



Magistrate Judge Waldor issued the instant R&R which recommended that the motion to dismiss be granted.

A magistrate judge's recommended disposition of a dispositive matter is subject to *de novo* review. *In re U.S. Healthcare*, 159 F.3d 142, 145-46 (3d Cir. 1998); see also FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). This Court has reviewed the R&R under the appropriate *de novo* standard, and agrees with Magistrate Judge Waldor's analysis and conclusion as to Defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of prosecution. For the reasons set forth in Judge Waldor's R&R, Plaintiff's failure to secure replacement counsel,<sup>1</sup> together with Plaintiff's failure to appear for a court-ordered teleconference and in response to an Order to Show Cause, the Complaint is hereby dismissed with prejudice. Accordingly, this Court adopts the R&R issued on October 11, 2016. Defendants' motion to dismiss the Complaint will be granted.

s/ Stanley R. Chesler

STANLEY R. CHESLER

United States District Judge

Dated: October 31, 2016

---

<sup>1</sup> A corporation is not permitted to appear *pro se* and must be represented by counsel in order to pursue an action. See *Simbraw v. United States*, 367 F.2d 373, 374 (3d Cir. 1966). Plaintiff, a corporate entity [Docket Entry 3], thus abandoned its case by failing to secure replacement counsel after previous counsel was disqualified.