Winfield v. DeRasso et al Doc. 262

FILED CLERK

6/6/2018 11:39 am

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----X JOAQUIN R. WINFIELD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

<u>ORDER</u> 07-CV-04570 (JMA)(AYS)

ROBERT DEROSSO, Sgt. JOHN DOE, Sgt. NICHOLAS DESIMONE, WESLEY BEDNOSKY, DENNIS HORL, & SUFFOLK COUNTY,

Defendant.

-----X

AZRACK, District Judge:

Before the Court is a May 14, 2018 Report and Recommendation ("R&R") from Magistrate Judge Shields recommending that defendants' motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust be denied. Defendants have not objected to the R&R.

In reviewing a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, the court must "make a <u>de novo</u> determination of those portions of the report or . . . recommendations to which objection[s][are] made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); <u>see also Brown v. Ebert</u>, No. 05–CV–5579, 2006 WL 3851152, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2006). The court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Those portions of a report and recommendation to which there is no specific reasoned objection are reviewed for clear error. <u>See Pall Corp. v. Entegris, Inc.</u>, 249 F.R.D. 48, 51 (E.D.N.Y. 2008).

Having conducted a review of the full record and the applicable law, and having reviewed the R&R for clear error, the Court adopts Judge Shield's R&R in its entirety.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully requested to mail a copy of this Order to the $\underline{\text{pro}}$ se plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 6, 2018 Central Islip, New York

/s/ JMA JOAN M. AZRACK UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE