



seeks to re-open the case.

III. Discussion

Administrative termination is often employed by a court to “get a case off its ‘plate’” pending some later occurrence that would make it ready to be heard. See Walsh Sec., Inc. v. Cristo Property Mgmt., No. Civ. A. 97-3496, 2006 WL 166491, at \*5 (D.N.J. Jan. 23, 2006). A court may order such a termination when the plaintiff is unavailable for a period of time. Caver v. City of Trenton, 420 F.3d 243, 252 n.6 (3d Cir. 2005) (terminating a proceeding because one of the plaintiffs was activated for military duty overseas). Such was the case here, as Plaintiff was anticipating undergoing surgery. Because the condition for the administrative termination is no longer applicable, the Court hereby orders the case to be re-opened.

IV. Conclusion

For the reasons given above, and for good cause shown,

It is on this 29th the day of September 2006,

**ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s Motion to Re-Open [8] is **GRANTED**.

s/Anne E. Thompson  
ANNE E. THOMPSON, U.S.D.J.