

the existence of conflicting evidence justifies the denial of a motion for summary judgment.

Defendant Murray asserts that “this Court did not address the fact that Plaintiff has no expert to opine on what action, if any, Defendant Murray should have taken. . .” (Def.’s Br. 6.)

Defendants raised this argument at summary judgment only in regard to a potential Monell claim against the entity defendants; there is no Monell claim against Murray. Defendant Murray has not demonstrated that this Court has overlooked anything or erred. The motion for reconsideration will be denied.

For these reasons,

IT IS on this 16th day of July, 2014

ORDERED that Defendant’s motion for reconsideration (Docket Entry No. 147) is

DENIED.

/s Stanley R. Chesler
STANLEY R. CHESLER
United States District Judge