

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

when an action is filed challenging the constitutionality of the apportionment of congressional districts or the apportionment of any statewide legislative body.” 28 U.S.C. § 2284. Azubuko does not challenge the apportionment of any districts and does not specify which, if any, Acts of Congress he believes entitles him to a three-judge court. Because Azubuko has not demonstrated that any Act of Congress requires convening a three-judge court in cases such as this, his motion for a three-judge court is denied. See Hamilton v. Mengel, 629 F. Supp. 1110, 1112 (D. Utah 1986).

It is, on this 31st day of May,

ORDERED that plaintiff’s Motion for a Three-Judge Court is DENIED.

s/ William H. Walls

United States Senior District Judge