

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MIKHLYN, et al., * Case No. 08-CV-03367(CPS)

*

Plaintiffs, * Brooklyn, New York

* June 18, 2009

v. * 10:40 a.m.

*

BOVE, et al.,

*

Defendants.

*

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR PRETRIAL CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE RAMON E. REYES, JR. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

DANIEL AKSELROD, ESQ.

ERIC WERTHEIM, ESQ.

Van Mandel P.C.

80 Wall Street, Suite 1115

New York, NY 10005

For the Defendants:

BORIS KOGAN, ESQ.

Boris Kogan & Associates 277 Broadway, Suite 701

New York, NY 10007

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Fiore Reporting and Transcription Service, Inc. 67Elaine Drive Shelton, Connecticut 06484 (203)929-9992

```
1
        records from 2002? They want to look at gross sales and be
        able to verify it with their own eyes --
 2
 3
                  THE COURT: Well, the --
                  MR. KOGAN: -- and we would not be entitled to see
 4
        the customer list and the records of each customer --
 5
                 MR. AKSELROD: Customer lists are sensitive --
 6
                 MR. KOGAN: -- from 2002?
 7
                  THE COURT: That's --
 8
 9
                 MR. AKSELROD: Customer lists are always --
10
                 MR. KOGAN: It's our customers from 2002.
                 MR. AKSELROD: -- always considered to be a
11
12
        sensitive matter.
                  THE COURT: Okay. Well, that -- you might end up
13
       getting that at the end of the day. What you -- what the --
14
15
       you -- the preface to this discussion was you wanted to see
       the progression of the business, vis-a-vis the customer
16
17
       list. That can be done a number of ways.
18
                  It can be a proffer or it could be producing the
        customer list without the email addresses, because
19
       typically, at least with our -- my email systems both at
20
       home and at work, when I send out emails, it'll have the
21
       person's name and then it'll have the email address. So you
22
       can take off the email addresses. Their concern is that
23
       they'd be giving you their --
24
25
                  MR. AKSELROD: An instant --
```

```
THE COURT: -- their -- what they consider to be their customer list now and over time, and then your clients would then use that to solicit business. And that's the problem.

My preference would be, if possible, to give them
```

snapshots over time show -- to show how the customer list has grown with the names of the customers and redact the email addresses because the email addresses is the access.

I mean, they could -- theoretically I guess they could take the names and then do a search somehow and try to find email addresses and get to -- you know, but --

MR. AKSELROD: Or -- yes, or maybe we could just do like first initial and last -- I don't know, whatever. The names --

THE COURT: They're -- I think they're entitled to the information --

MR. AKSELROD: Okay.

THE COURT: -- in a way that can be controlled and I think this definitely whether it -- however it's redacted or produced is -- what was it --

MR. KOGAN: Attorney's eyes?

THE COURT: -- confidential business records or

whatever it is?

MR. KOGAN: Commercially sensitive?

THE COURT: Commercially sensitive documents. So