10 mentioned 14:10	13.21,22,23,23	placed 11:6 plaintiff 10:20	R
		⊶ ./	· ** ** ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	11

R 3:2 19:1	3:11	sicced 5:12	suffer 9:23	torture 6:9 7:11
random 12:1	Rule 4:14	side 13:5,9	summarize	totally 13:24
reality 11:4	run 16:13 17:13	significant 17:19	11:13	trained 6:15,16
reason 18:2	running 11:25	sit 9:3,7	supported 18:5	transcript 2:5
reasonable	17:16 18:3	sitting 5:2	supposed 10:3	4:14 19:6
14:21 15:9		six 11:24 12:4	sustain 18:11	tried 5:19 14:3
reasons 18:15	S	Slammed 13:5	sustained 11:15	true 19:6
receive 9:16	S 3:2	slid 13:3	swelling 17:2	two 7:24 8:8
recommend	satisfaction	snapped 5:15	swing 16:11	type 11:8 14:19
18:16	11:14	SOG 5:3 7:25	swinging 16:14	17:9,11
recounting 10:5	satisfactory	8:14,20,24	switched 5:3	U
11:2 17:3	10:19	10:7,21 12:1	swollen 9:25	unannounced
recreational	satisfied 14:17	13:21,23,25	swung 16:12	14:5
14:7	scratch 6:25	14:2,21 15:4,8	T	underlie 4:8
reference 4:5,16	scratched 5:16	15:10 17:5,8	T 3:5 19:1,1	underne 4:8 underneath 15:5
7:5		17:13,20 18:3	taken 2:6 6:7	understand 6:5
regard 1:4 12:1	scratching 5:23	SOGs 10:22	15:14 19:7	unexplained
14:9 17:20	search 5:1	14:4	talking 9:12,14	11:9 13:24
18:8,18	search 5:1	sorry 5:21	talking 9:12,14	unit 4:17,18,19
regular 8:16,21	searched 10:11	sort 6:7	targeting 12:2	4:20,21 5:1,1
13:21	second 7:17 secondly 11:5,18	South 2:21	Team 10:18	8:1 10:21,21
relating 15:8	17:15	space 15:4	technique 10:24	12:2 14:1
relative 19:10,12	secure 11:1,23	special 1:6,21	tell 5:12 9:6	United 1:1 2:9
reminder 7:7	security 11:12	4:6,6,16 7:8	testified 4:23 5:6	units 10:7,10,11
reopening 4:1	see 13:13 16:25	8:12 11:14	7:17 18:8	17:13,17
report 4:15	set 4:9 17:8	18:14	testifies 5:8	unlocking 17:22
18:16	18:15 19:8	specific 10:8	11:25 15:7	unnecessary
Reporter 2:7	seven 4:15 5:10	SQUARE 3:13 stabbed 4:20	testify 6:24	11:11
19:4	shackle 10:25		testimony 5:9	untrue 7:13
Reporting 2:20	shackled 8:5	staggered 4:20 stake 17:16	6:2,13 7:7,13	unusual 7:12
required 4:15	9:20	started 16:13	10:2 14:9	11:9 14:24
14:2	shackles 9:4,8	State 1:5 2:8	15:15 18:2	use 11:10
requires 10:23 respects 18:7	11:5	3:12 4:18 19:4	19:6	usually 10:16
Response 10:18	shackling 12:12	19:20	Thank 13:14	
restrained 6:22	shared 10:17	statement 6:1	Theresa 2:6 19:3	V
restraints 11:21	Shorthand 19:4	Statement 0.1 States 1:1 2:9	19:19	various 4:24
result 11:22	shoulders 16:19	stay 7:19	think 9:10	10:10
resumed 10:14	shower 15:9,15	stay 7.19 stenographica	three 8:8,9	Videoconfere
return 7:19	15:18,19,23	19:7	tight 11:6	2:20
review 4:13	16:6 17:6,7	stick 14:17 15:3	time 5:19 7:21	visible 17:12
reviewed 18:15	18:4	story 15:2 17:4	7:24 8:7 10:22	vs 1:8
right 9:1 13:8	showering 17:20	STREET 3:6	13:16 14:6,6	***
15:17	showers 10:12	struck 15:3	19:7	W
risk 17:14	15:11	16:18	told 9:3	W 1:21 3:12
1				1 A : 1 A . (1) A
ROSELLI 3:10	shut 9:7	succumbed 4:21	top 13:1	waiting 15:24

<u> </u>			
walk 16:3	251 2:21		
walked 16:5	231 2.21		
į.	3		
Walker 4:10	30th 4:18	-	
wall 13:6	30X100085700		
want 7:23	1		
wasn't 16:7	2:7		
way 6:8 12:11	31 6:2		
16:8,10 17:10	33 3:12		
ways 8:16	330 3:6		
weight 5:6		-	
White 2:21	5		
WILLIAM 1:9	5 19:20		
window 13:2	52.1 4:14		
witness 6:4			
wouldn't 9:5	6		
written 4:15	609-586-2257		
	3:13		
X	0		
XIO857 19:21	8		
	856-546-1100		
0	2:23		
08-5579 1:2 4:3	856-968-9201		
08102 2:10 3:7	3:7		
08106 2:22			
08690 3:13			
00070 3.13			
1			
11 1:15			
12:25 2:10			
1337 3:12			
14 11:25			
15 19:21			
16 5:8 7:21			
18 15:16			
19 11:24 12:4			
1997 4:18			
2			
200021122			
20 6:3 11:25			and the second s
20th 5:9			
2008 5:9			
2009 1:15 19:21			
2010 19:20	:		
21 15:16			
24 7:21			
25 6:3			
