UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

THE LAUTENBERG FOUNDATION, JOSHUA S. LAUTENBERG and ELLEN LAUTENBERG,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PETER MADOFF,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 09-00816 (SRC) (MCA)

Document electronically filed.

PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS NOT IN DISPUTE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO COUNT THREE OF PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP

1300 Mt. Kemble Avenue P.O. Box 2075 Morristown, New Jersey 07962-2075 (973) 993-8100

GIBBONS P.C.

One Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 596-4500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

#1499878 v2 104328-50061

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
A.	The Parties	1
B.	Madoff's Employment And Securities Background	2
C.	Facts Admitted By BMIS In Its SEC Filing As An Investment Advisor	2
D.	Bernard Madoff Confesses To The BMIS Ponzi Scheme And Violations Of Federal Securities Law	3
E.	BMIS' Securities Violations As Found By The Court At His Sentencing	4
F.	Red Flags About BMIS' Business Practices That Were Reported In 2001 By Reputable Financial Periodicals	4
G.	Facts Learned From SEC Investigations And Reports About Peter Madoff's Culpable Participation In The Ponzi Scheme.	7
H.	Facts Learned From The Markopolos Complaint	12
I.	Exorbitant Profits Received By Peter Madoff From His Personal BMIS Accounts.	13
J.	Madoff Invokes the Fifth Amendment On A Blanket Basis At His Deposition	13
K.	Plaintiffs' Losses As Victims Of the BMIS Ponzi Scheme	30

Plaintiffs The Lautenberg Foundation, Joshua S. Lautenberg and Ellen Lautenberg ("Plaintiffs") in support of their motion for summary judgment against Defendant Peter Madoff ("Madoff") with respect to Count Three of Plaintiffs' Complaint submit this statement of material facts in accordance with Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 56.1 of the United States District Court District of New Jersey Local Rules of Civil Procedure:

A. The Parties

- 1. The Lautenberg Foundation (the "Foundation") is a charitable foundation having its principal place of business in Cliffside Park, New Jersey. The Foundation is a private foundation, and is a citizen of the State of New Jersey. The Foundation contributes regularly to such charitable and non-profit institutions as museums, performing art centers, universities, Jewish organizations, Catholic Relief Services, medical research, hospitals, libraries, environmental organizations, public radio, NAACP, United Negro College Fund, Salvation Army and United Way. The Foundation is a plaintiff in this proceeding and it had an investment account at Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BMIS"). *See* Declaration of Eleanor Rigolosi, dated March 10, 2010, ("Rigolosi Dec."), submitted herewith at ¶1-2 and Declaration of Ronald J. Riccio, dated March 12, 2010 ("Riccio Dec."), submitted herewith, Exhibit A.
- 2. Joshua S. Lautenberg is a plaintiff in this action and had an investment account at BMIS. *See* Declaration of Joshua S. Lautenberg dated March 8, 2010, ("Joshua S. Lautenberg Dec."), submitted herewith at ¶¶1-2.
- 3. Ellen Lautenberg is a plaintiff in this action and had an investment account at BMIS. *See* Declaration of Ellen Lautenberg dated March 8, 2010, ("Ellen Lautenberg Dec."), submitted herewith at ¶¶1-2.
 - 4. Peter Madoff ("Madoff") is the defendant in this action.

B. Madoff's Employment And Securities Background.

5. Madoff worked at BMIS (or its predecessor) from approximately June 1969 until December 2008. *See* Riccio Dec., Exhibit B at ¶11. Madoff is the brother of Bernard L. Madoff. *Id.* BMIS had offices on the 17th-19th floors of the building located at 885 Third Avenue, New York, NY. *Id.* at ¶7. At various times, Madoff was a senior managing director and Chief Compliance Officer at BMIS. *Id.* at ¶14. In addition to being an attorney, Madoff formerly served as vice chairman of the NASD, a member of its board of governors and chairman of its New York region. *Id.* at ¶15. Madoff was also a member of the NASDAQ stock market board of governors, its executive committee and chairman of its trading committee. *Id.* In addition, Madoff is past president of the Securities Traders Association of New York, a member of the board of directors of the Depository Trust and Clearing Corp, and a member of the board of the Securities Industry Association. *Id.*

C. Facts Admitted By BMIS In Its SEC Filing As An Investment Advisor.

- 6. Madoff admitted that BMIS filed on or about January 7, 2008, with the SEC a Uniform Application for Investment Adviser Registration ("Form ADV"). *Id.* at ¶11; *see also* Riccio Dec., Exhibit D. The Form ADV contains the following information:
 - (a) there are only one to five employees at BMIS engaged in an investment advisory function. *See* Riccio Dec., Exhibit D at p. 7.
 - (b) BMIS's compensation arrangement for investment advisory services is only on a commissions basis and not, for example, as a percentage of assets under management. *Id.* at p. 8.
 - (c) BMIS held over \$1.7 billion under management on a fully discretionary basis. *Id*.
 - (d) BMIS provides portfolio management for individual and/or small businesses as well as for businesses or institutional clients. *Id*.

2

In BMIS' sale literature, Madoff is identified as "the" senior managing director. Riccio Dec., Exhibit C at 1.

- (e) BMIS had custody of cash or bank accounts and securities of its advisory clients. *Id.* at p. 13.
- (f) Madoff was Chief Compliance Officer and the Director of Trading at BMIS since June 1969. *Id* at p. 20.
- (g) Bernard Madoff and Madoff are the only two controlling persons of BMIS. *Id.* (designating Bernard Madoff and Madoff as controlling persons); *see also id.* at pp. 21 and 23 (no further designation of any other controlling person).

D. Bernard Madoff Confesses To The BMIS Ponzi Scheme And Violations Of Federal Securities Law.

- December 11, 2008, [he] operated a Ponzi scheme through the investment advisory side of [BMIS]." Riccio Dec., Exhibit E at p. 23. Bernard Madoff explained that he "represented to clients and prospective clients who wished to open investment advisory and individual trading accounts with [him] that [he] would invest their money in shares of common stock, options, and other securities of large well-known corporations, and upon request, would return to them their profits and principal." *Id.* at p. 24. Despite such representation, during an approximate twenty year span (*id.* at p. 25), the funds thought by the investors to be invested in securities were "never invested" but were merely deposited in a bank account in Chase Manhattan Bank. *Id.* at p. 24. Moreover, Bernard Madoff admitted that when BMIS "clients wished to receive the profits they believe they had earned . . . [he] used the money in the Chase Manhattan bank account that belonged to them or other clients to pay the requested funds." *Id.* The victims of BMIS' Ponzi scheme included "individuals, charitable organizations, trusts, pension funds, and hedge funds." *Id.*
- 8. Bernard Madoff admitted that the previously famous, now infamous, "split strike conversion strategy" that he said was the reason for his purported success was a complete sham

and that he "never made those investments." *Id.* at pp. 25-26. Bernard Madoff further admitted that the SEC had investigated the investment advisory business of BMIS in 2006 and that he testified falsely under oath to the SEC advising them that he executed trades of common stock, purchased and sold equities in European markets, and executed options contracts, all on behalf of the investment advisory clients when in fact no such transactions ever took place. *See id.* at pp. 26-27.

9. In an attempt to hide the fact that BMIS never executed any trades whatsoever on behalf of the investment advisory clients of BMIS, Bernard Madoff admitted that clients received statements and confirmations that were totally false and reflected fictional, bogus transactions. In an attempt to further conceal the fraud, BMIS filed false and misleading certified annual reports and financial statements with the SEC. *See id.* at pp. 27-28.

E. <u>BMIS' Securities Violations As Found By The Court At His Sentencing.</u>

10. When Bernard Madoff was sentenced on June 29, 2009 to 150 years in prison, Judge Chin found that the "breach of trust was massive." Riccio Dec., Exhibit F at p. 44. Specifically, Judge Chin found that "[i]nvestors – individuals, charities, pension funds, institutional clients – were repeatedly lied to, as they were told their moneys would be invested in stocks when they were not." *Id.* The Court further found that BMIS clients were sent millions of pages of account statements confirming trades that never existed and that Bernard Madoff repeatedly lied to the SEC. *Id.*

F. Red Flags About BMIS' Business Practices That Were Reported In 2001 By Reputable Financial Periodicals.

11. Barron's Article

a. While Bernard Madoff only admitted to the fact that the investment advisory business of BMIS was a multi-billion dollar Ponzi scheme in December 2008 (Riccio

Dec., Exhibit G at p. 4), there had been a number of news articles published in 2001 raising red flags regarding the business practices of BMIS. For example, the financial periodical, Barron's, published an article on May 27, 2001 entitled "Don't Ask, Don't Tell: Bernie Madoff is so secretive, he even asks his investors to keep mum." Riccio Dec., Exhibit H.

- b. The Barron's May 2001 article identified the following red flags about BMIS:
 - i. It manages more than \$6 billion for wealthy individuals, rendering it one of the five largest hedge funds. *Id.* at p. 1.
 - ii. It has "produced compound average annual returns of 15% or more for more than a decade. Remarkably, some of the larger billion-dollar Madoff-run funds have never had a down year." *Id*.
 - iii. "When Barron's asked [Bernard L.] Madoff how he accomplishes this, he says, 'It's a proprietary strategy. I can't go into it in great detail.' Nor were the firms that market Madoff's funds forthcoming." *Id.*
 - iv. A former BMIS investor stated that "Anybody who's a seasoned hedge-fund investor knows the 'split strike conversion' [strategy described in a hedge fund offering memorandum of BMIS] is not the whole story." *Id.* at p. 2.
 - v. Three options strategists for major investment banks told Barron's "they couldn't understand how [Bernard L.] Madoff churns out such numbers using this strategy." *Id.*
 - vi. Some on Wall Street "remain skeptical about how [Bernard] Madoff achieves such double-digit returns using" the split strike conversion strategy. *Id*.
 - vii. BMIS charges no fees for its money management services and only earns commissions on the trades. *Id*.
 - viii. BMIS investors "don't understand" how BMIS performs consistently well. *Id*.
 - ix. Bernard Madoff "requests that his investors not reveal that he runs their money." *Id.*
 - x. One investment manager stated that Bernard Madoff told him that when you invest with him, you should never reveal that publicly

and that when Bernard Madoff could not explain to his satisfaction "how they were up or down in a particular period," he pulled his money out. *Id*.

12. MAR/Hedge Article

- a. In May 2001, an article by Michael Ocrant in MAR/Hedge (the "MAR/Hedge Article"), a widely circulated semi-monthly financial newsletter, revealed similar red flags regarding the operations of BMIS. Riccio Dec., Exhibit I.
 - i. For example, the MAR/Hedge Article disclosed the following:
 - ii. BMIS, with its \$6-7 billion in assets under management is "at or near the top" of any well-known database [for hedge funds] defined by assets. *Id.* at p. 1.
 - iii. "Most of those who are aware of [BMIS'] status in the hedge fund world are baffled by the way the firm has obtained such consistent, nonvolatile returns month after month and year after year." *Id.*
 - iv. "[BMIS] has reported positive returns for the last 11-plus years in assets managed on behalf of the feeder fund known as Fairfield Sentry." *Id.*
 - v. "Those who question the consistency of the returns . . . include current and former traders, other money managers, consultants, quantitative analysts and fund-of-funds executives, many of whom are familiar with the so-called split-strike conversion strategy used to manage the assets." *Id.*
 - vi. These individuals "noted that others who use or have used the strategy . . . are known to have had nowhere near the same degree of success." *Id*.
 - vii. "The best known entity using a similar strategy, a publicly traded mutual fund dating from 1978 called Gateway, has experienced far greater volatility and lower returns during the same period." *Id.* at p. 2.
 - viii. "Skeptics who express a mixture of amazement, fascination and curiosity about the program wonder, first, about the relative complete lack of volatility in the reported monthly returns." *Id.*
 - ix. "Experts ask why no one has been able to duplicate similar returns using the strategy. . . [and] why [BMIS] is willing to earn commissions off the trades but not set up a separate asset

- management division to offer hedge funds directly to investors and keep all the incentive fees for itself." *Id*.
- x. In explaining the success of BMIS, Bernard Madoff in an interview with MAR/Hedge "pointed to long experience, excellent technology that provides superb and low-cost execution capabilities, good proprietary stock and options pricing models, well established infrastructure, market making ability and market intelligence derived from the massive amount of order flow it handles each day." *Id.* at p. 3.
- xi. "The strategy and trading, [Bernard Madoff] says, are done mostly by signals from a proprietary 'black box' system that allows for human intervention to take into account the 'gut feel' of the firm's professionals. 'I don't want to get on an airplane without a pilot in the seat,' says [Bernard] Madoff. 'I only trust the autopilot so much." *Id.* at pp. 3-4.
- xii. "As for the specifics of how the firm manages risk and limits the market impact of moving so much capital in and out of positions, [Bernard] Madoff responds first by saying, 'I'm not interested in educating the world on our strategy, and I won't get into the nuances of how we manage risk." *Id.* at p. 4.
- xiii. "[Bernard] Madoff, who believes that he deserves 'some credibility as a trader for 40 years,' says: 'the strategy is the strategy and the returns are the returns.' He suggests that those who believe there is something more to it and are seeking an answer beyond that are wasting their time." *Id.* at p. 5.

G. Facts Learned From SEC Investigations And Reports About Peter Madoff's Culpable Participation In The Ponzi Scheme.

13. On August 31, 2009, the SEC Office of Inspector General issued a Report (the "OIG Report") regarding the failure of the SEC to uncover the BMIS Ponzi scheme. *See* Riccio Dec., Exhibit J. The OIG Report found that the SEC received "detailed and substantive complaints over the years to warrant a thorough and comprehensive examination and/or investigation of Bernard Madoff and BMIS for operating a Ponzi scheme." *Id.* at p. 20-21. Between June 1992 and the date in December 2008 when Bernard Madoff confessed, the SEC reported six substantive complaints (one of which came in three different versions from 2000-

2005 from Harry Markopolos and will be discussed in further detail below) that raised significant red flags concerning BMIS operations. *Id.* at p. 22.

14. The following is a summary taken from the OIG Report of the six complaints sent to the SEC that raised red flags regarding BMIS:

The first complaint, brought to the SEC's attention in 1992, related to allegations that an unregistered investment company was offering "100%" safe investments with high and extremely consistent rates of return over significant periods of time to "special" customers. The SEC actually suspected the investment company was operating a Ponzi scheme and learned in their investigation that all of the investments were placed entirely through [Bernard] Madoff and consistent returns were claimed to have been achieved for numerous years without a single loss.

The second complaint was very specific and different versions were provided to the SEC in May 2000, March 2001 and October 2005. The complaint submitted in 2005 was entitled "The World's Largest Hedge Fund is a Fraud" and detailed approximately 30 red flags indicating that [Bernard] Madoff was operating a Ponzi scheme, a scenario it described as "highly likely." The red flags included the impossibility of [Bernard] Madoff's returns, particularly the consistency of those returns and the unrealistic volume of options [Bernard] Madoff represented to have traded.

In May 2003, the SEC received a third complaint from a respected Hedge Fund Manager identifying numerous concerns about [Bernard] Madoff's strategy and purported returns, questioning whether [Bernard] Madoff was actually trading options in the volume he claimed, noting that [Bernard] Madoff's strategy and purported returns were not duplicable by anyone else, and stating [Bernard] Madoff's strategy had no correlation to the overall equity markets in over 10 years. According to an SEC manager, the Hedge Fund Manager's complaint laid out issues that were "indicia of a Ponzi scheme."

The fourth complaint was part of a series of internal e-mails of another registrant that the SEC discovered in April 2004. The e-mails described the red flags that a registrant's employees had identified while performing due diligence on their own [Bernard] Madoff investment using publicly-available information. The red flags identified included [Bernard] Madoff's incredible and highly unusual fills for equity trades, his misrepresentation of his options trading and his unusually consistent, non-volatile returns over several years. One of the internal e-mails provided a step-by-step analysis of why [Bernard] Madoff must be misrepresenting his options trading. The e-mail clearly explained that [Bernard] Madoff could not be trading on an options exchange because of insufficient volume and could not be trading options over-the-counter because it was inconceivable that he

could find a counterparty for the trading. The SEC examiners who initially discovered the e-mails viewed them as indicating "some suspicion as to whether [Bernard] Madoff is trading at all."

The fifth complaint was received by the SEC in October 2005 from an anonymous informant and stated, "I know that Madoff [sic] company is very secretive about their operations and they refuse to disclose anything. If my suspicions are true, then they are running a highly sophisticated scheme on a massive scale. And they have been doing it for a long time." The informant also stated, "After a short period of time, I decided to withdraw all my money (over \$5 million)."

The sixth complaint was sent to the SEC by a "concerned citizen" in December 2006, advising the SEC to look into [Bernard] Madoff and his firm as follows:

Your attention is directed to a scandal of major proportion which was executed by the investment firm Bernard L. Madoff Assets well in excess of \$10 Billion owned by the late [investor], an ultra-wealthy long time client of the Madoff firm have been "co-mingled" with funds controlled by the Madoff company with gains thereon retained by Madoff.

In March 2008, the SEC Chairman's office received a second copy of the previous complaint, with additional information from the same source regarding [Bernard] Madoff's involvement with the investor's money, as follows:

It may be of interest to you to that Mr. Bernard Madoff keeps two (2) sets of records. The most interesting of which is on his computer which is always on his person.

Id. at pp. 21-22.

- 15. While Bernard Madoff interacted with the SEC in connection with its investigations and examinations, so did his brother Peter Madoff. For example, several document requests were directed by the SEC not to Bernard but to Peter Madoff, in his capacity as Chief Compliance Officer. In one document request, dated January 6, 2004, the SEC sought the following information and documents to be produced by January 21, 2004:
 - 1. For the time period of January 1, 2001 through the present, provide the following:

- a. monthly profit and loss statements by security (to be provided electronically in an Excel spreadsheet);
- b. monthly commission revenues segregated by customer and by security (to be provided electronically in an Excel spreadsheet);
- c. identity of all institutional customers of Madoff Securities;
- d. all commission rates charged to institutional customers, including any changes to those commission rates, the customers charged those rates, and the monthly totals of commissions paid by customer;
- e. a detailed organizational chart of Madoff Securities; and
- f. the identity of each private equity investment held by [BMIS], including, but not limited to, a description of the investment vehicle (including whether or not the entity is a hedge fund), the amount invested, the ownership interest of the investment, the date of the investment, the date the investment was either sold or transferred, and any relationship between the investment vehicle or its affiliates and [BMIS].
- 2. Describe the "split-strike forward conversion" strategy used by some customers of [BMIS], list the customers using that strategy, list all securities traded as part of that strategy, and explain how [BMIS] is compensated for executing that strategy. Provide copies of communications and disclosures from the customers using the strategy to the investors or owners, or any prospective investors or owners, since January 1, 2001.
- 3. Identify all hedge funds managed or advised by any person or entity affiliated with [BMIS], and include the name of each fund, the identification of all advisers and managers of each fund, all affiliated entities of each fund, the investment objective and strategy of each fund, and all investors or owners of each fund since January 1, 2001.

Riccio Dec., Exhibit K.

- 16. Similarly, on February 18, 2004, the SEC sent the following request addressed to Peter Madoff, Chief Compliance Officer of BMIS, demanding that the following information be given to the SEC on or before March 3, 2004:
 - 1. For the time period January 2001 through the present, provide all written communications between [BMIS] and those clients utilizing the split-strike forward conversion strategy, their

- affiliates, subsidiaries, agents, investors, or owners, including, but not limited to, correspondence, reports, memoranda, account statements, and e-mails.
- 2. For the time period January 2001 through the present, provide the following documents and information relating to the accounts of those clients of [BMIS] utilizing the split-strike forward conversion strategy:
 - a. Records of all transactions (to the extent not already provided in the account statements and summaries);
 - b. Reports (internal or otherwise) identifying profits, losses, expenses, fees, or commissions or any monies equivalent to commissions (e.g., "commission equivalent"); and
 - c. Memoranda and reports relating to trading strategies, investment strategies, or research.
- 3. Provide the following documents relating to any client of [BMIS] utilizing the split-strike forward document conversion strategy:
 - a. Agreements (including prime brokerage agreements and options trading agreements), and any amendments thereto;
 - b. New account opening documents, including any documents attached thereto, and any subsequently received documents relating to the new account opening;
 - c. Corporate and partnership documents; and
 - d. Trading authorizations.

Riccio Dec., Exhibit L.

- 17. The SEC also sent document requests directed to Peter Madoff in 2003 as well. *See*, *e.g.*, Riccio Dec., Exhibit M.
- 18. In addition to the document requests directed to Madoff, during the course of the SEC's examinations and investigations of BMIS, the SEC interviewed and spoke with Peter Madoff in his capacity as Chief Compliance Officer. Sometimes the principal contact person for the SEC was Madoff (*see*, *e.g.*, Riccio Dec., Exhibit N at p. 31; Riccio Dec., Exhibit O at pp. 72-73) and sometimes the SEC met with both Madoff and his brother (*see*, *e.g.*, Riccio Dec., Exhibit

P; Exhibit Q at n. 1; Exhibit R at 2). One of these interviews took place as far back as 1993. *See* Riccio Dec., Exhibit S at pp. 1, n.3, 15.

19. Finally, in addition to the investigations conducted by the SEC, the OIG also cited to a report from the NASD concerning an investigation it did of BMIS. *See* OIG Report at 175-176. According to the NASD Report, "Bernard Madoff and **Peter Madoff** are involved in every aspect of the Firm's Business." *See* Riccio Dec., Exhibit T at p. 10 (emphasis added). The NASD also notes that Madoff is a minority owner of BMIS. *Id.* at p. 2. Finally, the report notes that since June 1969, Madoff has been responsible for General Compliance and Written Supervisory Procedures. *Id.*

H. Facts Learned From The Markopolos Complaint.

- 20. One of the complaints referenced above that was sent to the SEC came from Harry Markopolos. After sending earlier versions of this complaint in May 2000 and March 2001, Markopolos sent a revised version to the SEC, dated November 7, 2005, entitled "World's Largest Hedge Fund is a Fraud" (the "Markopolos Complaint"). The Markopolos Complaint details approximately 30 red flags indicating that the investment advisory side of BMIS was in all probability a multi-billion dollar Ponzi scheme. *See* Riccio Dec., Exhibit U.
- 21. The red flags raised by the Markopolos Complaint generally fell into one of three categories: (1) the obsessive secrecy surrounding BMIS, (2) the statistical impossibility of the returns recorded by BMIS, particularly the consistency of those returns, and (3) the unrealistic volume of options BMIS was supposedly trading. *Id.* For example, one of the red flags raised in the Markopolos Complaint was that BMIS does not permit "outside performance audits" and that when one hedge fund asked to send in a team of "Big 4" accountants to conduct an audit of BMIS, it was told that only the brother-in-law of Bernard Madoff, who has his own accounting firm, is allowed to audit BMIS. *Id.* at p. 10. Another red flag raised in the Markopolos

Complaint was that only Bernard Madoff family members were privy to the investment strategy. *Id.* at p. 12.

I. Exorbitant Profits Received By Peter Madoff From His Personal BMIS Accounts.

- 22. The Trustee for the liquidation of the business of BMIS under the Securities Investor Protection Act (the "Trustee") found that from 2001-2008, Madoff was paid \$20,067,920 in salary and bonus from BMIS. Riccio Dec., Exhibit V at ¶65. In addition, Madoff had two of his own customer accounts in BMIS. *Id.* at ¶66. Madoff invested \$32,146 in these two accounts including only *fourteen dollars* after December 1995 and yet he redeemed \$16,252,004. *Id.*
- 23. The Trustee further found one account reflected a gain of \$8,752,620 in a March 2002 statement despite the fact that there was *no* money or securities invested in that account and less than two months later Madoff redeemed nearly six million dollars from this account. *Id.* at ¶67. In addition, between April 2003 and May 2005, Madoff withdrew an additional \$6.9 million. *Id.* at ¶68.

J. Madoff Invokes the Fifth Amendment On A Blanket Basis At His Deposition.

- 24. Pursuant to Magistrate Judge Arleo's November 6, 2009 order, Madoff was required to appear for a deposition. Madoff did not seek to stay his deposition and did not appeal the November 6 Order. Instead, Madoff appeared for his deposition and invoked the Fifth Amendment on a blanket basis. Riccio Dec., Exhibit W.
- 25. For example, Madoff did not offer an explanation concerning his designation in an SEC form as Chief Compliance Officer, Director of Trading and co-control person of BMIS. *Id.* at p. 24. He did not say anything about precautionary measures he took to detect and prevent fraud, efforts he made to monitor trading activities, responses he made to regulatory inquiries,

reactions he had to countless red flags and/or other alerts of fraudulent activity, as well as efforts he made to promote a culture of ethical behavior and compliance. He did not even say "I don't know." Instead, he relied in a blanket fashion on the Fifth Amendment that included electing to invoke the Fifth rather than dispute or deny the allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint. *See* Riccio Dec., Exhibit W.

26. Defendant voluntarily chose to rely on the Fifth Amendment in response to the following questions relevant to his good faith and/or culpable participation in the Ponzi scheme that caused Plaintiffs' losses. Madoff's response "same answer" was stipulated by counsel at the deposition to be a shortened version of Madoff's lengthier scripted language invoking the Fifth Amendment, *id.* at p. 36:7-25:

Page 42

- 9 Q Mr. Madoff, do you deny having actual
- 10 knowledge of the Ponzi scheme referred into the
- 11 Complaint in this matter?
- 12 A Same answer.
- 13 Q Do you deny that for a period of at
- least 20 years, you had actual knowledge of the Ponzi
- 15 scheme referred into the Complaint in this matter?
- 16 A Same answer.
- 17 Q Do you deny knowingly defrauding the
- 18 plaintiffs in this matter, to their great loss and
- 19 detriment, for the entire time that they were BMIS
- 20 customers?
- A Same answer.
- Q Do you deny having made material
- 23 affirmative misrepresentations to the plaintiffs in
- 24 this matter for the entire time that they were BMIS
- 25 customers?

Page 43

- 1 A Same answer.
- 2 O Do you deny omitting to disclose
- 3 material facts to the plaintiffs in this matter, to
- 4 their great loss and detriment, for the entire time

that they were BMIS customers? 6 Same answer. 7 Do you deny having a malicious intent to harm the plaintiffs for the entire time that they 8 were BMIS customers? 9 10 Α Same answer. 11 0 Do you deny substantially assisting your 12 brother Bernard in stealing money from the plaintiffs during the entire time that they were BMIS customers? 13 14 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. You can respond. 15 Same answer. 16 A 17 Do you deny being culpably involved and 0 participating in the Ponzi scheme to defraud the 18 19 plaintiffs? 20 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. You can respond. 21 Same answer. 22 A 23 Do you deny personally stealing more Q than \$60 million from BMIS investors as a result of 24 the Ponzi scheme referred to in the Complaint? 25 Page 44 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. You can 1 2 respond. 3 Α Same answer. 4 Do you deny that Plaintiffs relied upon 0 5 your integrity, your trust, your knowledge, your skill and training in [making] investments in BMIS? 6 7 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 8 You can respond. 9 Same answer. A 10 Do you deny that Plaintiffs relied upon O your ethics in making their investment in BMIS? 11 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 12 You can answer. 13 14 A Same answer. 15 What are your ethics as a businessman? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 16 17 You can respond. Same answer. 18 Α Do you believe you have ethics? 19 20 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 21 You can respond.

22

Α

Same answer.

Do you -- strike that.

23

24 Do you deny that you had a fiduciary 25 relationship between yourself personally and Page 45 Plaintiffs? 1 2 A Same answer. 3 Did you deny that because you had a 4 fiduciary relationship directly with Plaintiffs, that 5 you owed them duties of care and loyalty? 6 Same answer. Α 7 0 Do you deny that during the entire time Plaintiffs were investors at BMIS, that you breached your duty of loyalty to them? 10 A Same answer. 11 Q Do you deny that during the entire period of time that Plaintiffs were customers at 12 BMIS, that you were grossly negligent in protecting their investment? 14 15 A Same answer. Do you deny that for the period of time 16 Q 17 that Plaintiffs were customers in BMIS, that you were negligent in protecting their investments? 18 19 Α Same answer. (Tr. P.42 l. 9-25 to p.45, 1 19.) 20 Q Do you deny attempting to secretly remove documents from BMIS' offices after law 21 22 enforcement personnel ordered the offices locked 23 down? 24 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 25 You can respond. Page 64 11 Can we agree that during a period of 12 20 years that BLMIS operated a Ponzi scheme and you were a senior managing director and chief of 13 14 compliance for that firm? 15 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 16 You can respond. 17 Same answer. A 18 Do you deny that all of the statements issued to the Plaintiffs by BLMIS were mailed to the 19 Plaintiffs in Cliffside Park, New Jersey? 20 21 Α Same answer. 22 Q Do you deny that you went to the State

of New Jersey in your capacity as an employee of

23

24 BLMIS? 25 Α Same answer. Page 65 Q 1 Do you deny that BLMIS advertised in New 2 Jersey? 3 Α Same answer. 4 Q Do you deny that BLMIS had regular and 5 continuous contacts with the State of New Jersey? 6 A Same answer. (Tr. P. 64 l. 11-25, P. 65 l. 1-). Page 66 16 Q Do you deny that BLMIS had a significant 17 number of investors who were domiciled in New Jersey? 18 A Same answer. 19 Do you deny that BLMIS had a significant Q business interest in New Jersey? 20 Same answer. 21 A 22 Q Do you deny that BLMIS submitted false 23 and fraudulent records to investors of BLMIS domiciled in New Jersey? 24 25 Same answer. (Tr. P. 66 l. 16-25). Α Page 70 18 Am I correct that you were not surprised 19 when your brother confessed to operating a Ponzi scheme? 20 21 Α Same answer. 22 Q And am I correct that the reason you 23 were not surprised that your brother confessed to 24 operating a Ponzi scheme is because you knew all 25 about it? (Tr. P. 70 l. 18-25) Page 72 20 Q Am I correct that you knew that David 21 Freighling was the accountant for BLMIS? 22 Α Same answer. 23 Q Am I correct that Mr. Freighling has pleaded guilty to perpetrating a fraud? 24 25 Α Same answer.

Page 73

Am I correct that Mr. Freighling had his 1 2 offices in a strip mall? 3 Α Same answer. 4 Am I correct that for the period of time 5 that Mr. Freighling was the accountant for BLMIS, that you worked with him in providing him with 7 financial information about BLMIS? 8 Α Same answer. 9 0 Am I correct that the audits performed 10 by David Freighling were fraudulent? Same answer. 11 Α 12 O Am I correct that when Mr. Freighling prepared fraudulent audits, you knew those audits 14 were fraudulent? 15 Α Same answer. 16 And is it fair to say that if I ask you O any further questions about Mr. Freighling or the 17 accounting functions performed by him, you will 18 invoke your Fifth Amendment privilege? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 O Do you understand that every time you invoke your Fifth Amendment privilege here today that 22 23 it allows for the Court to make an adverse inference against your interest in this litigation? 24 25 MR. SPADA: I'm going to object to the Page 74 form of the question to the extent it calls for legal advice or legal discussions between witness and counsel and is not an appropriate question. 3 4 I direct you not to answer. 5 Q Mr. Madoff, you do not deny -- strike 6 that. 7 Do you deny that you were solely 8 responsible for the management and policies of BLMIS during the course of your employment there? 9 10 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. Same answer. 11 A Am I correct that you do not deny that 12 you verified the financial condition of BLMIS on a regular basis? 14 15 Α Same answer. Q 16 Am I correct that BLMIS maintained two

MR. SPADA: Objection to form.

sets of books?

17 18

19 Α Same answer. 20 Q Am I correct that you knew that BLMIS 21 maintained two sets of books? 22 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 23 Same answer. Α 24 O Am I correct that the reason BLMIS 25 maintained two sets of books was to defraud the Page 75 investors and the regulators? 2 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 3 A Same answer. 4 Am I correct that in your employment at Q BLMIS that you did not establish a compliance program of internal controls? 7 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 8 Α Same answer. 9 Am I correct that the reason you did not establish a compliance program of internal controls 10 was so that the Ponzi scheme would go undetected? 11 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 12 13 A Same answer. 14 Am I correct that you did not implement, 15 even if you established a compliance program of internal controls? 16 17 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 18 A Same answer. 19 Am I correct that you did not monitor a 20 compliance program of internal controls even if you had established one? 21 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 22 23 Α Same answer. 24 Am I correct that you did not enforce a compliance program of internal controls even if you 25 Page 76 had established one? 1 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 2 3 A Same answer. Am I correct that you did absolutely 4 nothing to prevent -- strike that. 5 Am I correct that you did absolutely 6

nothing to detect any violations of the securities laws at BLMIS during the course of your entire 9 employment at that firm? 10 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 11 Same answer. Α 12 Are you aware that the SEC promulgated a Q 13 report of an investigation into the failure of the 14 SEC to uncover the Ponzi scheme at BLMIS? 15 Same answer. Α 22 BY MR. RICCIO: 23 Am I correct that in 1992, a complaint 24 was made regarding the business practices of BLMIS? 25 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. Page 77 1 Α Same answer. 2 Am I correct that the SEC suspected that 3 BLMIS, in 1992, was operating a Ponzi scheme? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 4 5 Same answer. A 6 Am I correct that you and your brother Q intentionally concealed from the SEC information that would allow them to have uncovered the Ponzi scheme 9 in 1992? 10 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 11 Same answer. Α Do you know a person named Harry 18 19 Markopolos? 20 A Same answer. 21 0 Am I correct that in May 2000, 22 March 2001, and October 2005, Mr. Markopolos made a complaint -- made complaints to the SEC about the 23 lawfulness of the business operations of BLMIS? 24 25 Α Same answer. Page 78 1 Am I correct that in his complaints he detailed approximately 30 red flags indicating that 2 BLMIS was operating a Ponzi scheme? 3 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 4 5 Α Same answer. Am I correct that you were aware of 6 7 Mr. Markopolos' complaints? 8 Same answer. Α

9 Am I correct that you, in conjunction 10 with your brother, intentionally hid from the SEC evidence that would have uncovered the Ponzi scheme 11 12 at the time of Mr. Markopolos' complaints? 13 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 14 A Same answer. 15 Q Am I correct that you were aware of the 16 30 red flags identified by Mr. Markopolos? 17 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 18 Α Same answer. Am I correct that you consciously 19 0 20 avoided doing anything about those red flags? 21 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 22 Α Same answer. 23 Am I correct that in May of 2003, a 24 hedge fund manager complained to the SEC about the 25 business practices of BLMIS? Page 79 1 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 2 A Same answer. 3 Q Am I correct that you were aware of that 4 complaint? 5 Same answer. Α 6 Q Am I correct that you intentionally hid from the SEC evidence that would have caused the SEC, 8 in May of 2003, to uncover the BLMIS Ponzi scheme? 9 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 10 Same answer. A 11 Am I correct that there were, according 0 12 to the SEC's investigation of itself, as many as six separate complaints filed with the SEC about BLMIS 13 14 and its business practices? 15 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 16 Same answer. A Am I correct that had you not knowingly 17 Q cooperated with your brother in concealing the 18 information from the SEC, that as early as 1992 the 19 20 SEC would have discovered that BLMIS was a massive 21 Ponzi scheme? 22 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 23 A Same answer. 24 Am I correct that you, by virtue of your

control of BLMIS, perpetuated the Ponzi scheme for at

25

Page 80

least 20 years? 2 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 3 Α Same answer. 4 Am I correct that you perpetuated the 5 scheme knowingly, willfully, and wantonly? 6 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 7 Same answer. Α 8 Q Did you ever hear of a firm by the name of Avellino, A-v-e-l-l-i-n-o, and Bienes, 10 B-i-e-n-e-s? 11 Α Same answer. 12 Q Am I correct that BLMIS, through yourself and your brother, used Avellino & Bienes to 14 defraud customers? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 15 16 Same answer. Α 17 Mr. Madoff, take a look at Exhibit D to Q the Complaint. 18 19 MR. SPADA: That's the document that's 20 entitled "The World's Largest Hedge Fund is a Fraud" dated November 7, 2005? 21 MR. RICCIO: That's it. 22 23 (Witness reviewing exhibit.) 24 Am I correct, Mr. Madoff, that the investors in BLMIS personally relied on you to 25 Page 81 operate BLMIS in an honest and ethical, law-abiding 2 manner? 3 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 4 Α Same answer. 5 And am I correct that you, in your capacity as a control person of BLMIS, breached that reliance on you by the investors because you did not operate BLMIS in an honest, ethical, law-abiding 9 manner? 10 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 11 Same answer. Α (Article by Michael Ocrant, May 2001, is 12 received and marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 for 13 14 Identification.) 15 (Article by Erin Arvedlund, 5/7/01, 16 is received and marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 7 for

```
Identification.)
17
18
             Take a look at Exhibit D to the
19
    Complaint.
20
            That's a document that was filed with
21
    the SEC by Mr. Markopolos, to my understanding.
22
            MR. SPADA: I'll object to the
23
    characterization. It is what it is.
24
            MR. RICCIO: Can't we stipulate that
25
    that's an accurate statement of what the document is?
                                                    Page 82
1
           MR. SPADA: I don't know that he filed
   it with the SEC.
3
           MR. RICCIO: Sent it.
4
           MR. SPADA: Submit, maybe.
5
           MR. RICCIO: Sent to it to the SEC.
6
           MR. SPADA: I mean, I don't know that to
7
   be a fact, but you can...
8
            Do you recognize the document,
       Q
9
   Mr. Madoff?
10
        Α
             Same answer.
11
             Am I correct that you were aware of
    Mr. Markopolos' complaint to the SEC as reflected in
12
    Exhibit D to the Complaint?
13
14
        A
             Same answer.
15
        Q
             Am I correct that you knowingly ignored
    Mr. Markopolos' complaint?
16
17
             Same answer.
        Α
18
             Am I correct that the reason you
    knowingly ignored Mr. Markopolos' complaint is
19
20
    because you knew that BLMIS was operating a Ponzi
    scheme?
21
22
        Α
             Same answer.
23
             And am I correct that you knew that
    Mr. Markopolos was correct in the allegations he was
24
    making to the SEC?
25
                                                 Page 83
           MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
1
2
       A
            Same answer.
3
       Q
            Take a look at P-6 and P-7.
4
           MR. SPADA: Has the court reporter
5
   marked these?
6
           MR. RICCIO: Yes, they've been marked.
```

7 (Witness reviewing exhibits.) 8 All right. You've taken a look at 0 9 Exhibits P-6 and P-7, correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 And am I correct that P-6 is an article Q 12 written by Michael Ocrant, O-c-r-a-n-t? 13 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. Same answer. 14 15 And this was published in May of 2001? Q MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 16 17 Same answer. A And P-7 is an article written by Erin 18 0 19 Arvedlund, A-r-v-e-d-l-u-n-d? 20 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 21 A Same answer. 22 O And this was written, am I correct, on 23 May 7, 2001? 24 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 25 Α Same answer. Page 84 You saw both P-6 and P-7 at or about the 1 2 time they appeared in public print, did you not? Same answer. 3 A And am I correct that the suggestions 4 and observations contained in P-6 and P-7 about the 6 integrity and the honestness and the law -- strike that -- about the integrity, honesty and law-abiding 8 nature of BLMIS were accurate? 9 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 10 Α Same answer. And am I correct that you discussed both 11 O 12 of these articles with your brother Bernard? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 13 14 Same answer. Α 15 And am I correct that the reason you discussed them with your brother Bernard was because 16 it concerned you that the Ponzi scheme was going to 17 18 be detected? 19 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 20 Α Same answer. And, in fact, both you and your brother 21 Bernard took steps to make sure that the information 22

contained in these articles, P-6 and P-7, did not

MR. SPADA: Objection to form.

uncover the Ponzi scheme?

24 25

Page 85 A 1 Same answer. 2 Q Mr. Madoff, do you deny that it was your responsibility to file reports with the SEC regarding 4 the business practices of BLMIS? 5 Α Same answer. 6 0 And am I correct that you knowingly and intentionally filed false documents with the SEC 7 regarding BLMIS' business practices? 9 Same answer. Α 10 And am I correct that you knowingly and intentionally filed false documents with the SEC in 11 12 order to materially mislead the SEC and the public regarding the financial condition and business 13 14 operations of BLMIS? 15 Α Same answer. 16 0 Am I correct that you knowingly and intentionally cooperated with David Freighling, 17 18 BLMIS' accountant, in submitting materially false and misleading information to the SEC? 19 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 20 21 A Same answer. 22 0 Based on your knowledge, training, and 23 experience, have you ever heard of the term "internal 24 controls"? 25 Α Same answer. Page 86 1 0 Am I correct that while you were 2 employed by BLMIS in your capacity as head of compliance and as a control person, that you never established any internal controls or internal 5 compliance programs at BLMIS? 6 Α Same answer. 7 Q Have you ever heard of the term "internal risk management controls"? 9 Same answer. Α 10 0 Am I correct that during the course of 11 your employment at BLMIS and in your capacity as head 12 of the compliance and as a control person, that you never instituted any internal risk management 13

controls at BLMIS? 14 15 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 16 Α Same answer. 17 O Am I correct that in your capacity as head of compliance and as a control person of BLMIS, 18 19 that you did not communicate at any time with 20 department managers on a daily basis, on a monthly 21 basis, or on a yearly basis to ensure that proper 22 compliance procedures were being followed? 23 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 24 Same answer. Α 25 Q Am I correct that BLMIS never had an Page 87 audit committee? 1 2 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 3 Same answer. A 4 Am I correct that the reason there was 0 5 no audit committee was to make sure that the Ponzi scheme was not uncovered? 6 7 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 8 Α Same answer. 9 Am I correct that BLMIS never had an Q 10 investment advisory manual? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 11 12 Same answer. Α 13 And am I correct that the reason BLMIS 14 never had an investment advisory manual was to conceal the Ponzi scheme? 15 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 16 17 Α Same answer. 18 Am I correct that BLMIS was reviewed in 2007 pursuant to the Investment Advisory Act? 19 20 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 21 Same answer. A 22 Am I correct that in connection with the 0 23 2007 annual review of BLMIS under the Investment 24 Advisory Act, that you provided materially false and misleading statements to the reviewers? 25 Page 88 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 1 2 Α Same answer.

26

Am I correct that BLMIS did not have an

3

O

anti-money laundering compliance program? 5 Α Same answer. 6 0 Am I correct that the reason that BLMIS 7 did not have an anti-money laundering compliance 8 program was in order to conceal the Ponzi scheme? 9 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 10 Same answer. Α 11 O Am I correct that BLMIS, with the 12 cooperation of yourself and your brother, engaged in 13 money laundering? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 14 15 Same answer. A 16 0 Am I correct that the money laundering involved BLMIS affiliates in Europe? 17 18 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 19 Same answer. A 20 O Take a look at the Complaint again, P-1. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) 21 22 Would you take a look at paragraph 32 --23 well, strike that -- paragraphs 31 through and including 35 -- through and including 34 of P-1? 24 25 (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Page 89 1 A Yes. 2 Q Am I correct that every allegation contained in paragraphs 31 through and including 34 4 of the Complaint, P-1, is true and correct in every 5 respect? 6 A Same answer. 7 Am I correct that every allegation contained in P-1, from the beginning of the Complaint 9 to the end of the Complaint, is true and correct in 10 every material respect? 11 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 12 Same answer. Α 13 Am I correct that you admit the allegations contained in P-1? 14 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 15 Same answer. 16 Α 17 Am I correct that the denials in your Answer, which is P-2, are not true and correct? 18 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 19 20 Α Same answer.

Did you have an account at BLMIS in your

21

Q

22

own name?

```
23
       Α
             Same answer.
24
        0
             Am I correct that during the period of
25
    time that you had an account at BLMIS, that you
                                                 Page 90
   redeemed from your investment over $16 million?
2
       Α
            Same answer.
3
       Q
            Am I correct that in May of 2002 you
   redeemed nearly $6 million?
5
       Α
            Same answer.
6
       0
            Am I correct that you redeemed
   approximately $6.9 million between April 2003 and May
8
   of 2005?
9
           MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
10
       Α
             Same answer.
11
             Am I correct that you redeemed over
    $3 million between September 2005 and April 2006?
12
            MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
13
14
       A
             Same answer.
15
       O
             Am I correct that the total amount of
    your investments in these accounts, which produced
    over $16 million in returns, was $32,000?
17
18
            MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
19
       A
             Same answer.
             Do you consider an investment of $32,000
20
        Q
21
    which produces a $16 million return a good
22
    investment?
23
           MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
24
             Same answer.
       Α
25
             Did you know that the $16 million that
       Q
                                                 Page 91
   you received from your investment at BLMIS came from
2
   other BLMIS investors?
3
           MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
4
       Α
            Same answer.
5
            Did you know that some of the BLMIS
   investors whose money you were taking as a redemption
6
7
   were charities?
8
           MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
9
            Same answer.
       Α
10
       Q
            And hospitals?
11
            MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
```

12 Same answer. Α 13 And pensions? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 14 15 Same answer. Do you regret having taken that money? 16 17 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 18 Same answer. Α 19 Q Do you now know that the money that you took was, in fact, money that belonged to charities, 20 21 pensions, hospitals, and the like? MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 22 23 Same answer. A 24 Do you know that your brother admitted 0 25 that fact? Page 92 1 MR. SPADA: Objection. 2 Α Same answer. 3 Q Have you offered to return any of that 4 money? 5 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 6 Α Same answer. 7 MR. RICCIO: Do you want to just give us five minutes? 8 9 MR. SPADA: Sure. 10 (Recess.) MR. RICCIO: Okay, just a few more 11 12 questions. 15 Mr. Madoff, do you admit that had you 16 not invoked your Fifth Amendment privilege today, 17 that you have sufficient personal knowledge to answer all the questions that I've posed to you? 18 19 MR. SPADA: Objection to form. 20 Same answer. A And the "same answer" is the Fifth 21 22 Amendment, correct, the "same answer" as what you 23 read earlier? 24 Exactly, yes. Α 25 And do you admit that you had sufficient Q

Page 93

- 1 personal knowledge to answer all of the questions
- 2 I've posed to you today and did not need access to

- 3 any of the documents in the possession of the United
- 4 States Attorney's office, the SIPC Trustee, or any
- 5 other person?
- 6 MR. SPADA: Objection to form.
- 7 A Same answer.
- 8 MR. RICCIO: I have no further questions
- 9 at this time.
- MR. SPADA: Thanks.
- 11 (Deposition concluded 1:40 p.m.)
- 12 (Exhibits retained by court reporter.)

K. <u>Plaintiffs' Losses As Victims Of the BMIS Ponzi Scheme.</u>

- 27. The Lautenberg Foundation (the "Foundation"), after accounting for all withdrawals, invested \$6,322,000 in BMIS. *See* Rigolosi Dec. at ¶¶2-4. The Foundation received a \$400,000 payment from the Trustee. *Id.* at ¶3. Consequently, the Foundation lost at least \$5,922,000. *Id.* at ¶4.
- 28. Joshua S. Lautenberg, after accounting for all withdrawals, invested \$930,000 in BMIS. *See* Joshua S. Lautenberg Dec. at ¶¶ 2-4. Joshua S. Lautenberg received a \$500,000 payment from the Trustee. *Id.* at ¶3. Consequently, plaintiff Joshua S. Lautenberg lost at least \$430,000. *Id.* at ¶4.
- 29. Ellen Lautenberg, after accounting for all withdrawals, invested \$600,000 in BMIS. *See* Ellen Lautenberg Dec. at ¶¶2-4. Plaintiff Ellen Lautenberg received a \$500,000 payment from the Trustee. *Id.* at ¶3. Consequently, plaintiff Ellen Lautenberg lost at least \$100,000. *Id.* at ¶4.

30. Accordingly, in total, Plaintiffs lost at least \$6,452,000 (*i.e.*, \$5,922,000 + \$430,000 + \$100,000) in BMIS.

Dated: March 12, 2010 Newark, New Jersey By: s/ Michael R. Griffinger

Michael R. Griffinger, Esq. Jonathan S. Liss, Esq. GIBBONS P.C. One Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102-5310 P (973) 596 4500 F (973) 596 0545

mgriffinger@gibbonslaw.com

s/ Ronald J. Riccio

Ronald J. Riccio, Esq.

MCELROY, DEUTSCH,

MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP

1300 Mt. Kemble Avenue, Box 2075

Morristown, New Jersey 07962-2075

P (973) 993-8100

rriccio@mdmc-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs