



Thus, as to the first motion regarding the first fee award, the amount awarded is \$85,745.60. Twenty-five percent of the past due benefits amounts to \$21,080 ( $\$85,745.60 \times 25\% = \$21,080.00$ ). As to the second motion regarding the fee awards to the children, the total amount of benefits awarded is \$48,786.50. Twenty-five percent of the past due benefits amounts to \$10,525 ( $\$48,786.50 \times 25\% = \$10,525.00$ ). Plaintiff's attorney was previously awarded \$7,732.80 in attorney fees and, as required by law, the attorney will refund the amount of EAJA fees of \$7,732.80 to the Plaintiff upon receipt of these fee awards.

Counsel now asks this Court to authorize payment of these of fees. Counsel contends that the requested fee is fair and reasonable given that Plaintiff recouped five years in wrongfully denied past-due benefits thus far, and the number of hours spent pursuing Plaintiff's federal court case and on the administrative portion of this case (approximately 128 hours in total).

The statute, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A), states:

Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under this title [42 USCS §§ 401 et seq.] who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment . . .

The statute requires that the fee must be reasonable. Plaintiff and his counsel entered into a contingent fee agreement, under which counsel is entitled to a fee of 25 percent of the past due benefits awarded to Plaintiff. Because this is within the statutory maximum allowed by § 406(b), and taking into account the highly successful result obtained for Plaintiff, the amount of time spent on the case, counsel's experience and normal hourly rate, and the risk inherent in taking cases on contingency, the Court concludes that the requested fee is reasonable.

For these reasons,

**IT IS ON THIS** 18th DAY OF June, 2020

**ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees (Docket Entry No. 27) is **GRANTED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiff's second motion for attorney's fees (Docket Entry No. 29) is **GRANTED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Court authorizes two payments to Sheryl Gandel Mazur, Esquire, the first in the amount of \$21,080.00, and the second in the amount of \$10,525.00, in attorney's fees which have been withheld from Plaintiff's past-due benefits for court-related services.

s/ Stanley R. Chesler  
STANLEY R. CHESLER, U.S.D.J.