


In *Mrazek v. Stafford Township*, No. 13-1091 (D.N.J. Sept. 28, 2016), and *Smith v. Mcvey*, No. 14-5945 (D.N.J. Sept. 28, 2016) (collectively, the “*Consolidated Decision*”), the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson, U.S.D.J., analyzed cases involving similar procedural and substantive due process claims. The Court finds Judge Wolfson’s reasoning persuasive and adopts it for purposes of this decision. In the *Consolidated Decision*, Judge Wolfson found that neither the Stafford Township Resolutions nor N.J.S.A. 40A:14-129 gives rise to a “property interest.” *Consolidated Decision* at 15. Judge Wolfson, therefore, dismissed the plaintiffs’ procedural due process claims. *Id.* Similarly, Judge Wolfson reasoned that substantive due process claims require “property interests” that are “fundamental” under the United States Constitution. *Id.* at 22. Judge Wolfson accordingly determined that there was “no basis [upon which] to find that the right[s] [claimed by plaintiffs] are in fact ‘fundamental.’” *Id.*

For the reasons set forth above, and other good cause shown, the Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED. An order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion will be entered.

Dated: September ^{30th} , 2016



MICHAEL A. SHIPP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE