

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

EARL GOODWINE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER
CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

ORDER

12-CV-3882 (TLM) (JO)

JAMES ORENSTEIN, Magistrate Judge:

Plaintiff Earl Goodwine, appearing *pro se*, has filed a series of submissions requesting various forms of relief. *See* Docket Entry ("DE") 127 through DE 133. I resolved some similar requests for relief on the record at the conference before me on August 1, 2013, *see* DE 136 (transcript of proceedings) ("Tr."), but in the interest of a clear and complete record, I address each request anew as set forth below.

1. The plaintiff's motion for a signed response to his request for admissions from defendant Williams, DE 127, is denied. Unlike interrogatories, the answers to which must be signed under oath, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(3), (5), the rule governing requests for admissions does not require the responding party to sign its answers. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(a)(4); *see also* Tr. at 42-43.

2. I have already entered on the docket a protective order to which the parties have agreed. *See* DE 116. I therefore deny the plaintiff's request to sign a second order in a form to which the parties have not agreed. DE 128.

3. The plaintiff's request for a "picture of the inside and when they place this lock on the door," DE 129, is denied. The defendant has no obligation to create such a picture, and has already satisfied its disclosure obligations with respect to records in its possession.

