Torres v. Clovis Police et al Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

CARLOS JESUS TORRES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. CV 19-00166 RB/SCY

CLOVIS POLICE, CURRY COUNTY DETENTION CENTER,

for failure to comply with a Court order and failure to prosecute.

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court *sua sponte* under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) on the Civil Rights Complaint Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 filed by Plaintiff Carlos Jesus Torres on February 28, 2019. (Doc. 1). The Court will dismiss the Complaint without prejudice

The record reflects that certain mailings to Plaintiff were returned as undeliverable. (Docs. 6; 7.) The Court's research indicates that Plaintiff was released from the custody of the Curry County Detention Center on April 4, 2019. It appears that Plaintiff has been transferred or released from custody without advising the Court of his new address, as required by D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.6, thus severing contact with the Court.

The Court issued an Order to Show Cause on September 4, 2019, directing Plaintiff to notify the Court of a new address, or otherwise show cause why the case should not be dismissed, within 30 days of entry of the Order. (Doc. 8.) More than 30 days has elapsed since entry of the Order to Show Cause and Plaintiff has not provided the Court with a new address, responded to the Court's Order, or otherwise shown cause why the case should not be dismissed.

Pro se litigants are required to follow the federal rules of procedure and simple,

nonburdensome local rules. See Bradenburg v. Beaman, 632 F.2d 120, 122 (10th Cir. 1980). The

local rules require litigants, including prisoners, to keep the Court apprised of their proper mailing

address and to maintain contact with the Court. D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.6. Plaintiff has failed to comply

with D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.6 and with the Court's April 19, 2019 Order to Show Cause.

Plaintiff Torres has failed to comply with the Court's order and failed to prosecute this

action by not keeping the Court apprised of his current address. The Court may dismiss an action

under Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute, to comply with the rules of civil procedure, or to comply

with court orders. See Olsen v. Mapes, 333 F.3d 1199, 1204, n.3 (10th Cir. 2003). Therefore, the

Court will dismiss this civil proceeding pursuant to Rule 41(b) for failure to comply with the

Court's Order and failure to prosecute this proceeding.

IT IS ORDERED that the Civil Rights Complaint Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 filed by

Plaintiff Carlos Jesus Torres (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice under Fed. R. Civ. P.

41(b) for failure to comply with the Court's Order and failure to prosecute.

ROBERT C. BRACK

SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

2