WITHERSPOON v. APPLE Doc. 2

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

CLOSED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

.

DESMOND WITHERSPOON,

Civil Action No. 15-0148 (SRC)

Plaintiff,

v.

OPINION

APPLE,

Defendant.

CHESLER, District Judge

This matter comes before the Court on the application filed by Plaintiff Desmond
Witherspoon to proceed in forma pauperis without fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Court finds
that Plaintiff qualifies for in forma pauperis status, yet his Complaint will be dismissed. The
Court must examine Plaintiff's claim and dismiss it if frivolous or legally insufficient. 28 U.S.C.
§ 1915(e)(2). Here, Plaintiff demands \$25,000 from Apple in New York, NY, for a cause of
action "Tolling Limitation of Action; 19:86.12." No further information is provided. As such,
Plaintiff has failed to state a basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction and has failed to state a
facially plausible claim for relief. For the reasons provided in the Opinion for Civil Action No.
14-6296, Plaintiff is on notice that he may be sanctioned if he continues to file unsubstantiated
and repetitive lawsuits. An appropriate Order will be filed.

s/Stanley R. Chesler
STANLEY R. CHESLER
United States District Judge

Dated: January 21, 2015