Khan v. Barela et al Doc. 133

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

ERIK KHAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

No. CV 15-01151 MV/SMV

CHRIS BARELA, BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DONA ANA COUNTY, ARAMARK CORPORATION, CORIZON MEDICAL SERVICES, ELVA BRIGHT, BILL STICKLES, JOHN DOE (BEAM), JASON DURAN, and TAMMY RUSH,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's *pro se* Motion to Dismiss Action Against David Beam and Bill Stickles [Doc. 130]. Neither Beam nor Stickles has filed a response in opposition to the Motion. The Court finds that the Motion is well-taken and shall be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED as follows:

- (1) This action is dismissed with prejudice as against David Beam and Bill Stickles pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- (2) Defendants David Beam and Bill Stickles' Rule 12(b)(1) and Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint [Doc. 112] is found as moot; and
 - (3) The Clerk of this Court shall terminate Beam and Stickles from this action.

HONORAZZE MARTHA VÁZQUEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE