

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
VADIM MIKHLYN, et al.,	:	08-CV-3367 (ARR)(RER)
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	
-against-	:	<u>NOT FOR</u>
	:	<u>PRINT OR ELECTRONIC</u>
ANA BOVE, et al.,	:	<u>PUBLICATION</u>
	:	
Defendants.	:	<u>ORDER</u>
	:	
-----	X	

ROSS, United States District Judge:


The court has received the recommendations that the Honorable Ramon E. Reyes, United States Magistrate Judge, made on the record in a hearing he held in the instant case on January 4, 2011. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, the court has reviewed the recommendations for clear error on the face of the record. See Advisory Comm. Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); accord Edwards v. Town of Huntington, No. 05 Civ. 339 (NGG) (AKT), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50074, at \*6 (E.D.N.Y. July 11, 2007); McKoy v. Henderson, No. 05 Civ. 1535 (DAB), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15673, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 5, 2007). Having reviewed the record, I find no clear error. I hereby adopt the Judge Reyes' recommendations, in their entirety, as the opinion of the court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Therefore, the court denies both plaintiffs' motion for a default judgment against the corporate defendants for lack of counsel (see Dkt. No. 130) and defendants' motion to sever the intellectual property claims from the financial counterclaims (see Dkt. No. 145). Counsel for the

corporate defendants is directed to serve the individual defendants with this order.

SO ORDERED.

s/ ARR

  
Allyne R. Ross  
United States District Judge

Dated: January 31, 2011  
Brooklyn, New York