UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DEBORAH WEST, on behalf of herself and those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 1:22-cv-00209-DHU-JHR

BAM! PIZZA MANAGEMENT, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DISMISSING OPT-IN PLAINTIFFS WITHOUT PREJUDICE

This matter is before the Court on Defendants Motion to Compel Arbitration of Opt-in Plaintiffs' Claims (Doc. 95) and Plaintiff's Response in Non-Opposition to Defendants' Motion

to Compel Arbitration (Doc. 101). Having carefully considered the motion, the parties'

arguments, and the relevant law, the Court concludes that the motion will GRANTED in part

and **DENIED** in part.

Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201

et seq., the New Mexico Minimum Wage Act, N.M. Stat. Ann. § 50-4-22, and that Defendants

were unjustly enriched by failing to compensate Domino's Pizza delivery drivers for minimum

wages by not reimbursing drivers for delivery-related expenses.

After the filing of the complaint, four individuals - Hattie Wotherspoon, Mark

Bussanma, Joshua Collins, and Bryce Suggs ("the Opt-in Plaintiffs") – opted into this action. On

January 11, 2023, Defendants filed the instant motion to compel individual arbitration of the

Opt-In Plaintiffs claims under the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1-16. According to

Defendants, the Opt-in Plaintiffs waived their right to participate in a collective action by signing

valid and enforceable arbitration agreements and, as such, the Opt-in Plaintiffs' claims should be

dismissed "with prejudice." Doc. 95.

Plaintiff responded that she agrees to with dismissing the Opt-in Plaintiffs claims, but that

the dismissal should be without prejudice. According to Plaintiff, "since the Court has not ruled

on the merits of the opt-in Plaintiffs' claims, and because the opt-in Plaintiffs intend to proceed

with their claims in arbitration, a dismissal with prejudice would not be appropriate." Doc. 101 at

2.

The Court agrees with Plaintiff. First, Defendants cited no legal authority that dismissal

with prejudice is required or necessary when a claimant pursues individualized arbitration.

Second, given that the Court has not adjudicated the merits of the Opt-in Plaintiffs claims, it

would be inappropriate at this stage to dismiss the Opt-in Plaintiffs claims with prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants Motion to Compel Arbitration of

Opt-in Plaintiffs' Claims (Doc. 95) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. The claims of

Opt-in Plaintiffs Hattie Wotherspoon, Mark Bussanma, Joshua Collins, and Bryce Suggs are

dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

IOM. DAVID HERRERA URIAS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2