LIVINGSTON v. WILLIAMS Doc. 24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

BRADLEY TALMADGE LIVINGSTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

MERLYN WILLIAMS,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

24-1056 (CCC) (LDW)

ORDER VACATING DEFAULT

THIS MATTER having come before the Court by way of defendant Merlyn Williams'
Motion to Vacate Clerk's Entry of Default (ECF No. 19); and

WHEREAS in a complaint filed March 8, 2024, *pro se* plaintiff Bradley Talmadge Livingston alleges that defendant Williams "destroyed my mail and throwing away my important documents and belongings looking through my personal information." (ECF No. 1); and

WHEREAS Williams was served with the summons and complaint on April 14, 2024, making her answer due on May 6, 2024. (ECF No. 13); and

WHEREAS *pro se* plaintiff requested Clerk's Entry of Default against defendant Williams, and the Clerk of Court entered default pursuant to Rule 55(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on May 7, 2024, one day after her answer was due. (ECF No. 14); and

WHEREAS *pro se* plaintiff moved for entry of Default Judgment one day later, on May 8, 2024. (ECF No. 8); and

WHEREAS on June 1, 2024, less than one month after her answer was originally due, defendant appeared by counsel and filed the instant Motion to Vacate Default. (ECF No. 19); and

WHEREAS by letter dated June 1, 2024, *pro se* plaintiff opposes vacatur "due to the disorderly conduct of defendant," by which the Court understands plaintiff to be accusing defendant of dodging service of process. (ECF No. 20); and

WHEREAS pursuant to Rule 55(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court "may set aside an entry of default for good cause."; and

WHEREAS the decision whether to set aside entry of default rests within the Court's discretion, and that discretion is guided by the Third Circuit's strong preference that cases be decided on the merits. *United States v. \$55,518.05 in U.S. Currency*, 728 F.2d 192, 194-95 (3d Cir. 1984) ("[T]his court does not favor entry of defaults or default judgments. We require doubtful cases to be resolved in favor of the party moving to set aside the default judgment so that cases may be decided on their merits." (quotation omitted)); and

WHEREAS the Court considers four factors to determine whether good cause exists to vacate default: "(1) whether lifting the default would prejudice the plaintiff; (2) whether the defendant has a *prima facie* meritorious defense; (3) whether the defaulting defendant's conduct is excusable or culpable; and (4) the effectiveness of alternative sanctions." *Emcasco Ins. Co. v. Sambrick*, 834 F.2d 71, 73 (3d Cir. 1987); and

WHEREAS this action has been pending for only three months, and there is no suggestion that vacating default at this early stage and requiring *pro se* plaintiff to pursue the action on the merits would prejudice him in any way; and

WHEREAS defendant has proffered several potentially meritorious defenses, namely that the complaint fails to state a claim for any cognizable civil cause of action or provide a basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction. (Cintron Cert. ¶¶ 9-19, ECF No. 19-3); and

WHEREAS defendant's delay in retaining counsel and responding to the complaint was

caused by financial difficulties, (Id. ¶ 22), which the Court finds to be excusable and not the result

of bad faith or culpable conduct; and

WHEREAS there is no need to impose any alternative sanctions given the brief duration

of defendant's delay in appearing and the lack of prejudice to pro se plaintiff; and

WHEREAS having considered the Certification of Mark J. Cintron in support of the

Motion to Vacate Default, pro se plaintiff's June 1, 2024 opposition, (ECF No. 20), as well as

three letters from plaintiff dated June 1 and 2, 2024, (ECF Nos. 21, 22, 23), the Court finds good

cause to vacate default; therefore,

IT IS on this day, June 5, 2024, **ORDERED** that:

1. Defendant's Motion to Vacate Entry of Default (ECF No. 19) is **GRANTED**.

2. Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 15) is **TERMINATED AS MOOT**.

3. Defendant shall answer, move, or otherwise respond to the complaint on or before **June**

14, 2024.

4. The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order on *pro se* plaintiff by U.S. Mail.

s/Leda Dunn Wettre

Hon. Leda Dunn Wettre

United States Magistrate Judge

3