

CLERK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY 07101-0419
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RECEIVED-CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

2010 MAY 18 A 10:19

NIXIE 070 4C1 0105715710

RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD

BC: 07101

*1430-15826-25-37

|||||

0720340832

Other Orders/Judgments

2:10-cv-01392-WJM -MF ANTOINE et al v. XCENTRIC VENTURES, LLC et al **CASE CLOSED on 03/26/2010**
CLOSED

U.S. District Court

District of New Jersey [LIVE]

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 4/26/2010 at 1:24 PM EDT and filed on 4/26/2010

Case Name: ANTOINE et al v. XCENTRIC VENTURES, LLC et al

Case Number: 2:10-cv-01392-WJM -MF

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 03/26/2010

Document Number: 5

Docket Text:

ORDER denying Plaintiff's application for reconsideration. Signed by Judge William J. Martini on 4/26/10. (gh,)

2:10-cv-01392-WJM -MF Notice has been electronically mailed to:

2:10-cv-01392-WJM -MF Notice will not be electronically mailed to::

MAX D. ANTOINE
P.O. BOX 393
JERSEY CITY, NJ 07303

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1046708974 [Date=4/26/2010] [FileNumber=4158374-0]
] [626106cd17cb81f28a9fc524a4af28160e9bbdb1c28f3253eedc89c04fa5dfefe7b
0aab04f2880960b3346c37d5cfd95045379aacb150e94669c91bc4a556768]]

RECEIVED

MAY 18 2010

AT 8:20 AM
VALLEY J. WALKER CLERK

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

DR. MAX D. ANTOINE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

XCENTRIC VENTURES, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil No. 10-1392 (WJM)

ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff Dr. Max D. Antoine's motion for reconsideration of this Court's denial of his application to proceed without prepayment of fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1915; and this Court having denied Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* given Plaintiff's representation that he retained an attorney and paid that attorney \$3,400 in connection with this case; and Plaintiff representing in his motion for reconsideration that his home is in foreclosure but having failed to provide any documentation to substantiate this claim; and Plaintiff instead having appended to his motion for reconsideration three affidavits of service, which demonstrate that Plaintiff used funds to hire a process server in Arizona for this action; and the payment of \$3,400 to an attorney and the retention of a process server indicating to the Court that Plaintiff does not qualify to proceed *in forma pauperis* for the purpose of this action; and for good cause appearing,

IT IS on this 26th day of April 2010, hereby,

ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is **DENIED**.

/s/ William J. Martini
WILLIAM J. MARTINI, U.S.D.J.