#### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

#### IN RE: PROTON-PUMP INHIBITOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

2:17-MD-2789 (CCC)(LDW) (MDL 2789) Doc. 18

This Document Relates to: All cases listed in Exhibit A

#### **OPINION AND ORDER**

#### **CECCHI**, District Judge.

#### I. Introduction

This matter comes before the Court upon Case Management Order ("CMO") No. 65, ECF No. 723, entered on December 2, 2021, which identified 1,535 cases in which AstraZeneca LP ("AZLP"), AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP ("AZPLP"), and Merck & Co. Inc. d/b/a Merck, Sharp & Dohme Corporation ("Merck") (collectively, the "AZ Defendants") alleged that service of the summons and complaint had not been effected and in which no proof of service appeared on the docket of the case. CMO No. 65 ordered Plaintiffs in those cases within thirty days to (1) establish that service was effected on the AZ Defendants identified in Exhibit A to CMO No. 65, as required by Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure<sup>1</sup> by filing proof of service, (2) voluntarily dismiss the AZ Defendants, or (3) show cause why the AZ Defendants should not be dismissed within thirty days of entry of the Order. CMO No. 65, at 2 CMO No. 65 ordered Plaintiffs to file their responses on the dockets of the individual cases, and permitted the AZ Defendants to oppose within thirty days of each plaintiff's response.<sup>2</sup> Plaintiffs were specifically

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to Rules herein are to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> At the request of the parties, the deadline for Plaintiffs to file responses to CMO No. 65 was extended to March 31, 2022, and then to June 30, 2022. *See* CMO No. 67, at § I.D, ECF No. 747; CMO No. 70, at ¶ B, ECF No. 769. The deadline for the AZ Defendants to oppose each plaintiff's

advised that "[f]ailure to comply with the terms of this Order will result in the dismissal of the case as to the identified AZ Defendants." CMO No. 65, at 2.

#### II. Legal Standard

Rule 4 governs the requirements regarding serving a summons. In particular, Rule 4(m)requires that "[i]f a defendant is not served 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court – on motion or on its own after notice to plaintiff – must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). In the Third Circuit, establishing good cause requires a "demonstration of good faith on the part of a party seeking an enlargement and some reasonable basis for noncompliance with the time specified in the rules." MCI Telecomms. Corp., 71 F.3d at 1097.<sup>3</sup> In the absence of a showing of good cause for failure timely to effect service, the Court has discretion either to dismiss a case or permit an extension. Id. at 1098 (citing Petrucelli v. Bohringer & Ratzinger, 46 F.3d 1298, 1305 (3d Cir. 1995)). It is the plaintiff's burden to demonstrate good cause for such failure to effectuate timely service or to persuade the Court to exercise its discretion and not dismiss the AZ Defendants from their cases. Spence v. Lahood, No. 11-3972, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80015, at \*15 (D.N.J. June 8, 2012) (citing McCurdy v. Am. Bd. of Plastic Surgery, 157 F.3d 191, 196 (3d Cir. 1998)).

response was extended to May 15, 2022, then to August 16, 2022, and then to October 17, 2022. *See* CMO No. 67, at § I.D, ECF No. 747; CMO No. 70, at ¶ B, ECF No. 769; CMO No. 78, at ¶ A, ECF No. 841.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Plaintiffs note that the version of Rule 4 quoted in *MCI Telecomms* is no longer applicable after an amendment in 1993. The amendment removed "good cause" as an absolute prerequisite for an extension of service. However, as explained above, the good cause standard still exists in Rule 4(m). The amendment merely allows courts, in the absence of good cause, to exercise their discretion to allow an extension if the circumstances warrant. Notably, the Court's Opinion and Order here is based on the current version of Rule 4(m).

#### III. Discussion

As stated above, CMO No. 65 ordered the identified Plaintiffs within thirty days to either establish that service was properly effectuated pursuant to Rule 4(m), voluntarily dismiss the AZ Defendants, or show cause why the AZ Defendants should not be dismissed. CMO No. 65 did not provide Plaintiffs with an extension of time to serve the Complaint, instead, it directed Plaintiffs to prove that service had in fact been effectuated or to "show cause why the AZ Defendants should not be dismissed."

The 1,181 Plaintiffs in the cases identified on Exhibit A herein have failed to satisfy the requirements of CMO No. 65. Plaintiffs do not claim to have timely served the AZ Defendants in compliance with Rule 4(m). *See* CMO No. 7, at § II.D ("Absent agreement of the parties or subsequent Order of the Court, service of process shall be effectuated as required under Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.").<sup>4</sup> While there is disagreement between Plaintiffs and the AZ Defendants concerning the fact or date of service in some of the cases here, it is undisputed that in the cases in which the AZ Defendants were served, service was effected only after CMO No. 65 was entered. In fact, of these 1,181 cases (and utilizing the earlier purported date of service in the event that the parties did not agree on the date of service), service was made between one to two years after the ninety-day period in Rule 4(m) in 9 cases; between two to three years after the ninety-day period in 944 cases. Further, no Plaintiff here has dismissed the AZ Defendants from their case. Finally, as further elaborated below, Plaintiffs have not shown cause why the AZ Defendants should not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Though not relevant in these cases, the Court notes that AZLP, AZPLP, and Merck agreed to accept service of a Complaint by email at PPIComplaints@icemiller.com. CMO No. 27, at § I.D, ECF No. 260

be dismissed. Accordingly, due to untimely service and lack of good cause shown, it is appropriate that the AZ Defendants be dismissed from the cases identified in Exhibit A.

#### a. Plaintiffs Do Not Demonstrate Good Cause Mandating an Extension of Time to Serve

Plaintiffs' responses to CMO No. 65 do not demonstrate good cause excusing their lack of timely service pursuant to Rule 4(m). Good cause requires "a demonstration of good faith on the part of the party seeking an enlargement . . . and some reasonable basis for noncompliance with the time specified in the rules." *MCI Telecomms. Corp.*, 71 F.3d at 1097. To determine whether good cause exists, the Court considers "(1) reasonableness of plaintiff's efforts to serve (2) prejudice to the defendant by lack of timely service and (3) whether plaintiff moved for an enlargement of time to serve." *Id.* The primary focus must always be on "the plaintiff's reasons for not complying with the time limit in the first place." *Id.* Yet here, Plaintiffs have not even attempted to show good cause for their failure to timely serve or addressed the reasons for untimeliness. *See, e.g.*, Pl. Lawrence Lucerne's Resp. to Orders to Show Cause Regarding Service of Process, at 22-24, No. 2:19-cv-04209, ECF No. 8 ("Lucerne Resp."). Accordingly, as the Court further explains, Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate good cause for failure to timely serve in compliance with Rule 4(m).

As an initial matter, the Court notes that Plaintiffs responded to CMO No. 65 by filing virtually identical responses that do not reference the AZ Defendants' specific conduct. These responses attached an exhibit with limited information about the Plaintiffs' individual cases, but did not include any documentation to support their assertions in the exhibit. The information in these exhibits filed by Plaintiffs includes such information as the date of alleged service (if any), whether a defendant had filed a notice of appearance, whether a defendant had filed a short form answer, whether a Plaintiff Fact Sheet had been uploaded to Marker Group, whether a Defense

Fact Sheet had been served, and whether a defendant had sent a deficiency letter related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheet. *See, e.g.*, Lucerne Resp.; Pl. Michael Lopez's Resp. to Order to Show Cause Regarding Service of Process, Ex. A, No. 2:18-cv-04494, ECF No. 15 ("Lopez Resp."). In addition, Plaintiffs' briefing does not address any reasons for the failure to timely serve and instead focuses on arguments concerning the AZ Defendants' purported waiver of service and the Court's authority for discretionary extensions. *See Houser v. Williams*, No. 16-9072, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 43518, at \*6 (D.N.J. Mar. 12, 2020) (finding dismissal warranted where plaintiff did not serve the complaint for months after an agreed-upon extension and then failed to detail any steps he took towards serving defendant within the extended time afforded by the court).

Turning to the factors for evaluating good cause, the first factor examines the reasonableness of the plaintiff's efforts to serve the complaint. As noted, Plaintiffs offer no explanation for the failure to timely serve, nor an adequate description of reasonable steps that Plaintiffs took to effect timely service as required by Rule 4(m). And none of the Plaintiffs at issue here were close to satisfying timely service under Rule 4(m). As previously stated, in all 1,181 cases, service was effected at least one year after the ninety-day period under Rule 4(m) had lapsed; indeed, in 944 cases, or approximately 80 percent, service was effected over three years after the ninety-day period under Rule 4(m) had lapsed. Given Plaintiffs' lack of sufficient efforts to serve the complaint, this factor weighs heavily in favor of the AZ Defendants.

Under the second factor, the Court considers prejudice to the AZ Defendants by lack of timely service. Here, Plaintiffs' failure to serve caused the AZ Defendants to expend time and resources through investigation, consultation with opposing counsel, and advocating for and responding to case management orders – all to determine whether Plaintiffs intended to pursue litigation against them. *W. Coasts Quartz Corp. v. M.E.C. Tech, Inc.*, 2017 WL 1944197, at \*2

(D.N.J. May 9, 2017). Moreover, this Court has previously determined that the AZ Defendants had been prejudiced by the delayed service or non-service. *See* Order Regarding CMO No. 65, at 7, ECF No. 890. Given the prejudice to the AZ Defendants resulting from Plaintiffs' failure to timely serve, this factor also cuts against good cause. And even if Plaintiffs had demonstrated lack of prejudice to the AZ Defendants, "absence of prejudice alone can never constitute good cause to excuse late service." *MCI Telecomms Corp.*, 71 F.3d at 1097.

Finally, under the third factor, while Plaintiffs have now requested an extension of time to serve the AZ Defendants, they did so only after CMO No. 65 was entered, which was a year or more after the time to serve the AZ Defendants in compliance with Rule 4(m) had lapsed. *See, e.g.*, Lucerne Resp.; Lopez Resp. Plaintiffs have not explained why they did not request an extension of time to serve the AZ Defendants until after CMO No. 65 was entered by this Court. Accordingly, this factor similarly weighs in favor of the AZ Defendants and against Plaintiffs' showing of good cause.

Considering the three factors used to evaluate whether good cause has been demonstrated, Plaintiffs here have not demonstrated good cause for their failure to serve the AZ Defendants in compliance with Rule 4(m).

#### b. Plaintiffs Have Not Persuaded the Court that a Discretionary Extension is Warranted

In the absence of a showing of good cause mandating an extension to effectuate service, the Court nonetheless has discretion to either dismiss the case or permit extension. Because Plaintiffs have not established good cause, *see supra*, they must rely on the Court's discretionary authority to excuse failures to comply with Rule 4(m). *See Edwards v. Hillman*, 849 F. App'x. 23, 25 (3d Cir. 2021) (citing *Petrucelli*, 46 F.3d at 1305). The Court's exercise of discretion in this area is guided by various factors, including: "actual notice of the legal action; prejudice to the defendant; the statute of limitations on the underlying causes of action; the conduct of the defendant; and whether the plaintiff is represented by counsel, in addition to any other factor that may be relevant." *Chiang v. U.S. Small Bus. Admin.*, 331 Fed. App'x 113, 116 (3d Cir. 2009); *see also Spence*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80015, at \*15. Here, considering these factors, Plaintiffs have not met their burden in persuading the Court that such discretion should be exercised under these circumstances.

With respect to the first factor—actual notice of the legal action—Plaintiffs argue that the AZ Defendants were on notice of their claims through their tolling agreement, which provided Plaintiffs time to obtain information about their claims before filing a complaint.<sup>5</sup> However, the fact that a plaintiff was on the tolling agreement and may potentially bring a claim against the AZ Defendants or another defendant does not mean that the AZ Defendants had actual legal notice that a particular plaintiff would be pursuing his or her claim against the AZ Defendants in a legal action.

*In re Asbestos Prod. Liab. Litig. (No. VI),* upon which Plaintiffs rely for their argument that a court may extend the time for proper service if the defendant had "actual notice of the pending action," is instructive. 2014 WL 1903904, at \*1 (E.D. Pa., May 12, 2014); see Lucerne Resp. at 9-10 (citing *Asbestos*). The issue there concerned the appropriateness of a specific method of service by mail under Ohio law—not untimely service that occurred anywhere from one to four years past

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In June 2018, the parties entered into a tolling agreement concerning the statute of limitations. In order to obtain the benefit of tolling under the tolling agreement, a claimant had to provide the following information to all defendants: name and date of birth of the PPI user, name(s) of any derivative claimant(s), city and state of residence, date of first PPI use, date of last PPI use, alleged injury, and name of claimant's counsel. The Plaintiffs' Steering Committee was to compile this information and submit it to the defendants on an Excel spreadsheet on a monthly basis. *See* Stip. Regarding Tolling of Stats. of Lims., ECF No. 232, at 1-2. The data required to be provided to all defendants in the tolling agreement did not identify specific defendants whose product(s) were allegedly used by individual plaintiffs.

the Rule 4(m) deadline. Notably, the court found that the defendants were on "actual legal notice" of the pending action because the plaintiffs provided proof of a green card signed by the defendant, evidencing receipt of the original process papers by defendants' counsel, which the court found acceptable under Ohio state law. *Asbestos*, 2014 WL 1903904, at \*1. By contrast, Plaintiffs here have not offered any similar evidence of actual notice. Indeed, as the AZ Defendants argue, the tolling agreement "covered Plaintiffs who could not yet show proof of use as to a Defendant's product" and, moreover, did not identify a specific defendant or which PPI products were at issue as to a particular potential plaintiff. *See, e.g.*, No. 19-cv-04209, ECF No. 10 at 10 n.3. Therefore, Plaintiffs' reliance on *Asbestos* is misplaced and they have not demonstrated that the AZ Defendants had actual notice of pending litigation.

Turning to prejudice to the defendant—the second factor—the Court reiterates its analysis when discussing the same factor in the context of good cause. *See supra* III.a (noting the AZ Defendants expended time and resources through their repeated attempts to determine whether Plaintiffs intended to pursue litigation against them, including their own independent inquiries, as well as meetings with counsel and the special master). Further, this Court has previously found in this MDL (with respect to a different defendant) that "[w]asted time and resources and inconvenience standing alone may constitute sufficient prejudice to warrant dismissal." CMO No. 63 at 7 (citing *Miller v. Advocare, LLC*, No. 12-01069, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 71451, at \*8-9 (D.N.J. May 21, 2013). Accordingly, this factor weighs against Plaintiffs' request.

Regarding the statute of limitations, the third factor, Plaintiffs argue that the applicable statute of limitations in most, if not all, of the actions subject to CMO No. 65 has expired. *See, e.g.*, Lucerne Resp. at 21. However, "the expiration of the statute of limitations does not require the court to extend the time for service, as the court has discretion to dismiss the case even if the

refiling of the action is barred." *MCI Telecomms. Corp.*, 71 F.3d at 1098. Given the length of time between filing and service in the cases of these Plaintiffs—in some cases over four years— Plaintiffs' argument that the potential lapse of the statute of limitations warrants extension is not compelling. Relatedly, Plaintiffs have not alleged that the AZ Defendants engaged in any conduct to impede or frustrate timely service. *See Spence*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80015, at \*15 (fourth factor). These factors thus militate against a discretionary extension as well.

The final factor guiding the Court's discretion examines whether the plaintiff is represented by counsel. *See Spence*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80015, at \*15. Plaintiffs here are all represented by counsel. And, in this context, "[e]ven when delay [in service] results from inadvertence of counsel, it need not be excused." *Petrucelli*, 46 F.3d at 1307. This factor thus also weighs against a discretionary extension.

Weighing all of the above factors, the Court is not persuaded that exercising its discretion to grant an extension to effectuate service on the AZ Defendants is warranted. Moreover, in addition to the factors counseling against an extension, the Court's conclusion is further supported by Plaintiffs' failure to provide an explanation as to why they did not timely serve the AZ Defendants.<sup>6</sup>

#### c. Plaintiffs Have Not Shown that the AZ Defendants Waived their Defense to Untimely Service

Plaintiffs generally assert that the AZ Defendants waived any defense related to untimely service by virtue of their conduct in this MDL litigation. Plaintiffs argue that dismissal of their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The AZ Defendants also argue that because Plaintiffs did not address their reasons for untimely service (and instead relied chiefly on arguments concerning waiver), Plaintiffs' reply to CMO 65 failed to comply with a court order, requiring dismissal of their cases on that independent basis. *See, e.g.,* No. 19-cv-04209, ECF No. 10 at 6. The AZ Defendants cite certain *Poulis* factors to support this argument. *Id.* at 10. As explained above, the Court has considered Plaintiffs' lack of an explanation in its discussion of Rule 4(m) and discretionary extensions.

claims against the AZ Defendants is inappropriate in those cases where (1) the AZ Defendants filed a motion to dismiss without raising service; (2) the AZ Defendants either filed an answer without raising service or answered before service; or (3) the AZ Defendants manifested some intention to defend the case through the AZ Defendants' conduct. *See, e.g.*, Lucerne Resp. at § IV.B; Lopez Resp. at § IV.B. For the below reasons, the Court finds that the AZ Defendants have not waived their defense to untimely service.

The Court first turns to Plaintiffs' argument that the AZ Defendants waived their defense to lack of service in those cases where the AZ Defendants filed a motion to dismiss for purported failure to comply with the tolling agreement without specifically raising the defense. However, the AZ Defendants did not raise service in their motions to dismiss because an alternate procedure, proposed and agreed upon by the parties, was set forth in a stipulated court order, with their defenses expressly preserved by CMO No. 7. See CMO No. 7, ECF No. 112, at 7 ("Defendants also reserve all rights to move to dismiss . . . under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule[] 12. Defendants shall only be permitted to file said motions to dismiss subject to leave of this Court."). CMO No. 7 thus expressly restricted defendants from moving to dismiss individual plaintiffs under Rule 12 absent leave of this Court. Indeed, the federal rules bar a defendant from later moving to dismiss for insufficient service of process only when the party "could have raised these objections in their [earlier] motion to dismiss the complaint." Denkins v. William Penn Sch. Dist., No. 20-02228, 2020 WL 5880132, at \*3 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 2, 2020); accord Wright & Miller, 5C Fed. Prac. & Proc. Civ. § 1391 ("If one or more of these defenses are omitted from the initial motion but were 'then available' to the movant, they are permanently lost."). In filing their authorized dismissal motions pursuant to the tolling agreement and CMO No. 7, the AZ Defendants did not have leave to raise any other defense, including insufficient service as to a particular case. Having understood

and agreed that such motions were to be deferred to a later date and with leave of the Court, it is not correct that the AZ Defendants, or any other defendant, waived their defense of service by failing to argue it in their motions to dismiss related to purported violations of the tolling agreement.

Plaintiffs' next argument-that the AZ Defendants waived service either by filing an answer without raising service or by answering before service—is similarly unavailing. Plaintiffs assert that, as a general matter, waiver of service may occur where a defendant files an answer as its first responsive pleading and the answer fails to plead the defense. See, e.g., Lucerne Resp. at 7, 13. Accordingly, Plaintiffs argue that there are three potential scenarios where service has been waived by answer. First, Plaintiffs claim that in any case where the AZ Defendants filed a short form answer, service was waived because the short form answer simply incorporated the AZ Defendants' initial long form answer. This, Plaintiffs maintain, is because the long form answer did not assert the defense of lack of service. See, e.g., id. at 14. Second, since a defendant's notice of appearance in a specific case may serve as a short form answer, see Case Management Order No. 27 (ECF No. 265), Plaintiffs contend that a notice of appearance after service is functionally the same as a short form answer—it incorporates the long form answer, which does not assert the defense of lack of service. Finally, Plaintiffs argue that a notice of appearance before service waives this defense under the terms of Case Management Order No. 27 for cases filed after September 24, 2018. See, e.g., Lucerne Resp. at 8; see also CMO No. 27, at § 1.A.

As an initial matter, Plaintiffs' individual submissions here do not assert that the AZ Defendants filed a short form answer in any of their cases, and the dockets confirm no such short form answers exist. *See, e.g.*, Lucerne Resp., Ex. A; Lopez Resp., Ex. A; *see generally* No. 2:19-cv-04209; No. 2:18-cv-04494. Plaintiffs' first argument is thus inapplicable to the cases listed in

Exhibit A. Similarly, for the cases which are subject to CMO No. 27's provision that a notice of appearance *before* service waives the defense (i.e., cases filed on or after September 24, 2018), the dockets clearly reflect that, to the extent the AZ Defendants filed a Notice of Appearance, it was not until *after* service was (untimely) effected on them and after the entry of CMO 65. This leaves the Plaintiffs whose individual submissions assert the AZ Defendants filed a notice of appearance after service, which, they argue, waived the AZ Defendants' untimely service defense because those notices incorporated the long form answer without further raising such a defense. However, as discussed above, at that point, the AZ Defendants had specifically "reserve[d] all rights to move to dismiss ... under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule[] 12" when they (and Plaintiffs) agreed to CMO No. 7. CMO No. 7 at § G. Accordingly, the Court concludes that by filing a notice of appearance in a case in which the AZ Defendants had plainly reserved their right to challenge service, that notice of appearance did not negate the prior reservation and thereby waive the defense.<sup>7</sup>

Plaintiffs' final argument on waiver is that the AZ Defendants waived their defense of service through their conduct in the PPI litigation either as a whole or in individual cases. In support of their argument as to the AZ Defendants' conduct in the litigation as a whole, Plaintiffs rely on *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 07-5944, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 78902 (N.D. Cal. June 9, 2014). In that case, certain defendants raised their Rule 12(b)(5) defense to service in a consolidated motion to dismiss, but subsequently abandoned that 12(b)(5) motion in a later filing and then continued to participate in litigation for four years. The court found that under these circumstances those defendants had waived their defense of lack of service. *Id.* at \*84-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Court also notes that even if, contrary to the record, the AZ Defendants had filed a short form answer in any of the cases at issue here, the AZ Defendants' reservation of rights from CMO No. 7 would mean that service was not waived.

The case is inapposite, however, as the AZ Defendants never previously raised—and 88. subsequently abandoned-the defense of service in any of the cases identified here, and indeed was unable to without leave of the Court under CMO No. 7, as agreed to by the parties. Similarly, Plaintiffs' reliance on In re Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether ("MTBE") Prods. Liab. Litig., 162 F. Supp. 3d 247 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 21, 2016), is misplaced. There, the plaintiff's timely but defective service on the defendant's prior (and thus incorrect) address combined with the defendant's actions in participating in the litigation (including attending MDL status conferences in which the particular case was discussed and waiting until after the statute of limitations had expired before moving to dismiss the complaint for lack of service) contributed to the plaintiff being "lulled into believing it had effectively served" the defendant. Id. at 250. The court thus found that the defendant's conduct justified the court's exercising its discretion to extend the time for service, but, importantly, the court did not find that the defendant had waived its defense. Id. at 48-50. Plaintiffs here have not asserted that they timely served the AZ Defendants at the wrong address or were otherwise lulled into thinking that they had in fact properly served the AZ Defendants before CMO No. 65 was entered. Therefore, neither In re CRT nor In re MTBE justifies Plaintiffs' argument.

Additionally, Plaintiffs' general response argues that the AZ Defendants waived their defense of service by participating in the litigation of individual cases, citing *In re: Ethicon, Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-00758, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 148765 (S.D.W.V. Oct. 27, 2016). But there, the defendants acknowledged receipt of a plaintiff profile form, requested additional information from the plaintiffs regarding their claims, and threatened to pursue a remedy in court if the plaintiff did not comply with their request. *Id.* at \*6. By contrast, none of the Plaintiffs in the 1,181 cases herein claim that they received a deficiency letter related to their Plaintiff Fact Sheet, or that the AZ

Defendants threatened to pursue a judicial remedy if the plaintiff did not cure the deficiency. In short, unlike in the *Ethicon* case, none of these Plaintiffs has demonstrated that the AZ Defendants have meaningfully participated in the litigation in their particular case. Further, the Court rejects Plaintiffs' suggestion to impute the AZ Defendants' conduct in defending themselves in cases not subject to CMO No. 65 to suggest that the AZ Defendants waived their defense of service of process in the specific cases identified in Exhibit A hereto.

Plaintiffs also assert that the AZ Defendants have waited too long to assert their defense of service. Plaintiffs rely on the Sixth Circuit's decision in *King v. Taylor*; however, in that case, unlike here, the defendant actively litigated the case by, among other things, filing a joint Rule 26(f) report, participating in depositions, seeking to extend discovery deadlines, and joining in a status report in that particular case, and only moved to dismiss for lack of service at the summary judgment stage. *King v. Taylor*, 694 F.3d 650, 659-61 (6th Cir. 2012). Here, however, none of the 1,181 cases identified in Exhibit A is a Bellwether case or a Wave case and thus the AZ Defendants have not participated in discovery in the individual cases like the defendant in *Taylor* did. Further, as noted previously, stipulated CMO No. 7 precluded the AZ Defendants from filing a motion to dismiss for lack of service without leave of the Court.

#### IV. Conclusion

CMO No. 65 required Plaintiffs to (1) show they timely served the AZ Defendants pursuant to Rule 4(m), (2) dismiss the AZ Defendants from their case, or (3) show cause why this Court should not dismiss the AZ Defendants from their cases. Plaintiffs whose cases are on Exhibit A have failed to meet their burden of demonstrating good cause for failure to comply with CMO No. 65 and effectuate timely service, and have failed to persuade the Court to exercise its discretion not to dismiss the AZ Defendants from their cases. Accordingly, this Court denies Plaintiffs' requests for extensions and orders the AZ Defendants to be dismissed without prejudice from the cases identified in Exhibit A.<sup>8</sup>

Accordingly, **IT IS** on this <u>24</u> day of April, 2023;

**ORDERED** that the AZ Defendants shall be **DISMISSED** without prejudice from the cases identified in Exhibit A hereto.

SO ORDERED.

CLAIRE C. CECCHI, U.S.D.J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To the extent Plaintiffs in the cases identified in Exhibit A hereto have raised in their briefing any arguments not expressly addressed herein, the Court has considered and rejected them.

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 16 of 43 PageID: 397 Exhibit A

	Plaintiff Name	Case No.
1	Ronald Trent	2:18-cv-03769
2	Sunny Nielson	2:18-cv-03770
3	Valerie D. Bell	2:18-cv-03774
4	Antonio D. Davis	2:18-cv-03775
5	Misty Ashley	2:18-cv-03851
	Carolyn Ellis	2:18-cv-03855
7	Fred Foscalina, As Proposed Administrator of the Estate of Betty Foscalina, Deceased	2:18-cv-03856
8	Ronald Gardea	2:18-cv-03858
9	Paul Gann and Candance Gann	2:18-cv-03878
	Alva Stewart	2:18-cv-03879
-	Shawney Tackett, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of David L. Francis, Deceased	2:18-cv-03880
12	Nancy M. Crockett	2:18-cv-03883
	Lynda D. McKibben	2:18-cv-03885
	Leonore L. Sosa	2:18-cv-03886
	Nathaniel McDaniel	2:18-cv-03888
	Susan Cobb	2:18-cv-03889
	Mary E. Berry	2:18 cv 03005
	Kerrie Griffin	2:18 cv 03038
	Charlene Coffey	2:18-cv-04021 2:18-cv-04024
	Janet Gills	
		2:18-cv-04028 2:18-cv-04031
	Debra Grigsby Barbara Gibson	
		2:18-cv-04033
	Steven Knox	2:18-cv-04036
	Iva Good	2:18-cv-04038
	Larry Rutheford and Diane E. Rutheford	2:18-cv-04039
	Cynthia Gordon	2:18-cv-04042
27 28	Doris Cook Alvin Cooper, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Peggy Cooper,	2:18-cv-04043 2:18-cv-04045
20	Deceased	2.16-07-04045
29	Ricky L. Graham	2:18-cv-04047
30	Norman Kydd	2:18-cv-04048
31	Jeanette Gillespie	2:18-cv-04049
	Joyce Gettys	2:18-cv-04052
	Joyce Covington	2:18-cv-04053
	Della I. Gregg	2:18-cv-04054
	Theresa Landingham	2:18-cv-04057
	Connie L. Croy	2:18-cv-04058
	Christopher Cracolice and Martha Ann Cracolice	2:18-cv-04064
	Terrisina Lawrence-Mason	2:18-cv-04065
	Dianne Webber	2:18-cv-04069
	Deborah Kirby and Thomas Kirby	2:18-cv-04069
40	Gaye Riggle, As Proposed Administrator of the Estate of Thomas Riggle,	2:18-cv-04073 2:18-cv-04076
40	Deceased States Buildell	2.40 . 0.4077
42	Ethelyn Ruddell	2:18-cv-04077

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 17 of 43 PageID: 398 Exhibit A

43 Denver Kennett and Delores Kennett	2:18-cv-04078
44 Nancy Ritterbush	2:18-cv-04084
45 Karen Reese	2:18-cv-04086
46 Willa Roberts	2:18-cv-04087
47 Tyrone Robinson	2:18-cv-04088
48 John Van Ness	2:18-cv-04090
49 Harry Hortsch	2:18-cv-04093
50 John Ortiz	2:18-cv-04095
51 Mike Moffat	2:18-cv-04139
52 Kristine S. Murff	2:18-cv-04145
53 Jeff Vider and Peggy Vider	2:18-cv-04151
54 Laurie T. Lum	2:18-cv-04159
55 Patrick Kirk and Rena Kirk	2:18-cv-04163
56 Barbara Corley	2:18-cv-04164
57 Danny Kinser	2:18-cv-04167
58 Betty L. Sanner	2:18-cv-04169
59 Valerie Taylor	2:18-cv-04173
60 Charles Ketcherside	2:18-cv-04178
61 Tia Hartmann	2:18-cv-04180
62 Grady Harris	2:18-cv-04181
63 Sue Ann Sanford	2:18-cv-04182
64 Daniel Sharp	2:18-cv-04184
65 Kathleen Johnson	2:18-cv-04190
66 Rachel Hogg	2:18-cv-04192
67 Barry Turner	2:18-cv-04193
68 Janice Givins	2:18-cv-04197
69 Gilda Saunders	2:18-cv-04198
70 Isaac S. Reid	2:18-cv-04200
71 Froncell Shannon	2:18 cv 04200
72 Mary A. Williams	2:18 cv 04207
73 Stephanie James and Bernard James	2:18-cv-04209
74 Rayshell Robinson	2:18-cv-04215
75 William Gilmore	2:18-cv-04216
76 Lorraine Smith	2:18-cv-04217
77 Deborah Harling	2:18-cv-04217
Samantha Hawksorth, As Proposed Representative of the Estate of David	2.10-07-04210
78 L. Hawksworth, Deceased	2:18-cv-04220
79 Richard Hobbs	2:18-cv-04222
80 Michael Arnold	2:18-cv-04222 2:18-cv-04454
81 Linda Atkinson and Tommy Atkinson	2:18-cv-04459
82 Larry Brewer, Sr.	2:18-cv-04461
83 Sylvia Brooks	2:18-cv-04462
84 Herbert Banks and Myra Banks	2:18-cv-04463
85 Willien Holmes	2:18-cv-04464
86 Geneva Corbitt	2:18-cv-04465
87 Jerry Cameron	2:18-cv-04467
88 Kimberly A. Clark	2:18-cv-04469

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 18 of 43 PageID: 399 Exhibit A

na Fitzsimmons rry Campbell endy Bess elma Hampton mald Coble orman Holloway zell Collins ssandra Howard nt Davis thy Cook muglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, acceased urschel Merriett rginia Rackins	2:18-cv-04472 2:18-cv-04473 2:18-cv-04474 2:18-cv-04476 2:18-cv-04478 2:18-cv-04481 2:18-cv-04482 2:18-cv-04484 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
endy Bess elma Hampton onald Coble orman Holloway zell Collins ssandra Howard nt Davis thy Cook ouglas Ivey arren Crowell ichael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, acceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04474 2:18-cv-04476 2:18-cv-04478 2:18-cv-04481 2:18-cv-04482 2:18-cv-04484 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
elma Hampton onald Coble orman Holloway zell Collins ssandra Howard nt Davis thy Cook ouglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, aceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04476 2:18-cv-04478 2:18-cv-04481 2:18-cv-04482 2:18-cv-04484 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
onald Coble orman Holloway zell Collins ssandra Howard nt Davis thy Cook ouglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. hior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, aceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04478 2:18-cv-04481 2:18-cv-04482 2:18-cv-04484 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
zell Collins zell Collins ssandra Howard nt Davis thy Cook ouglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, ceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04481 2:18-cv-04482 2:18-cv-04484 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
zell Collins ssandra Howard nt Davis thy Cook buglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. hior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, aceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04482 2:18-cv-04484 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
ssandra Howard nt Davis thy Cook buglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. hior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, ceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04484 2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
nt Davis thy Cook ouglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. hior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, eceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04486 2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
thy Cook ouglas Ivey arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. hior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, ceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04487 2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. hior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, ceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04488 2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
arren Crowell chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, ceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04489 2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
chael Lopez ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, ceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04494 2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, eceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04496 2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
ndra Davis bert Parham, Jr. nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, eceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04497 2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, eceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
nior McDaniel mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, eceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04498 2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
mmie Gibbons resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, ceased rrschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04499 2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
resa Harlen, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack R. Harlen, eceased erschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04500 2:18-cv-04503
ceased rschel Merriett	2:18-cv-04503
rschel Merriett	
	2:18-cv-04504
-	2:18-cv-04507
	2:18-cv-04509
	2:18-cv-04510
	2:18-cv-04513
	2:18-cv-04514
	2:18-cv-04515
	2:18-cv-04517
	2:18-cv-04519
*	2:18-cv-04520
	2:18-cv-04521
chael Morelock	2:18-cv-04522
rliss Royal	2:18-cv-04523
onne Sheers	2:18-cv-04524
eana Hardin	2:18-cv-04525
enda Dale	2:18-cv-04526
enda Smith-Capps	2:18-cv-04527
lly Smith	2:18-cv-04529
ances Hardins	2:18-cv-04530
nald White	2:18-cv-04531
Ima Comer	2:18-cv-04532
rbara Sapp-Greene	2:18-cv-04533
	2:18-cv-04535
	2:18-cv-04536
	2:18-cv-04537
	2:18-cv-04538
·	2:18-cv-04542
	onne Sheers eana Hardin enda Dale enda Smith-Capps ly Smith nces Hardins nald White Ima Comer

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 19 of 43 PageID: 400 Exhibit A

	Kathleen Hughes	2:18-cv-04543
	Terria Wallace-Terrell	2:18-cv-04544
	Philip Sawyer	2:18-cv-04545
	Gary Nunez	2:18-cv-04614
	Rhea Smith	2:18-cv-04615
	Dwayne Fails	2:18-cv-04618
141	Daniel Opp	2:18-cv-04622
	Tina Bowman	2:18-cv-04623
143	Ted Smith	2:18-cv-04624
144	Betty Brumfield	2:18-cv-04626
145	Walter Hammond and Adah Kennon	2:18-cv-04628
146	Alvin Stafford	2:18-cv-04629
147	Edward Chicarelli, Sr.	2:18-cv-04631
148	Gregory Chicarelli	2:18-cv-04635
149	Valerie Jones	2:18-cv-04636
150	John Sydnor	2:18-cv-04637
151	Jacqueline Blake	2:18-cv-04638
152	Jason Kellems	2:18-cv-04639
153	Barbara Johnson	2:18-cv-04640
154	William E. Taulbee	2:18-cv-04646
155	James Thornhill	2:18-cv-04651
156	Keith Likes	2:18-cv-04652
157	Mark Pickens	2:18-cv-04657
158	Peggy Waldron	2:18-cv-04658
159	Dawn Lockett	2:18-cv-04659
160	Carolyn Polly	2:18-cv-04660
161	Jerry Queen	2:18-cv-04661
162	Bobbie Walker	2:18-cv-04663
163	Eugenia Long	2:18-cv-04664
164	Joaquin Ramos and Linda Ramos	2:18-cv-04665
165	Margaret Manly	2:18-cv-04667
166	Janie Washington	2:18-cv-04669
167	Dena Sinnett	2:18-cv-04671
168	Brian Boyd	2:18-cv-04672
169	Rachedia Ross, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Kelli Prevo,	2:18-cv-04681
109	Deceased	2.10-00-04001
170	Thelma Mason	2:18-cv-04684
171	Brenda Read	2:18-cv-04687
172	Julie Redderson	2:18-cv-04690
173	Harvey Chavez	2:18-cv-04695
174	Patricia J Smith	2:18-cv-04696
175	Judith Turner	2:18-cv-04697
176	Irma Santana	2:18-cv-04698
177	Michael Chivers	2:18-cv-04699
178	Sharon Turner	2:18-cv-04700
179	Paula Saul	2:18-cv-04703
180	Paula Sue Schilling	2:18-cv-04706

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 20 of 43 PageID: 401 Exhibit A

181 Milton Sidwell	2:18-cv-04708
182 Wilma Wilson	2:18-cv-04710
183 Amoikon Ngouan	2:18-cv-05032
184 Roger Phillips and Margaret Phillips	2:18-cv-05034
185 Erika Short	2:18-cv-05035
186 Joseph Stephenson	2:18-cv-05036
187 Linda Swinford-Cooksey	2:18-cv-05037
188 Elizabeth Talton	2:18-cv-05039
189 Wanda Thomas	2:18-cv-05040
190 Guy Thomas	2:18-cv-05043
191 Sharon Thornhill	2:18-cv-05044
192 Isaiah Thomas	2:18-cv-05045
193 Patricia Vineyard	2:18-cv-05046
194 Donna Wicker	2:18-cv-05048
195 Michael Yardrough	2:18-cv-05050
196 Perry Trowbridge	2:18-cv-05051
197 Kathy Baumgartner	2:18-cv-05052
198 Keith Turner	2:18-cv-05053
199 Betty Dodd	2:18-cv-05054
200 Lorenzo Valenzuela	2:18-cv-05055
201 Maria Valenzuela	2:18-cv-05057
202 Patrika Vestal	2:18-cv-05059
203 Crystal Cartier	2:18-cv-05063
204 Jerry Messer	2:18-cv-05067
205 John Muncy	2:18-cv-05071
206 Linda Williams	2:18-cv-05075
207 Patricia Younger	2:18-cv-05078
208 Michael Worthen	2:18-cv-05079
209 Robert Dryden	2:18-cv-05081
210 Ricky Thomas	2:18-cv-05082
211 Christina Ward	2:18-cv-05083
212 Charla Mogg	2:18-cv-05084
213 Tommy Huff, Sr.	2:18-cv-05086
214 Renee Martinez	2:18-cv-05128
215 Ramon Barrios	2:18-cv-05129
216 Stephen Mitchell	2:18-cv-05130
217 Allen Murrow	2:18-cv-05132
218 Jerry Franklin	2:18-cv-05133
219 Myra McAllister	2:18-cv-05134
220 Marilyn McCallister	2:18-cv-05136
221 Anthony Taormina	2:18-cv-05137
222 Charles Smith	2:18-cv-05138
223 Donnie Mink	2:18-cv-05140
224 Melody Nequette	2:18-cv-05141
225 Darlene Farr	2:18-cv-05143
226 Catherine Morton-Davis	2:18-cv-05144
227 Bonnie Goodchild	2:18-cv-05146

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 21 of 43 PageID: 402 Exhibit A

228	Dana Butler	2:18-cv-05148
-	Phillip Harris and Denise Harris	2:18-cv-05150
	Hermon McNac, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Lillie M.	
230	Butler, Deceased	2:18-cv-05152
231	Glenda Mays	2:18-cv-05153
232	Kathryn Caban	2:18-cv-05155
233	Christine Scott	2:18-cv-05158
234	Crystal Henson	2:18-cv-05160
235	David McMillen	2:18-cv-05161
236	Clara Singleton	2:18-cv-05162
237	Timothy Carter	2:18-cv-05163
238	Barbara Lambert and Paul Lambert	2:18-cv-05164
239	Phillip Tavegia	2:18-cv-05165
240	Sarah Mitchell	2:18-cv-05166
	Charlotte Means	2:18-cv-05167
	Richard Moran	2:18-cv-05170
	Frederick Nickerson	2:18-cv-05171
	Diane Murphy	2:18-cv-05174
	Glenn Nemecek	2:18-cv-05177
	Margorie Walker	2:18-cv-05178
	Ruth Williamson	2:18-cv-05179
	Harold E. Rakestraw	2:18-cv-05183
	Linda Smith	2:18-cv-05186
	Caroline Weatherton	2:18-cv-05189
	Janie Wright	2:18-cv-05190
	Marisha Miller	2:18-cv-05191
	Melinda McMillen and Lawrence R. McMillen	2:18-cv-05194
	Louanna Dunlap	2:18-cv-05195
	Nancy Miller	2:18-cv-05197
	George Hansen	2:18-cv-05198
	Dwight Smith	2:18-cv-05202
	Freddie Johnson	2:18-cv-05205
	Rebecca Johnston	2:18-cv-05206 2:18-cv-05207
	Alfred Vargas Deanna Lacy	2:18-cv-05207 2:18-cv-05208
	Terry Rasmussen	2:18-cv-05208
	Barbara Manuel	2:18-cv-05212
	Norma Williams	2:18-cv-05212 2:18-cv-05213
	Teresa Byers	2:18-cv-05213
	Adrian Nagy	2:18-cv-05431 2:18-cv-05432
	Anthony Richardson	2:18-cv-05432
	Rosemary Lehr	2:18-cv-05437
	Donald Gibson	2:18-cv-05438
	Lindell Shelby	2:18-cv-05439
	Susan Miller	2:18-cv-05441
	William Wade	2:18-cv-05449
	Larry Huffman	2:18-cv-05451
213	Larry manman	2.10-07-00401

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 22 of 43 PageID: 403 Exhibit A

274 14		2.10
	'illiam Wegis arrell Craw	2:18-cv-05453
		2:18-cv-05454
	erald Whatley	2:18-cv-05455
	seph Cervantes	2:18-cv-05456
	nerry Hunt	2:18-cv-05457
	t Middleton	2:18-cv-05461
	andra Garrett	2:18-cv-05463
	ilda Johnson	2:18-cv-05464
282 To	ony Hernandez	2:18-cv-05472
283 Br	ryan Swanson	2:18-cv-05476
284 Pa	amela Clark	2:18-cv-05478
285 M	elvin Stubbs	2:18-cv-05479
286 Br	rett Timothy	2:18-cv-05481
287 Li	nda M. Williams	2:18-cv-05482
288 Ce	ecelia Clipper	2:18-cv-05483
289 Je	nnifer Wolfe	2:18-cv-05485
290 Ca	atherine Farrell	2:18-cv-05487
291 Sł	naron Powers	2:18-cv-05488
292 Ai	rthur Warshawsky	2:18-cv-05490
	artha Burns	2:18-cv-05495
	ary Robertson	2:18-cv-05499
	/le Rose	2:18-cv-05500
	argie Jennings	2:18-cv-05501
Di	ckey Crihfield, As Proposed Representative of the Estate of Miki L.	
/4/1	ihfield, deceased	2:18-cv-05502
	ffrey Jones	2:18-cv-05504
	eborah Lee	2:18-cv-05507
	len Moritt	2:18-cv-05509
	urma Sizemore	2:18-cv-05511
	bb Hoover	2:18-cv-05513
	armen Stevens	2:18-cv-05516
	amantha Lawson	2:18-cv-05520
		2.10-00-00000
3051	nirley Teel, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Ezra C. Teel, eceased	2:18-cv-05521
306 M	argie Delauder	2:18-cv-05526
307 Br	renda Bunch	2:18-cv-05942
308 Ri	chard Cannon	2:18-cv-05947
309 M	elissa Curry	2:18-cv-05952
310 Br	renda Dye	2:18-cv-05956
311 Sł	neryl Gerald	2:18-cv-05959
312 Ce	edric Florence	2:18-cv-05960
313 De	ennis Lane	2:18-cv-05962
	arsha Layman	2:18-cv-05964
	yce Noble	2:18-cv-05968
	amantha Riddle	2:18-cv-05971
	chard Slate	2:18-cv-05973
317IRi		

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 23 of 43 PageID: 404 Exhibit A

Barbara Gibson, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Alta Gib	bson.
319 Deceased	2:18-cv-05976
320 William Taylor	2:18-cv-05977
321 Janet Washington	2:18-cv-05978
322 George Hawkins	2:18-cv-05980
323 Dana Wilson	2:18-cv-05982
324 Michael Longacre	2:18-cv-05988
325 Linda Martin	2:18-cv-05989
326 Debra O'Neal	2:18-cv-05993
327 Elnora Pope	2:18-cv-05995
328 Susan Reedy	2:18-cv-05996
329 Debbie Reynolds	2:18-cv-05997
330 Lacy Skinner	2:18-cv-06000
331 Linda Wilson	2:18-cv-06002
332 Brenda Young	2:18-cv-06003
333 Kathryn Anderson	2:18-cv-06134
334 Larry Basham and Linda Basham	2:18-cv-06138
335 Mary Hollander	2:18-cv-06148
336 Lance Faulkner	2:18-cv-06154
337 Christina Ford	2:18-cv-06157
338 Ruby Brake	2:18-cv-06161
339 Sharon Reid	2:18-cv-06164
340 Jimmy Brown	2:18-cv-06165
341 Bartholomew Gaiera and Karen Gaiera	2:18-cv-06166
342 Gregry Russell	2:18-cv-06169
343 Kathlene Brown	2:18-cv-06171
344 Sarah Brown	2:18-cv-06175
345 Sonjia Short	2:18-cv-06177
346 Donald Silas	2:18-cv-06180
347 Rita Bentley	2:18-cv-06184
348 Rebecca Harrington	2:18-cv-06196
349 Linda Buie	2:18-cv-06198
350 Patricia Hasty	2:18-cv-06202
351 John Copp	2:18-cv-06204
352 Sherry Davis	2:18-cv-06206
353 Garry Jackson	2:18-cv-06207
354 Richard Jackson and Judy Fontenot	2:18-cv-06214
355 John Whatley	2:18-cv-06216
356 Eugene Johnson	2:18-cv-06222
357 Beverly Elgan	2:18-cv-06223
358 Susan Downs	2:18-cv-06224
359 Cardell Woodard	2:18-cv-06225
360 Sharon Farris	2:18-cv-06227
361 Junita Horn	2:18-cv-06231
362 Bonnie Mize	2:18-cv-06232
363 Jackie Knight	2:18-cv-06233
364 Sarah Landry	2:18-cv-06239

# Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 24 of 43 PageID: 405 Exhibit A

	Richard Guiterrez	2:18-cv-06240
	Richard Leonard	2:18-cv-06244
367	Karla Lee	2:18-cv-06245
368	Theodore Logan, Jr.	2:18-cv-06250
369	Sonja Prince	2:18-cv-06251
370	Belinda Holland	2:18-cv-06253
371	Tunya Lowe	2:18-cv-06256
372	Steve Thompson	2:18-cv-06260
373	Dorothy Van Horn	2:18-cv-06264
374	Sandra Walling	2:18-cv-06268
	Marlene McIntyre	2:18-cv-06270
	, Michael Wetselline	2:18-cv-06271
	Patina Johnson	2:18-cv-06274
	Billy Largen and Donna Brown	2:18-cv-06278
	Lillian Paxton	2:18-cv-06284
	Bob Russom	2:18-cv-06288
	Susan White, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Eugene	2.10 CV 00200
381	Kujawski, Deceased	2:18-cv-06432
202	Johnny Daniels	2:18-cv-06440
	Emilee Palmer and Michael D. Palmer	2:18-cv-06449
202		2.10-00-00449
384	Mary Nordby, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Joan Jackson,	2:18-cv-06450
205	Deceased Time Theorem	2.10
	Tina Thornburg	2:18-cv-06456
386	Ennis Dunning	2:18-cv-06460
387	Marsha Graham, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Gary	2:18-cv-06467
200	Graham, Deceased	2.4.0 0.6.470
388	Kevin Harper	2:18-cv-06473
389	Travis Charlton, As Proposed Representative of the Estate of Cynthia	2:18-cv-06476
	Halbert, Deceased	
390	Ima Young and Hollis Young-Wheely	2:18-cv-06480
391	Lesa Honn, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate of	2:18-cv-06481
	Leonard Honn, Deceased	
392	Teresa Alvarez, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate	2:18-cv-06484
	of Thomas Alvarez, Deceased	
393	Rosie Alvarez, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate of	2:18-cv-06488
000	Urbano Alvarez, Deceased	2110 07 00 100
394	Nina Fernandez, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Sanra Nobil,	2:18-cv-06497
554	Deceased	2.10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
395	Bradley Olson and Shirley Olson	2:18-cv-06498
396	Bernice Haley, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate	2:18-cv-06504
390	of Dennis Ray Haley, Deceased	2.10-07-00304
397	Jerry Blosser, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate of	2.19 0.06515
397	Wanda Blosser, Deceased	2:18-cv-06515
		2.10 - 00520
398	Norma Stillwagoner	2:18-cv-06520
398 399	Debbie Edgell, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate	2:18-cv-06520 2:18-cv-06521

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 25 of 43 PageID: 406 Exhibit A

400	Karen Keenan, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Larry Keenan, Deceased	2:18-cv-06522
401	Shirley Morton, Individually and as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Delbert P Morton Sr., Deceased	2:18-cv-06527
402	James McDade, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Laverne McDade, Deceased	2:18-cv-06528
403	Gina Zerby, Individually and as the Proposed Representative of Michael Zerby, Deceased	2:18-cv-06532
404	Lillian Paxton, Individually and as Proposed Representatie of the Estate of John Paxton, Deceased	2:18-cv-06533
405	Michelle Wilson	2:18-cv-06540
406	Rita Johnson, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Lessie Tharpe, Deceased	2:18-cv-06549
407	Emily Knotts, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Cheryl Stefenel, Deceased	2:18-cv-06552
408	William Cavanaugh and Margaret Cavanaugh	2:18-cv-06791
	Julie Cross	2:18-cv-06800
410	Jacquelyn Booker	2:18-cv-06834
411	Dianne Caldwell	2:18-cv-06846
412	Brenda Cameron	2:18-cv-06854
413	Leona Collins, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Deniese Collins, Deceased	2:18-cv-06869
414	Patrick Connors	2:18-cv-06876
415	Larry Ludwick	2:18-cv-06937
416	Gladys Maddox	2:18-cv-06939
417	Frank Rendon	2:18-cv-06940
418	Johnnie Oliver	2:18-cv-06947
419	Charles Jones, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Victoria Jones, Deceased	2:18-cv-06952
420	John Cole	2:18-cv-06962
421	Helen Robinson	2:18-cv-06963
422	Dorothy Alegria, As the Representative of the Estate of Edwin Alegria, deceased	2:18-cv-06966
423	Charles Howard	2:18-cv-06986
	Luvern Purnell	2:18-cv-06997
	Amy Qualles	2:18-cv-07001
	Teresa Hill-Ibrahim	2:18-cv-07005
	Barbara Burger	2:18-cv-07027
	Kathy Lockhart	2:18-cv-07032
	Dionna McGairk	2:18-cv-07043
	Vertis Kellam	2:18-cv-07048
431	Judy Bradshaw, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Jimmy Bradshaw, Deceased	2:18-cv-07049
432	Richard Oyerbides, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Rebecca Mobley, Deceased	2:18-cv-07052
433	Shane Kilgore	2:18-cv-07057

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 26 of 43 PageID: 407 Exhibit A

435	Aquinda Woodrum	2:18-cv-07084
	Virginia Boyd	2:18-cv-07090
	Carl Evans Jr. and Carolyn Evans	2:18-cv-07106
	Herman Firmin	2:18 cv 07 100 2:18-cv-07110
	Cornelius Bentley Sr.	2:18-cv-07112
	Herbert Johnson	2:18-cv-07130
		2.10-07-150
441	Joan Stoveken, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Gay Stoveken, Deceased	2:18-cv-07137
442	Angela Spicer, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of James Spicer, Deceased	2:18-cv-07148
443	Amanda Turner, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Ronald Turner, Deceased	2:18-cv-07153
444	Christopher Crittenden	2:18-cv-07154
	Jessie Darby, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Donnie Darby, Deceased	2:18-cv-07156
446	Malissa Wilson	2:18-cv-07170
-	Erick Barnes	2:18-cv-07187
	John Norton	2:18-cv-07192
	Tammy Perry	2:18-cv-07194
	Brenda Fletcher	2:18 cv 07194 2:18-cv-07203
	Nancy Esque	2:18-cv-07208
		2:18-cv-07208
	Kathleen King	
453	Robert Brown	2:18-cv-07234
454	Diane McGee, individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Kevin McGee, Deceased	2:18-cv-07239
455	Mary Laffoon	2:18-cv-07243
456	George Gale	2:18-cv-07267
457	Clarence Abrams	2:18-cv-07270
458	Bonnie Apple	2:18-cv-07287
	Helen Cannon	2:18-cv-07302
	Sharon Ayers-Johnson	2:18-cv-07313
461	Olivia Hogan, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Maggie Harrison, Deceased	2:18-cv-07319
462	Brenda Bell	2:18-cv-07333
	Thomas Russo	2:18 cv 07330
	Forest Moore	2:18 cv 07340 2:18-cv-07351
465	Paul Lue, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Hyacinth Johnson, Deceased	2:18 cv 07351
466	Ernestine Mays-Mitchell, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Ernest Mays, Deceased	2:18-cv-07365
467	Clarence Rich	2:18-cv-07373
	Cynthia Stapleton	2:18-cv-07381
	Alice Williams	
		2:18-cv-07390
	Birdie Woods	2:18-cv-07438
	Lynette Tucker	2:18-cv-07441
472	Mary Murphy, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Garland Murphy, Deceased	2:18-cv-07450

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 27 of 43 PageID: 408 Exhibit A

473	Ronald Chasteen	2:18-cv-07474
	Douglas Dennison	2:18 cv 07474 2:18-cv-07488
	Kathleen White	2:18-cv-07501
	Shirley Newsome	2:18-cv-07503
	Lloyd Fleenor	2:18-cv-07515
	Jamie Morgan, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of	2.10 07 07 515
478	Bernard Morgan, Deceased	2:18-cv-07526
	Cecelia Roberts	2:18-cv-07534
	Tammy Taylor	2:18-cv-07538
481	Bessie Madden	2:18-cv-07541
482	Frank Tropier, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Irene Tropier, Deceased	2:18-cv-07544
483	Deborah King, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Eva Manley, Deceased	2:18-cv-07550
484	Curtis Blankenship	2:18-cv-07553
485	Betty Apellido	2:18-cv-07557
486	Ora Groves	2:18-cv-07590
487	Gloria Dietrich	2:18-cv-07592
488	Robin Eden	2:18-cv-07613
489	Walker Howell	2:18-cv-07616
490	Stephanie Ralston-Bailey	2:18-cv-07617
	Laura Richie	2:18-cv-07622
492	Regina Salisbury	2:18-cv-07632
	Marlene Hatfield	2:18-cv-07639
494	Caren Singer	2:18-cv-07640
	Randall Morton	2:18-cv-07662
	Sharon Nali	2:18-cv-07667
	Irvin Albright	2:18-cv-07669
498	Mary Ann Negrete, individually and as the Representative of the Estate of	2:18-cv-07671
499	Hiram Negrete, Deceased William Solis, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Aura	2:18-cv-07688
	Burgos, Deceased	
	Ronald Klinenberg	2:18-cv-07706
501	Linda Weller, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Marjorie Beecher, Deceased	2:18-cv-07707
502	Luis Nesta	2:18-cv-07708
503	Lorraine Turco	2:18-cv-07713
504	Delorise Marks	2:18-cv-07716
505	Mildred Hernandez, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Charles Varela, Deceased	2:18-cv-07724
506	Hazel Phillips	2:18-cv-07748
507	Elvia Quiroga, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of	2:18-cv-07751
F 0.0	Pedro Quiroga, Deceased	2.10 07750
508	Tracie Powers	2:18-cv-07756
509	Mary Rivali, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Robert Rivali, Deceased	2:18-cv-07760
510	Roger Nelson	2:18-cv-07773

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 28 of 43 PageID: 409 Exhibit A

D. d. a. ville v		
5111	n Sullivan, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Sullivan, Deceased	2:18-cv-07781
512 Bernad	line Hardie	2:18-cv-07795
513 Peter (	Guerrero	2:18-cv-07796
514 Delisha	a Thomas	2:18-cv-07801
515 Joel Ne	idlinger	2:18-cv-07833
516 Deann	a Shafer	2:18-cv-07851
517 Michae	el Barnett	2:19-cv-01055
518	Hageman, As Proposed Representative of the Estate of Jack an, Deceased	2:19-cv-01584
519 Dennis	Kendall	2:19-cv-01668
520 Quintir	n Dennis	2:19-cv-01813
521 Ruth D	obson	2:19-cv-01849
522 Martha	a Griffith	2:19-cv-01853
523 Willian	n Hall	2:19-cv-01859
524 Gloria	Haywood	2:19-cv-01881
525 James	· ·	2:19-cv-01883
526 Ruth H	urd	2:19-cv-01887
527 Eric Hu	rwitz	2:19-cv-01889
528 Patricia		2:19-cv-01897
529 Paul Jo		2:19-cv-01902
530 Ethel B		2:19-cv-01914
531 Michel		2:19-cv-01923
532 George		2:19-cv-01931
533 Raymo		2:19-cv-01939
534 John B		2:19-cv-01945
535 Cindy (		2:19-cv-01948
536 Colleer		2:19-cv-01965
5371	arlton, Individually and as the Representative fo the Estate of Carlton Jr., Deceased	2:19-cv-01976
538 Gladys		2:19-cv-01981
539 Pete C	· · ·	2:19-cv-01990
540 Brando		2:19-cv-02004
541 Robert		2:19-cv-02011
542 Wanda		2:19-cv-02012
543 Jason [		2:19-cv-02015
544 Linda N		2:19-cv-02035
545 Odessa	Mitchell	2:19-cv-02040
546 Patricia	a Mitchell	2:19-cv-02048
547 Charles	s Newsom	2:19-cv-02050
548 Oreste		2:19-cv-02059
549 Helmu	t Otto	2:19-cv-02061
550 Charlo		2:19-cv-02074
551 Carey I	Bowie, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Henry Deceased	2:19-cv-02086
552 Willian		2:19-cv-02089
	n Ketchmore	2:19-cv-02102

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 29 of 43 PageID: 410 Exhibit A

	Juan Cantu, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of	
554	Margarita Cantu, Deceased	2:19-cv-02104
555	Juanita Landers	2:19-cv-02127
556	Johnny Fritts	2:19-cv-02128
557	Karen Gaines	2:19-cv-02136
5581	Brenda McCurdy, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Rickey McCurdy, Deceased	2:19-cv-02143
559	Gloria Hernandez	2:19-cv-02147
560	Cynthia McDonald, As the representative of the Estate of Helen McDonald, deceased	2:19-cv-02157
	Bridgette Long	2:19-cv-02159
562	Nettie Overton, individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Charlie Overton, Deceased	2:19-cv-02174
563	Glenda Long	2:19-cv-02175
	Melissa Olson	2:19-cv-02204
	Sandra Pannell	2:19-cv-02246
	Priscille Parent	2:19-cv-02261
	Lucretia Peavy	2:19-cv-02275
	Mabel Perry	2:19-cv-02318
	Glenna Pool	2:19-cv-02335
	Debra Primrose	2:19-cv-02356
571	Margaret Pryor, As the Representative of the Estate of Keith Pryor, deceased	2:19-cv-02367
	Joyce Sheffield	2:19-cv-02377
	Terry Sheffield	2:19-cv-02386
	Esther Rangel, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Armando Rangel, Deceased	2:19-cv-02404
575	Henry Shuster	2:19-cv-02445
	John Silva	2:19-cv-02454
	Lionel Smith	2:19-cv-02464
	Linda Stockwell	2:19-cv-02404 2:19-cv-02475
	Diane Watkins	2:19-cv-02484
	James Williams	2:19-cv-02484 2:19-cv-02487
	Charles Willey	2:19-cv-02487 2:19-cv-02493
	Darwin Valentine	2:19-cv-02493 2:19-cv-02547
	Linda Wood	2:19-cv-02547 2:19-cv-02562
584	Susan Lynn Wright, Individually and as the Representatie of the Estate of Tabitha Wright, Deceased	2:19-cv-02577
	Denise Brown	2:19-cv-02581
	Donna Wooten	2:19-cv-02581 2:19-cv-02586
	Andra Henderson	2:19-cv-02586 2:19-cv-02743
		2:19-cv-02743 2:19-cv-02748
	Darryl Herod Michael Prilla	
		2:19-cv-02955
	John Choyce	2:19-cv-02988
591	Cheryl Adams, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Belle Collins, Deceased	2:19-cv-02996
592	Elia Carrillo	2:19-cv-03068

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 30 of 43 PageID: 411 Exhibit A

593 Jeffrey Carter, Sr.	2:19-cv-03069
594 Carla Comer	2:19-cv-03073
595 Leota Conrad	2:19-cv-03075
596 John Covell	2:19-cv-03078
597 Cynthia Etheridge	2:19-cv-03118
598 Nancy Fennell	2:19-cv-03132
599 Nellie Ferguson	2:19-cv-03137
600 Treva Graves	2:19-cv-03142
601 Terry Haynes	2:19-cv-03163
602 Bertha Gable	2:19-cv-03165
603 Georgia Jackson-Wade	2:19-cv-03193
604 Rhonda Gomez	2:19-cv-03217
605 Kevin Goss	2:19-cv-03252
606 Paula Jones	2:19-cv-03256
607 Merle Kirkland	2:19-cv-03272
608 Mark Lacombe	2:19-cv-03277
609 Dennis Lacy	2:19-cv-03284
610 Lisa Peters	2:19-cv-03312
611 Shelia Holmes	2:19-cv-03327
612 Edward Miller	2:19-cv-03340
613 Brandon Hugghins	2:19-cv-03366
614 Sylvia Perez	2:19-cv-03368
615 Linda Phillips	2:19-cv-03376
616 Michelle Inman	2:19-cv-03391
617 Charlene Jackson	2:19-cv-03415
618 Brenda Ridyolph	2:19-cv-03419
619 Paula Jackson	2:19-cv-03433
620 Sandi Robinson	2:19-cv-03435
621 Wanda Rogers	2:19-cv-03445
622 Barbara Steele	2:19-cv-03458
623 Bettye Stockton	2:19-cv-03467
624 Erick Joe	2:19-cv-03476
625 Nancy Sullivan	2:19-cv-03477
626 Shirley Swope	2:19-cv-03480
627 Cynthia Tucker	2:19-cv-03489
628 Shirlie Johnson	2:19-cv-03494
629 Dante Wilder	2:19-cv-03508
630 Moses Willmore	2:19 cv 03500 2:19-cv-03520
631 Lidia Yanez	2:19 cv 03520
632 Ronald E. Ker	2:19 cv 03521
633 Karen Collins, As proposed representative of the Estate of Charles Co deceased	
634 Carolyn Coule and Jerome Coule	2:19-cv-03544
635 Joel Kight	2:19-cv-03545
636 Rosetta Cunningham	2:19-cv-03553
637 Helen Davis	2:19-cv-03561
638 Paul E. Dilocker	2:19-cv-03589

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 31 of 43 PageID: 412 Exhibit A

	Ruth Edwards	2:19-cv-03595
640	Rickie Swonger, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Joyce Boyer, Deceased	2:19-cv-03596
	Carl Brewer, Jr.	2:19-cv-03605
642	Lowanda Ford, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of William Ford,	2:19-cv-03612
	Deceased	2.4.0 02.54.0
	Phillip Cottle	2:19-cv-03618
	Linda Fresquez	2:19-cv-03624
	Timothy Buzard	2:19-cv-03626
	Diana Greathouse	2:19-cv-03633
6471	Lena Turknett, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Cecilia Gaines, Deceased	2:19-cv-03636
648	Suzanne Coleman-Cunningham	2:19-cv-03638
649	Maria Garcia	2:19-cv-03644
6501	Betty Hunter, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Thomas Hunter, Deceased	2:19-cv-03645
651	Noreen Davis-Xanthis	2:19-cv-03646
652	Harrison Gift, III	2:19-cv-03651
	Juanita Mekwuye	2:19-cv-03652
	Lucille Dunson	2:19-cv-03661
655	Bernadette Green	2:19-cv-03662
	Barbara Zajack	2:19-cv-03663
	Ruthie Griffin	2:19-cv-03670
	Melba Fabel	2:19-cv-03671
	Jennifer Collins	2:19-cv-03679
	Cecile Fichtner	2:19-cv-03681
	Melissa Harris	2:19-cv-03684
	Tracy Henderson	2:19-cv-03685
	Linwood Flemister	2:19-cv-03686
	Kathlene Henson and Ernest Henson	2:19 cv 03000 2:19-cv-03687
	Elizabeth Flournoy	2:19 cv 03087 2:19-cv-03697
	Cathleen James	2:19 cv 03097 2:19-cv-03707
	James Franklin, Sr.	2:19-cv-03711
668	Cyndi Mazza, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Josephine	2:19-cv-03711 2:19-cv-03716
	Kempf, Deceased Cynthia Lawhorn	2:19-cv-03739
	Adeline Henderson	2:19-cv-03769
	Linda Martinez	2:19-cv-03777
	Lynell Johnson	2:19-cv-03784
	Michael Jones	2:19-cv-03806
	Cara Kreider	2:19-cv-03817
	Stephen McNeill	2:19-cv-03823
6/5	Richard Lombardo	2:19-cv-03825
676		
676 677	Linda Metcalf	2:19-cv-03836
676 677 678		

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 32 of 43 PageID: 413 Exhibit A

681	Tammy Phipps	2:19-cv-03863
	Melissa Konarski, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of	
6X / I	Pamela Zaccardi, Deceased	2:19-cv-03869
	Patty Anderson	2:19-cv-03889
	Kevin Takacs	2:19-cv-03921
685	Patricia E. Thomas	2:19-cv-03980
686	Brandon Ward	2:19-cv-03987
687	Darren Williams	2:19-cv-04012
688	Belinda Laird	2:19-cv-04031
689	Robert Williams	2:19-cv-04036
690	Gaye Young	2:19-cv-04050
691	Julie Long	2:19-cv-04094
692	Fidencio Lopez	2:19-cv-04111
	Anita Loudy	2:19-cv-04113
694	Harold Martin	2:19-cv-04125
695	Fernando Martinez, Jr.	2:19-cv-04130
696	Sandra Detherage	2:19-cv-04133
697	Carol Rosenblum	2:19-cv-04146
698	Linda Barnett	2:19-cv-04152
699	Bernice Elkins, As the Representative of the Estate of Chilles Elkins,	2:19-cv-04161
699	Deceased	2:19-00-04161
700	Susan Payne	2:19-cv-04162
701	Keith Ellery	2:19-cv-04166
702	Kerry Bland	2:19-cv-04178
703	Denise Garrette	2:19-cv-04188
704	Josette Schaffer	2:19-cv-04192
705	Barbara Grant	2:19-cv-04197
706	Lynn Seabrook	2:19-cv-04198
707	Mary C. Smith	2:19-cv-04202
708	John Danso, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of Vickie	2:19-cv-04204
700	Danso, Deceased	2.13 CV 04204
	Rachel Smith	2:19-cv-04207
	Lawrence Lucerne	2:19-cv-04209
	Rickey E. Vice	2:19-cv-04211
	Sandra Mason	2:19-cv-04218
	Cheryl Woody	2:19-cv-04223
	Beverly McCaleb	2:19-cv-04224
/15	Veda McDonald-Rhodes, Individually and as the Representative of the	2:19-cv-04228
	Estate of Andre McDonald, Deceased	
	Joanne Smith	2:19-cv-04234
	Lee Spaulding	2:19-cv-04238
	Diane Wood	2:19-cv-04242
	Marvin Edwards	2:19-cv-04248
	John Mangum	2:19-cv-04263
	Robert McKim	2:19-cv-04266
722	Paul Shrode	2:19-cv-04267

#### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 33 of 43 PageID: 414 Exhibit A

// 31	ry Nicholson, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Winnie L. nolson, Deceased	2:19-cv-04276
	ert C. Lopez	2:19-cv-04342
	ry Burchett	2:19-cv-04470
	g Cadjew	2:19-cv-04473
	bel Campbell	2:19-cv-04485
728 Clar	ice Boutin	2:19-cv-04486
729 Joai	nna E. Campbell	2:19-cv-04492
	nten W. Bowen	2:19-cv-04497
731 Mic	hael Bowen	2:19-cv-04503
732 Cat	hleen A. Brooks	2:19-cv-04504
733 Dor	Burleson-Castillo	2:19-cv-04505
734 Teri	ri L. Banfield	2:19-cv-04506
735 Lisa	Brookshire	2:19-cv-04510
736 Me	inda J. Burns	2:19-cv-04515
737 Cat	herine Antwine	2:19-cv-04516
738 Roy	D. Burress	2:19-cv-04517
739 Jack	kie L. Brown	2:19-cv-04518
740 Jose	eph A. Archer	2:19-cv-04519
741 Mai	rgie T. Bannister	2:19-cv-04528
742 Dan	ny Asti	2:19-cv-04534
743 Leta	a Bannon	2:19-cv-04535
744 Jani	ce Weibley, on behalf of Elizabeth L. Boyd	2:19-cv-04537
745 Cas	sandra Bell	2:19-cv-04548
746 Deb	ora Bramblett	2:19-cv-04561
747 Dou	ıglas Ball	2:19-cv-04572
748 Laq	uiche L. Benjamin	2:19-cv-04573
	nt Bregan	2:19-cv-04574
	ron L. Bennett	2:19-cv-04580
751 Cha	rita R. Brown	2:19-cv-04586
	nny Brown	2:19-cv-04592
	d Brown	2:19-cv-04595
	nne Abrams	2:19-cv-04617
	D. Binder	2:19-cv-04628
	xy W. Barley	2:19-cv-04629
	dy J. Bryant	2:19-cv-04630
	nmie Y. Cheatham	2:19-cv-04634
	rles A. Biondillo	2:19-cv-04643
	inis Bunch	2:19-cv-04650
	rman Bunnell	2:19-cv-04653
	h Cassidy	2:19-cv-04664
	Jo Albright	2:19-cv-04674
	n D. Alexander	2:19-cv-04680
	ephine Basey	2:19-cv-04681
	nisha L. Bishop	2:19-cv-04684
767 Joe	Alfieri istine S. Basile	2:19-cv-04690 2:19-cv-04700

# Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 34 of 43 PageID: 415 Exhibit A

760	Shirley Bass	2:19-cv-04703
	Shirley Bass Sylvia J. Cotton	2:19-cv-04703 2:19-cv-04709
	Gerald E. Coyle	2:19-cv-04709 2:19-cv-04719
	Alice Baxter	2:19-cv-04719 2:19-cv-04722
	Joe Bean	2:19-cv-04722 2:19-cv-04730
	Joe Bean Jackie Crawford	2:19-cv-04730 2:19-cv-04734
	Ruth V. Cleveland	2:19-cv-04735
		2.19-00-04735
//6	Anna Gonzalez, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Beatrice Ceja, Deceased	2:19-cv-04750
	Derrick A. Cloud	2:19-cv-04756
-	Sharon Brewer	2:19-cv-04761
	Etta M. Brewer	2:19-cv-04762
	Tiena Britt	2:19-cv-04773
781	Joe Dehart	2:19-cv-04776
782	Jose Deleon	2:19-cv-04781
783	Twila M. Dillon	2:19-cv-04790
784	Richard Dismuke	2:19-cv-04792
785	Larry Cole	2:19-cv-04798
786	Joel Chapa	2:19-cv-04810
787	Leif E. Anderson	2:19-cv-04821
788	Mary Eddy	2:19-cv-04825
789	Dora Chatman	2:19-cv-04826
790	Stephen Eaton	2:19-cv-04829
791	David A. Ealy	2:19-cv-04837
792	Jack Cunningham	2:19-cv-04844
793	Clara C. Dacko	2:19-cv-04848
794	Linda Duffy	2:19-cv-04862
795	Jean C. Darby	2:19-cv-04878
796	Tina Dasher	2:19-cv-04882
797	Mark A. Anderson	2:19-cv-04883
798	Arnada F. Davis	2:19-cv-04906
799	Jamie Davis	2:19-cv-04907
800	Augusta L. Colson	2:19-cv-04909
	John Elliott	2:19-cv-04913
802	David Andrews	2:19-cv-04914
803	Deborah K. Elmer	2:19-cv-04918
804	Lori A. Enos	2:19-cv-04925
805	Adela Anguiano	2:19-cv-04927
806	Troy Ersch	2:19-cv-04932
807	Theresa Cooper	2:19-cv-04939
	Doris Crutchfield	2:19-cv-04944
	Kevin Carr	2:19-cv-04950
	Letrell Cuff	2:19-cv-04951
	Robbin Carridine	2:19-cv-04952
	Catherine Carroll	2:19-cv-04960
	Lula M. Day	2:19-cv-04961
	James F. Dean	2:19-cv-04967

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 35 of 43 PageID: 416 Exhibit A

815 Pamela Fix	2:19-cv-04972
816 John Fry	2:19-cv-04976
817 Angela Clinton	2:19-cv-04981
818 Pat J. Evans	2:19-cv-04986
819 Cynthia Bonacci	2:19-cv-04994
820 Robin Fizhugh	2:19-cv-05006
821 Joyce Carvalho	2:19-cv-05016
822 Calvin Carver	2:19-cv-05022
823 Sherley L. Booker	2:19-cv-05027
824 Lee Booth	2:19-cv-05052
825 Albert V. Borboa	2:19-cv-05053
826 Evelyn W. Frey	2:19-cv-05069
827 Mary Duncan	2:19-cv-05072
828 Mary Jane Franklin	2:19-cv-05073
829 Gerardo Gallaga	2:19-cv-05089
830 Libia Felix	2:19 cv 05009 2:19-cv-05094
831 Charlotte Edwards	2:19 cv 0505 1
832 Amber N. Felthauser	2:19-cv-05098
833 Dorthy Edwards	2:19-cv-05099
834 Jacqualine Ferera	2:19 cv 05055 2:19-cv-05102
835 Beverly Ficklin	2:19 cv 05102 2:19-cv-05111
836 Keith Franklin	2:19 cv 05111 2:19-cv-05112
837 Walter Gaddis	2:19 cv 05112 2:19-cv-05115
838 Matilda Gagliardi	2:19 cv 05119 2:19-cv-05119
839 Barbara S. Foutty	2:19 cv 05115 2:19-cv-05132
840 Debbie A. Garcia	2:19 cv 05132 2:19-cv-05135
841 Sue A. Fink	2:19 cv 05135 2:19-cv-05138
842 Susan K. Kellar	2:19 cv 05166
843 Robert L. Johnson, Jr.	2:19 cv 05100 2:19-cv-05168
844 Susan K. Kelley	2:19 cv 05100 2:19-cv-05174
845 Timothy Henry	2:19 cv 05174 2:19-cv-05177
846 Angela K. Henry	2:19 cv 05177
847 David M. Huddleston	2:19 cv 05105 2:19-cv-05186
848 Glenda Jackson	2:19 cv 05100 2:19-cv-05193
849 Bobby G Jones	2:19-cv-05196
850 Darlene Huettenberger	2:19 cv 05190 2:19-cv-05197
851 Gary D. Johnson	2:19 cv 05197 2:19-cv-05199
852 Vivian Knudsen	2:19 cv 05195 2:19-cv-05209
853 Annie M Jones	2:19 cv 05205 2:19-cv-05217
854 Gordon Hills	2:19 cv 05227 2:19-cv-05220
855 Barbara A. Jones	2:19-cv-05230
856 Vickie L. Jones	2:19-cv-05230 2:19-cv-05242
857 Ronnie W. Johnson	2:19 cv 05242 2:19-cv-05247
858 Vickie Kemp	2:19-cv-05249
859 Kathleen F. Kimble	2:19-cv-05263
860 Michael Hurley	2:19-cv-05267
861 Wesley Hurt	2:19-cv-05271 2:19-cv-05271

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 36 of 43 PageID: 417 Exhibit A

862 Billy R. Johns	2:19-cv-05273
863 Donna Hines	2:19-cv-05275
864 Virginia Johnson Gruver	2:19-cv-05281
865 Margaret Jordan	2:19-cv-05295
866 Williard I. Justice	2:19-cv-05304
867 Jane Krause	2:19-cv-05312
868 Carol M. Kristian	2:19-cv-05315
869 Tammy Jobe	2:19-cv-05319
870 Connie Ivory	2:19 cv 05315
871 Karen C. King	2:19-cv-05327
872 Jerry R. Kingery	2:19 cv 05327
873 Constance Gary	2:19-cv-05335
874 Henry H. Hessen	2:19 cv 05355 2:19-cv-05341
875 Patrick W. Lacke	2:19-cv-05349
876 Barton S. Hickey	2:19-cv-05353
877 Cinda Geerlings	2:19 cv 05355 2:19-cv-05359
878 Pamela Kazak	2:19 cv 05355 2:19-cv-05369
879 David Gilbert	2:19-cv-05370
880 Romona Kea	2:19-cv-05375
881 Phyllis j. Kinsey	2:19-cv-05376
882 Edward W. Gildner	2:19-cv-05379
883 Lisa Keith	2:19-cv-05385
884 Deborah Gilstrap	2:19-cv-05387
885 Marion Francis Keith	2:19-cv-05391
886 Sue Langham	2:19-cv-05401
887 William D. Hinshaw	2:19-cv-05415
888 Mark D. Hochul	2:19-cv-05428
889 Kathleen Lalor	2:19-cv-05507
890 Nancy K. Garza	2:19-cv-05528
891 Richard Graham	2:19-cv-05544
892 Louise Jones	2:19-cv-05548
893 June S. Grumbein	2:19-cv-05558
894 Sarah Holland	2:19-cv-05563
895 Ronald W. Grissom	2:19-cv-05600
896 Darren Gines	2:19-cv-05608
897 Milton E. Hansen, Jr.	2:19-cv-05610
898 Loyce A. Hampson	2:19-cv-05623
899 Teresa Haney	2:19-cv-05636
Linda Guzman, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Barbara	2:19-cv-05649
Guzman, Deceased	2.13-01-03049
901 Connie Gamez	2:19-cv-05652
902 Jesse Hales	2:19-cv-05697
903 Paul Glasper	2:19-cv-05699
904 Shelley M. Harder	2:19-cv-05703
905 Anne A. Headrick	2:19-cv-05728
906 Sandra S. Hart	2:19-cv-05731
907 John D. Harrison	2:19-cv-05734

## Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 37 of 43 PageID: 418 Exhibit A

	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Aelvin W. Hendryx	2:19-cv-05745
	'erna Heideman	2:19-cv-05750
	erric R. Henderson	2:19-cv-05773
	ason A. Head	2:19-cv-05847
912 V	anessa Harper	2:19-cv-05850
	effrey A Heaps	2:19-cv-05853
914 B	arbara A. Harper	2:19-cv-05864
915 R	honda Leopold	2:19-cv-06011
916 C	arol A. Lentz	2:19-cv-06012
917 T	erica Lemon	2:19-cv-06014
918 A	lberta Lee	2:19-cv-06017
919 Je	erry Lawley, Jr.	2:19-cv-06026
920 D	elois Miller	2:19-cv-06069
921 G	iail H. Mills	2:19-cv-06072
922 Jo	oseph Mirabile	2:19-cv-06078
	arbara Mire	2:19-cv-06079
	erchia M. Mitchell	2:19-cv-06106
	ason R. Mitchell	2:19-cv-06110
	lice Moore	2:19-cv-06123
	inda L. Hopkins	2:19-cv-06152
	imberly A. Horn	2:19-cv-06160
	/eronica C. Williams	2:19-cv-06193
	ane Libert, as the Proposed Administrator of the Estate of Julianna Libert,	2.19-00-00195
4201	eceased	2:19-cv-06198
931 Je	erry Winthrop	2:19-cv-06213
932 Jo	pe N. Little	2:19-cv-06225
933 B	etty J. Withrow	2:19-cv-06226
934 T	eena Williams	2:19-cv-06236
935 K	evin Wilson	2:19-cv-06305
936 D	besiree Lovins	2:19-cv-06323
937 R	obert R. Houser	2:19-cv-06349
938 Ju	udith Lambert	2:19-cv-06352
939 A	nthony Lanas	2:19-cv-06354
940 Ju	uliana Wimberly	2:19-cv-06370
	etty Lowther	2:19-cv-06374
942 Jo	oseph W. Lucas	2:19-cv-06376
	andace M. Malin	2:19-cv-06392
	lelen M. Martinez	2:19-cv-06426
	aul Martinez	2:19-cv-06429
	ebecca Meader	2:19-cv-06437
	hekina D. Mason	2:19-cv-06439
	acobus Mekes	2:19-cv-06443
2	haron W. Mellott, as the Proposed Administrator of the Estate of Jerry	
949	V. Mellott, deceased	2:19-cv-06445
950 D	eborah Mercer	2:19-cv-06452
951 K	ym Matthews	2:19-cv-06455
952 1	ynda Mercer	2:19-cv-06456

#### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 38 of 43 PageID: 419 Exhibit A

953 Lena W	oolfolk	2:19-cv-06457
954 Jessie N	. Merriweather	2:19-cv-06460
955 Oliver T		2:19-cv-06482
956 Henry G		2:19-cv-06486
957 Lora Wi		2:19-cv-06490
	er J. Mayfield	2:19-cv-06491
959 Teresa I		2:19-cv-06495
960 Arlene I		2:19-cv-06496
961 Thelma		2:19-cv-06520
	VicConnachie	2:19-cv-06522
963 Dee A. I		2:19-cv-06533
964 Iris L. M		2:19-cv-06534
965 Grachel		2:19-cv-06537
966 Janet S.		2:19-cv-06540
967 Douglas		2:19-cv-06542
968 Joann C		2:19 cv 00542 2:19-cv-06550
969 Marilyn		2:19 cv 00550
970 Missour		2:19 cv 00555 2:19-cv-06614
971 Hollis Q		2:19 cv 00014
972 Antonio		2:19 cv 00037
973 Anna M		2:19 cv 00041 2:19-cv-06642
974 August		2:19 cv 00042 2:19-cv-06644
975 Jessie N		2:19 cv 00044 2:19-cv-06649
976 Jeffrey		2:19 cv 00049
977 Norma	· · ·	2:19 cv 00050
978 Marilyn		2:19 cv 00057
979 Mindy (	-	2:19 cv 00000 2:19-cv-06673
980 William		2:19 cv 00073
981 Marilyn		2:19 cv 00077
982 Darrell I		2:19 cv 00090 2:19-cv-06693
983 Betty A.	•	2:19 cv 00095
984 Kay Par		2:19 cv 00090 2:19-cv-06697
985 Maria D		2:19 cv 00097 2:19-cv-06699
	L Patterson	2:19-cv-06706
987 Lisa Yor		2:19 cv 00700 2:19-cv-06712
988 Shirley I		2:19 cv 00712 2:19-cv-06713
989 Sandy N	•	2:19 cv 00713
990 Renato	*	2:19-cv-06730
991 Andrene		2:19-cv-06748
992 Daniel F		2:19 cv 06748
993 David P		2:19-cv-06827
994 Leonard	-	2:19-cv-06828
995 Cherri D		2:19-cv-06837
Rachel I	Aartinez, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Richard	
996	z, Deceased	2:19-cv-06845
997 Annette	•	2:19-cv-06862
	Pleasant	2:19-cv-06883

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 39 of 43 PageID: 420 Exhibit A

000	Adrienne Newton	2:19-cv-06885
	John Nicastro	2:19-cv-06889
	Eddie Nicholson	2:19-cv-06897
	loyce Niemi	2:19-cv-06899
	Luis Nieves	2:19-cv-06900
	Barbara Noble	2:19-cv-06911
	Norma Wright	2:19-cv-06918
	Norman Reynolds	2:19-cv-06938
	Luis Rodriguez-Charriez	2:19-cv-06947
	Walter R. Roger	2:19-cv-06950
	James Potter	2:19-cv-06962
	Misty C. Powell	2:19-cv-06966
	Leon Rhodes and Veronica Rhodes	2:19-cv-06967
	Carolyn Powers	2:19-cv-06987 2:19-cv-06974
	Francis Presto	2:19-cv-06981
	Deborah A. Richard	2:19-cv-06981 2:19-cv-06989
-	Deboran A. Richard Daniel Paul	2:19-cv-06989 2:19-cv-07003
	Louise C. Peaco	
		2:19-cv-07010
	Pamela M. Pruitt	2:19-cv-07022
	Kathy Ridgeway	2:19-cv-07034
	Esteban Rojo	2:19-cv-07039
	Anthony Riley	2:19-cv-07045
	Ricky L. Wilson	2:19-cv-07047
	Annette H. Ringley	2:19-cv-07049
	Linda Roach	2:19-cv-07057
	Leona Quinn	2:19-cv-07059
	Frank Quinones	2:19-cv-07065
	Sharon Raabe	2:19-cv-07069
	Judith Robertson	2:19-cv-07082
	Martina Ramirez	2:19-cv-07083
	James Randolph	2:19-cv-07104
	Debbie M. Rankin	2:19-cv-07111
	April Rondeau	2:19-cv-07119
	Ruth Roozing-Grimsrud	2:19-cv-07126
	Manuel G. Rodriguez	2:19-cv-07137
	David B. Zarosky	2:19-cv-07139
	Brandi Peebles	2:19-cv-07166
1036	David Pennypacker, as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Cynthia Pennypacker, Deceased	2:19-cv-07175
1037 6	Eric D. Perkins	2:19-cv-07188
1038	Michael Scadden	2:19-CV-07191
10391	Claudia Ortega, as the Proposed Administrator of the Estate of Josefina Silva, deceased	2:19-cv-07201
	William Schiffert	2:19-cv-07203
1041 9	Sydney B. Silver	2:19-cv-07206
	Darlet A. Simile	2:19-cv-07208
1043 F	Bruce E. Simmons	2:19-cv-07210

# Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 40 of 43 PageID: 421 Exhibit A

1011		2.40 07044
	Mary M. Simmons	2:19-cv-07214
	Ronald A. Simmons	2:19-cv-07216
	Sheryl D. Simpson	2:19-cv-07218
	Theresa Sipler	2:19-cv-07222
	Ben Schwartz	2:19-cv-07238
1049	Robert Smith	2:19-cv-07247
1050	Rita Scott, As Proposed Representative of the Estate of Melvern Scott, deceased	2:19-cv-07250
1051	Nancy Rouseau	2:19-cv-07267
1052	Lisa C. Rowlette	2:19-cv-07272
1053	Amanda Scrimpsher	2:19-cv-07300
1054	Roger Zickefoose	2:19-cv-07306
	Scott E. Shaner	2:19-cv-07348
1056	Raymond L. Shaner	2:19-cv-07349
	Anita L. Shank	2:19-cv-07352
	Carla A. Smith	2:19-cv-07358
	Debra Sheffey	2:19-cv-07372
	Gloria Sheppard	2:19-cv-07382
	Patricia A. Smith	2:19-cv-07383
	Andrew Sherrod	2:19-cv-07388
	Sharon Smith	2:19-cv-07389
	Valorie Sherrod	2:19 cv 07309
	Annette H. Shook	2:19 cv 07350
	Ysleta Smith	2:19-cv-07403
	Arlene Sidenstick	2:19-cv-07403
	David A. Soliz	2:19-cv-07493
	Gilbert J. Sosa	2:19-cv-07493
		2:19-cv-07509
	Christina Spaulding Heidi McGee	2:19-cv-07516
	Troy McKelvy	2:19-cv-07521
	Shanda M. Meacacke	2:19-cv-07543
	Alan R. Sussman	2:19-cv-07552
	Wendy Swartz	2:19-cv-07555
	Brenda Swift	2:19-cv-07558
	Dawn Takacs	2:19-cv-07560
	Kermit E. Tate	2:19-cv-07563
	Tony E. Taylor	2:19-cv-07569
	Ronald Perrin	2:19-cv-07572
	Barbara A. Rauenzahn	2:19-cv-07574
1082	Janet Reardon	2:19-cv-07580
	Elspeth A. Teed	2:19-cv-07584
1084	Michael B. Tenore	2:19-cv-07587
1085	Ruby M. Terrasas	2:19-cv-07589
1086	Pamela D. Terry	2:19-cv-07590
1087	Miriam Thomas	2:19-cv-07597
1088	Willie Thomas	2:19-cv-07600
1089	Zoanthony M. Thomas	2:19-cv-07601

# Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 41 of 43 PageID: 422 Exhibit A

1090	Kim Sposato	2:19-cv-07621
1091	Dean St. John	2:19-cv-07624
1092	Diane Robinson, As Proposed Representative of the Estate of James Stacker, Deceased	2:19-cv-07627
	Daniel M. Russell	2:19-cv-07633
	Carrie L. Stark	2:19-cv-07634
	Courtney Stark	2:19-cv-07636
	Rose Starr	2:19-cv-07639
	Sally D. Reed	2:19-cv-07642
	Gail E. Sachs	2:19-cv-07653
	Sandra Steen	2:19-cv-07658
	Sheila K. Sain	2:19-cv-07661
	Yvette Sanders	2:19-cv-07673
1102	Vashon Stephens	2:19-cv-07676
	Sonja F. Anthony	2:19-cv-07681
	Madge E. Reed	2:19-cv-07701
	Dea Reed	2:19-cv-07707
	Linda K. Reed	2:19-cv-07717
1107 9	Shasta Cook	2:19-cv-07725
1108	Norma Fuentes	2:19-cv-07739
1109	Donna J. Renard	2:19-cv-07763
1110	Mark E. Lynch	2:19-cv-07771
	Tammy Sateriale	2:19-cv-07793
1112	Arnoldo Sauceda	2:19-cv-07799
1113	Rodney Stewart	2:19-cv-07800
1114	Ricky Stewart	2:19-cv-07804
1115	Nicholas Savini	2:19-cv-07825
1116	Lennie Stowes	2:19-cv-07851
1117 .	Joan V. Streek	2:19-cv-07857
11181	Fred Stuhlemmer, As Proposed Representative of the Estate of Leah Stuhlemmer, Deceased	2:19-cv-07864
1119	Eric T. Whitfield	2:19-cv-07871
1120	Susan Reitz	2:19-cv-07879
1121	Nowell E. Renth	2:19-cv-07884
1122	Silvia Retana	2:19-cv-07886
1123	Kevin Wiggs	2:19-cv-07893
1124 9	Sylvia Thrower	2:19-cv-07898
1125 I	Mona L. Timms	2:19-cv-07901
1126	Robert W. Tonini	2:19-cv-07908
1127	leffrey L. Montgomery	2:19-cv-07929
1128	Linda Palafox	2:19-cv-07955
	Mary N. Vieyra	2:19-cv-07990
1130	Mary Vincent	2:19-cv-08003
1131 (	Geraldine Virges	2:19-cv-08004
	Carmen Vitello	2:19-cv-08007
1133	Lois Torres	2:19-cv-08013
1134	Randy E. Totenhagen	2:19-cv-08017

#### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 42 of 43 PageID: 423 Exhibit A

440-	12 - L - L - MPIC	2.40 00000
	Kimberly Wilfong	2:19-cv-08028
	Michael Waddy	2:19-cv-08035
	Kimberly A. Willhite	2:19-cv-08043
	Jeanette R. Wadholm-Williams	2:19-cv-08045
	Brycelynn Wakkukait	2:19-cv-08095
	Bonnie S. Walburn	2:19-cv-08097
	Dee N. Trejo	2:19-cv-08141
	Donna M. Tritto	2:19-cv-08150
	Arthur L. Waller	2:19-cv-08151
	Joseph Walsh	2:19-cv-08155
	Wanda J. Turnage	2:19-cv-08200
1146	Donald Turnbow	2:19-cv-08202
1147	Donald W. Vanadore Jr.	2:19-cv-08253
1148	Roberta L. Vankuren	2:19-cv-08259
1149	Linda I. Ruffin	2:19-cv-08279
1150	Jerome G. Washington	2:19-cv-08291
1151	Cherry Watson as Proposed Representative of the Estate of Gary E.	2:19-cv-08323
1151	Watson, Deceased	2:19-00-08323
1152	Betty Webb	2:19-cv-08430
1153	Peggy Wehr	2:19-cv-08436
1154	Daniel E. Varner	2:19-cv-08449
1155	Maria Welch	2:19-cv-08503
1156	Jimmy Welch	2:19-cv-08505
	Cody Weldon	2:19-cv-08506
	Cornelius Westbrook	2:19-cv-08509
1159	Audrey M. Werner	2:19-cv-08547
	Kathleen West	2:19-cv-08562
1161	Joseph White Sr.	2:19-cv-08573
	Sandra E. White	2:19-cv-08601
1163	Robert Acosta	2:19-cv-08709
	Eugene Fisher	2:19-cv-08838
	Mary Bellmore, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of	
1165	Donn Bellmore, Deceased	2:19-cv-10047
1166	Katie Ware	2:19-cv-10141
	Michael Davis	2:19-cv-11777
	Dennis Thompson	2:19-cv-12040
	Janet Burau	2:19-cv-12611
	Rose Campbell	2:19-cv-12613
	Betty Jessie	2:19-cv-12618
	William Sayles	2:19-cv-12628
	Robert Brantley	2:19 cv 12020
	Brenda Kellam	2:20-cv-07294
	Kathleen Anderson	2:20-cv-07343
	Sandra Loesche	2:20-cv-07344
	Alex Montiel	2:20-cv-07345
	Dolores Payne	2:20-cv-07343
	Glenda Kelsey	2:20-cv-20741
11/9		2.20-67-20/41

### Case 2:18-cv-06134-CCC-LDW Document 18 Filed 04/27/23 Page 43 of 43 PageID: 424 Exhibit A

	1180	Sheila Kindoll	2:20-cv-20742
Γ	1181	Linda K Shierling	2:20-cv-20743