

and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do.” Twombly, 127 S. Ct. at 1964-65 (internal citations omitted); see also FED. R. CIV. P. 8(a)(2). “Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all the allegations in the complaint are true (even if doubtful in fact).” Id. at 1965 (internal citations omitted).

The Complaint does not state any valid claim for relief, as it does not appear to assert in any coherent form either a claim or any facts which could be the basis for a claim against the named defendant. It does not contain a short and plain statement of the basis for jurisdiction, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). The statement of the claim contains only a few conclusory words, such as, “Soliciting sex/prostitution.” No facts have been pled. This Court cannot discern from the Complaint any facts to make plausible a legally valid claim for relief. Furthermore, the Complaint states this demand: “A Child.” The Complaint is incoherent and no valid claim can be discerned. As such, the Complaint will be dismissed without prejudice.

s/ Stanley R. Chesler
Stanley R. Chesler, U.S.D.J.