-LHG GAY v. MR. EARL Doc. 2

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MR. MAURICE GAY,

Civil Action No. 11-1319 (MLC)

Plaintiff,

aintlii,

v. : MEMORANDUM OPINION

:

MR. EARL,

Defendant.

erendant.

APPEARANCES:

Maurice Gay, Plaintiff pro se <a href="New Jersey State Prison, P.O. Box 861, Trenton, NJ 08625

COOPER, District Judge

Plaintiff, Maurice Gay, a prisoner confined at New Jersey State Prison, seeks to bring this civil action in forma pauperis, without prepayment of fees or security, asserting claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Civil actions brought <u>in forma pauperis</u> are governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915, which establishes certain financial requirements for prisoners who are attempting to bring a civil action or file an appeal <u>in forma pauperis</u>. A prisoner seeking to bring a civil action <u>in forma pauperis</u> must submit an affidavit, including a statement of all assets, which states that the prisoner is unable to pay the fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). The prisoner also must submit a certified copy of his inmate trust fund account statement(s) for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). The prisoner

must obtain this certified statement from the appropriate official of each prison at which he was or is confined. Id.

Even if the prisoner is granted <u>in forma pauperis</u> status, the prisoner must pay the full amount of the \$350 filing fee in installments.¹ 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). In each month that the amount in the prisoner's account exceeds \$10.00, until the \$350.00 filing fee is paid, the agency having custody of the prisoner shall assess, deduct from the prisoner's account, and forward to the Clerk of the Court an installment payment equal to 20% of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

Plaintiff may not have known when he submitted his complaint that he must pay the filing fee, and that even if the full filing fee, or any part of it, has been paid, the Court must dismiss the case if it finds that the action: (1) is frivolous or malicious; (2) fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (in forma pauperis actions); see 28 U.S.C. § 1915A (dismissal of actions in which prisoner seeks redress from a governmental defendant); 42 U.S.C. § 1997e (dismissal of prisoner actions brought as to prison

 $^{^1}$ Here, Plaintiff used an out-of-date form application which states that the filing fee is \$150 and which authorizes periodic withdrawals until the \$150 filing fee is paid. In fact, the filing fee is now \$350.

conditions). If the Court dismisses the case for any of these reasons, the installment payments of the filing fee are not suspended, and the prisoner is not permitted to get back the filing fee, or any part of it, that has already been paid.

If the prisoner has, on three or more prior occasions while incarcerated, brought in federal court an action or appeal that was dismissed on the grounds that it was frivolous or malicious, or that it failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, he cannot bring another action in forma pauperis unless he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Plaintiff here failed to submit a complete <u>in forma pauperis</u> application as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), (2), including a <u>certified</u> account statement. <u>See, e.g.</u>, <u>Tyson v. Youth</u>

<u>Ventures, L.L.C.</u>, 42 Fed.Appx. 221 (10th Cir. 2002); <u>Johnson v.</u>

United States, 79 Fed.Cl. 769 (2007).

The allegations of the Complaint do not suggest that Plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in <u>forma pauperis</u> will be denied without prejudice and the Clerk of the Court will be ordered to administratively terminate this action, without filing the

complaint or assessing a filing fee. Plaintiff will be granted leave to move to re-open within 30 days.²

An appropriate Order will be entered.

s/ Mary L. Cooper

MARY L. COOPER

United States District Judge

Dated: March 16, 2011

² Such an administrative termination is not a "dismissal" for purposes of the statute of limitations, and if the case is re-opened pursuant to the terms of the accompanying Order, it is not subject to the statute of limitations time bar if it was originally filed timely. See Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988) (prisoner mailbox rule); McDowell v. Del. State Police, 88 F.3d 188, 191 (3d Cir. 1996); see also Williams-Guice v. Bd. of Educ., 45 F.3d 161, 163 (7th Cir. 1995).