DAVIS v. TAYLOR et al Doc. 4

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

REGINAL LEE DAVIS,

:

Plaintiff,

Civ. No. 18-15247 (NLH) (KMW)

:

v.

OPINION

WARDEN KAREN TAYLOR, et al., :

:

Defendants.

.

APPEARANCE:

Reginal Lee Davis, No. 4331835

Camden County Correctional Facility

330 Federal Street

Camden, NJ 08102

Plaintiff Pro se

## HILLMAN, District Judge

Plaintiff Reginal Lee Davis, an inmate presently incarcerated at the Camden County Correctional Facility in Camden, New Jersey, seeks to bring this civil action in forma pauperis, without prepayment of fees or security, asserting a claim pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See ECF Nos. 1 (Complaint), 1-1 (IFP application); 4 (revised IFP application).

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 54.3, the Clerk shall not be required to enter any suit, file any paper, issue any process, or render any other service for which a fee is prescribed, unless the fee is paid in advance. Under certain circumstances,

however, this Court may permit an indigent plaintiff to proceed in forma pauperis.

The entire fee to be paid in advance of filing a civil complaint is \$400. That fee includes a filing fee of \$350 plus an administrative fee of \$50, for a total of \$400. A prisoner who is granted in forma pauperis status will, instead, be assessed a filing fee of \$350 and will not be responsible for the \$50 administrative fee. A prisoner who is denied in forma pauperis status must pay the full \$400, including the \$350 filing fee and the \$50 administrative fee, before the complaint will be filed.

establishes certain financial requirements for prisoners who are attempting to bring a civil action in forma pauperis. Under § 1915, a prisoner seeking to bring a civil action in forma pauperis under § pauperis must submit an affidavit, including a statement of all assets and liabilities, which states that the prisoner is unable to pay the fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). The prisoner also must submit a certified copy of his inmate trust fund account statement(s) for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). The prisoner must obtain this certified statement from the appropriate official of each correctional facility at which he was or is confined during such six-month period. Id.

If the prisoner is granted in forma pauperis status, the prisoner must pay the full amount of the filing fee, in installments, as follows. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). In each month that the amount in the prisoner's account exceeds \$10.00, until the filing fee is paid, the agency having custody of the prisoner shall assess, deduct from the prisoner's account, and forward to the Clerk of the Court an installment payment equal to 20% of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

Plaintiff may not have known when he submitted his

Complaint that he must pay the filing fee, and that even if the

full filing fee, or any part of it, has been paid, the Court

must dismiss the case if it finds that the action: (1) is

frivolous or malicious; (2) fails to state a claim upon which

relief may be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief against a

defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §

1915(e)(2)(B) (in forma pauperis actions). See also 28 U.S.C. §

1915A (dismissal of actions in which prisoner seeks redress from

a governmental defendant). If the Court dismisses the case for

any of these reasons, § 1915 does not suspend installment

payments of the filing fee or permit the prisoner to get back

the filing fee, or any part of it, that has already been paid.

If the prisoner has, on three or more prior occasions while incarcerated, brought in federal court an action or appeal that

was dismissed on the grounds that it was frivolous or malicious, or that it failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, he cannot bring another action in forma pauperis unless he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

In this action, Plaintiff seeks to proceed <u>in forma</u>

<u>pauperis</u>. <u>See ECF No. 1-1 (IFP application)</u>. Plaintiff

initially submitted an application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>

with the Complaint, but he did not include the certified account

statement from the six months immediately preceding the filing

of the complaint, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). The

Court administratively terminated the action and directed the

Plaintiff to provide a certified account statement that is from

the immediately preceding six months.

Plaintiff has now filed a revised application to proceed in forma pauperis. ECF No. 4. In it, Plaintiff includes an account statement dated October 13, 2018. Id. at 7. The separate account certification, however, is dated August 24, 2018. Id. at 5. The dates of the documents make clear that the August certification could not be for the October account statement. As such, Plaintiff has failed to submit a certified account statement as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). If Plaintiff would like to proceed in forma pauperis, he must submit an account statement that has been certified by the

appropriate prison official. Plaintiff may not reuse an old certification for a new account statement.

## CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Clerk of the Court will be ordered to administratively terminate this action, without filing the Complaint or assessing a filing fee. Plaintiff will be granted leave to apply to re-open within thirty (30) days.

An appropriate Order follows.

Dated: December 7, 2018
At Camden, New Jersey

s/ Noel L. Hillman
NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S.D.J.

¹ Such an administrative termination is not a "dismissal" for purposes of the statute of limitations, and if the case is reopened pursuant to the terms of the accompanying Order, it is not subject to the statute of limitations time bar if it was originally submitted timely. See Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988) (prisoner mailbox rule); Papotto v. Hartford Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 731 F.3d 265, 275-76 (3d Cir. 2013) (collecting cases and explaining that a District Court retains jurisdiction over, and can re-open, administratively closed cases).