

SOL:LAR  
(13)00447



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

---

THOMAS E. PEREZ, Secretary of Labor, :  
United States Department of Labor, :  
Plaintiff, : Civil Action File No.  
v. : 1:13-cv-06791-SRC-CLW  
COMMANDER CARBON SALARY SAVINGS :  
PLAN, : **PROPOSED JUDGMENT  
AND ORDER**  
Defendant. :  
-----

**WHEREAS**, this action was filed by the Secretary of Labor (the “Secretary”) pursuant to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1971 (“ERISA” of the “Act”), 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq., and by the authority vested in the Secretary by ERISA § 502(a)(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(5) alleging that the Commander Carbon Salary Savings Plan (the “Plan”) was in violation of ERISA §§ 402 and 403, 29 U.S.C. § §1102 and 1103; and

I. The Plan was and is an employee benefit plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(3), and was established to provide benefits to employees of the plan sponsor, Commander Carbon Machine Co, Inc. who were covered by a plan subject to Title I of ERISA; and

II. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to ERISA § 502(e)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1); venue of this action lies in the District of New Jersey pursuant to ERISA § 502(e)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2); this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Plan for all purposes relevant to this matter including the entry of this Order pursuant to ERISA § 502(d)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(d)(1); and at times relevant to this action, the Plan was an employee

benefit plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(3), and 29 U.S.C. § 1002(3); and

III. The Secretary has duly made service on the Plan as required by the provisions of ERISA § 502(d)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 502(d)(1); and

IV. The Plan and its authorized representatives have not answered or otherwise appeared in this matter, and

V. The Secretary now applies for entry of an Order in this matter, and no answer being made in this matter, and no opposition to the application being filed;

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The *status quo* of the Plan shall be maintained pending an orderly transfer to the Independent Fiduciary.

2. The Court hereby appoints Thomas Forsee as the Independent Fiduciary of the Plan with authority to administer the Plan and, if necessary, implement its orderly termination. In performing his or her duties, the Independent Fiduciary shall have and exercise full authority and control with respect to the management or disposition of the assets of the Plan. The Independent Fiduciary shall collect, marshal, and administer all of the Plan's assets, evaluate all claims outstanding against it, and pay the assets out to participants and other creditors of the Plan; and take such further actions with respect to the Plan as may be appropriate.

3. The Independent Fiduciary shall have all the powers to do the things that are necessary and appropriate to administer and terminate the Plan.

4. The Independent Fiduciary shall develop a plan for equitable adjudication and payment of outstanding participant claims for benefits. In developing this plan, the Independent Fiduciary shall consider the best interests of participants and beneficiaries of the Plan, and the resources expected to be available to the Plan. The Independent Fiduciary shall not be bound by

any current or historic procedures or policies of the Plan which conflict with his or her duties under ERISA.

5. The Independent Fiduciary is empowered to require all custodians or record keepers of the Plan to deliver or otherwise make available to the Independent Fiduciary any information, documents, files or other compilations, wherever and however stored; that are reasonably necessary to perform the duties of Independent Fiduciary under this Order.

6. The Independent Fiduciary is empowered to give instructions to asset custodians respecting the disposition of assets of the Plan held by them.

7. The Independent Fiduciary shall distribute or cause the distribution of the assets of the Plan in accordance with applicable Plan documents and Federal law.

8. Upon completing such distribution, the Independent Fiduciary shall consider whether termination of the Plan in accordance with applicable plan documents, ERISA, and other applicable Federal law is appropriate.

9. The Independent Fiduciary shall be subject to the orders of this Court. Within twenty days after the date of this Order, the Independent Fiduciary shall submit to this Court for approval his schedule of anticipated fees and expense for this matter with copies of his submissions to be sent to all parties to this matter.

10. In the performance of his or her duties, the Independent Fiduciary may retain such assistance as he may reasonably require, including attorneys, accountants, actuaries and other service providers.

11. The payment of administrative expenses and fees to the Independent Fiduciary, her assistants, attorneys, accountants, actuaries and other necessary service providers shall be considered priority administrative expenses of the Plan superior to any other class of expense or

obligation. The Independent Fiduciary's second priority shall be the payment of legitimate claims on the Plan by its participants and beneficiaries.

12. The Independent Fiduciary shall not be held personally responsible for any claims against the Plan or which existed, arose, mature or vested prior to the appointment of the Independent Fiduciary.


13. Upon approval by this Court of the Independent Fiduciary's anticipated fees and expenses, this matter shall be deemed closed. However the Independent Fiduciary may apply to the Court for clarification of this Order or enforcement of this Order's terms.

14. The Independent Fiduciary shall determine whether it is appropriate to obtain a bond pursuant to ERISA § 412, 29 U.S.C. § 1112, and then obtain a bond consistent with the requirements of ERISA and the regulations issued thereunder. Since the beneficiary of the bond is the Plan, the Plan may pay for the cost of the bond. This provision may be satisfied by the Independent Fiduciary securing coverage for himself under any bond currently in force with respect to the Plan, provided that the bond satisfies the provisions of the Act.

15. Nothing in the foregoing Order shall be construed in derogation of the Court's authority over the Independent Fiduciary's actions.

DATED: *4/7/14*  
New Jersey

**IT IS SO ORDERED:**



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE