

This Document Relates to: All Cases

Λ

has provided a plausible explanation for the difference in names; and

- b) the CRT is satisfied that the information provided by the Claimant is consistent with unpublished information in the bank records...”

In all these 15 cases the CRT has determined that the claimants failed to meet the criteria as described in Article 22(2) of the Rules and that no accounts could be located in the Account History Database belonging to any person or entity listed in the submitted Claims. Accordingly, these claims are considered closed.

With this sixty-second set, a total of 89,851 “No Match” Decisions will thus far have been approved under the CRT.

Annex A attached to this Order lists the 15 “No Match” Decisions certified as such by the CRT because these Claims failed to meet the criteria for making awards, as defined by Article 22(2) of the Rules.


Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the 15 “No Match” Decisions listed in Annex A are hereby approved and shall be distributed to the relevant Claimants by the CRT.

It is further ordered that the CRT shall provide the Court with the name and address of every Claimant receiving a No Match Decision, which information shall be filed with the Court under seal.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
January __, 2010

SO ORDERED:


Edward R. Korman
United States District Judge