

1 several defendants in a timely manner.

2 On April 15, 2016, the undersigned referred plaintiff's motion to Judge Levy for
3 an R&R on whether Defendants' motion should be granted. Judge Levy issued his R&R
4 by electronic order. The R&R reviewed the parties' participation in the litigation and
5 evaluated the number of times that plaintiff and defendants appeared before this Court
6 and the magistrate judge. Magistrate Judge Levy determined that although "plaintiff's
7 counsel could have been more proactive in litigating this case," counsel did not engage
8 in sanctionable conduct, and defendant has not demonstrated prejudice.

9 In reviewing an R&R, a district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole
10 or in part, the findings and recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C.
11 § 636(b)(1)(C). If no timely objections have been made, the court "need only satisfy
12 itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." *Urena v. New York*, 160
13 F.Supp.2d 606, 609-10 (SDNY 2001) (internal marks and citation omitted).

14 Objections were due within fourteen days from the issuance of Magistrate Judge
15 Levy's R&R. The time for filing objections has expired, and no party has objected.
16 Accordingly, all objections are hereby deemed to have been waived.

17 Upon careful review and consideration, the Court finds Magistrate Judge Levy's
18 R&R to be comprehensive, well-reasoned, and free of clear error, and it ADOPTS the
19 R&R in its entirety.

II.

For the foregoing reasons, the Court adopts the R&R in its entirety.

SO ORDERED.

/S/ Frederic Block
FREDERIC BLOCK
Senior United States District Judge

Brooklyn, New York

August 25, 2016