



- a) the Claimant has identified a person with precisely the same name as the Account Owner, or the Claimant has accurately identified a person with substantially the similar name as the Account Owner or a credible pseudonym, and where applicable, has provided a plausible explanation for the difference in names; and
- b) the CRT is satisfied that the information provided by the Claimant is consistent with unpublished information in the bank records...”

In these 20 cases the CRT has determined, and the Special Master has confirmed on appeal, that the Claimant was not able to identify his or her relative as the Account Owner or as the Power of Attorney Holder. Accordingly, these appeals are dismissed. Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the 20 Decisions on Appeal listed in Annex A are hereby approved and shall be distributed to the relevant Claimants by the CRT.

It is further ordered that the Special Master shall provide the Court with the name and address of every Claimant receiving a Decision on Appeal, which information shall be filed with the Court under seal.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
May 8, 2009

SO ORDERED:

  
s/ ERK

---

Edward R. Korman  
United States District Judge