UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-against-

MEMORANDUM & ORDER 15-CV-180 (NGG) (PK)

DONALD CALAMAN, TOBY CALAMAN, CITIBANK, N.A., RUTH CALAMAN, trustee on behalf of 121 MARLBOROUGH ROAD TRUST and THE NEW HAVEN TRUST, CAPITAL ONE BANK, NA, and NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE,

Defendants.

NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS, United States District Judge.

On January 13, 2015, the United States of America (the "Government") brought this action to enforce federal tax liens encumbering Defendant Donald Calaman's interest in certain real property. (See Compl. (Dkt. 1).) On March 15, 2016, the Government moved for a default judgment against Defendant Capital One Bank, NA ("Capital One") (the "Motion"). (See Mot. for Default J. (Dkt. 35).) The Motion requests that a default judgment be entered in favor of the Government and against Capital One declaring (i) that the Government's federal tax liens are superior to Capital One's interest in the real property located at 121 Marlborough Road, Brooklyn, New York (the "Marlborough Road Property") and in the proceeds of any sale of the Marlborough Road Property, and (ii) that Capital One's interest in the Marlborough Road Property shall be extinguished upon the sale of the property. (See Proposed Order (Dkt. 35-4).)

----X

By Order dated April 5, 2016, the court referred the Motion to Magistrate Judge Peggy Kuo for a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(1). (Apr. 5, 2016, Order Referring Mots.) On November 16, 2016, Judge Kuo issued an R&R recommending that the court deny the Government's Motion without prejudice because service on Capital One was not timely or, if service is found to be proper or its timeliness is cured, the court grant the Motion. (R&R (Dkt. 44) at 2.)

No party has objected to Judge Kuo's R&R, and the time to do so has passed. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). (<u>See also</u> R&R at 16 ("Written objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed within 14 days of service of this report. . . . Failure to file objections within the specific time waives the right to appeal any order or judgment entered based on this Report and Recommendation ").)¹ Therefore, the court reviews the R&R for clear error. <u>See Gesualdi v. Mack Excavation & Trailer Serv., Inc.</u>, No. 09-CV-2502 (KAM) (JO), 2010 WL 985294, at *1 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 15, 2010); <u>La Torres v. Walker</u>, 216 F. Supp. 2d 157, 159 (S.D.N.Y. 2000); <u>cf.</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Finding no clear error, the court ADOPTS the R&R in part and, in light of the fact that the Government has cured the service issues identified in the R&R, GRANTS the Government's Motion for Default Judgment against Capital One. <u>See Porter v. Potter</u>, 219 F. App'x 112 (2d Cir. 2007) (summary order).

I. DISCUSSION

a. Service on Defendant Capital One

"[D]efault judgment can only be contemplated if the court has jurisdiction over the defendant and the defendant has been served with process properly." <u>First Tennessee Bank Nat.</u> <u>Ass'n v. Thause</u>, No. 11-CV-2219 (NGG) (ALC), 2011 WL 4543869, at *2 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 28, 2011). Judge Kuo correctly found that

service on Capital One through [the Corporation Service Company ("CSC")] was valid under Federal Rule 4(h)(1)(B) [("Rule 4")] or the applicable New York law in that CSC is an 'agent authorized by

¹ On January 17, 2017, the Government filed proof of service of the R&R on Capital One. (Certificate of Serv. (Dkt. 52).)

appointment' to receive service of process on Capital One. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(h)(1). However, such service was made long after the May 13, 2015 cutoff date, and the docket sheet reflects that [the Government] never requested an extension of time to serve Capital One.

(R&R at 9-10.) After Judge Kuo issued the R&R, the Government conceded that service of process was not completed until after the Rule 4 deadline and moved for an extension of time to serve Capital One <u>nunc pro tunc</u>. (See Gov't's Mot. for Extension of Time (Dkt. 45).) Upon a showing of good cause, this court granted the <u>nunc pro tunc</u> extension. (Order (Dkt. 47).) Accordingly, the court finds that the service of process issues identified in the R&R have been cured, and Capital One has been properly served with the Summons and Complaint in this action.

b. Priority of the Government's Liens Against Donald Calaman Over Capital One's Interest in the Marlborough Road Property and Extinguishment of Capital One's Interest

Having concluded that the Government's untimely service was cured through a <u>nunc pro</u> <u>tunc</u> extension, the court next examines the substance of the Motion and whether the factual allegations in the Complaint "provide a proper basis for liability and relief." <u>See Rolls-Royce</u> <u>PLC v. Rolls-Royce USA, Inc.</u>, 688 F. Supp. 2d 150, 153 (E.D.N.Y. 2010) (citing <u>Au Bon Pain</u> <u>Corp. v. Artect, Inc.</u>, 653 F.2d 61, 65 (2d Cir. 1981)). Judge Kuo found that the Government's federal tax liens against Donald Calaman are superior to Capital One's interest in the Marlborough Road Property and recommended that any interest of Capital One in the Marlborough Road Property be deemed extinguished upon sale of the property.² (<u>See</u> R&R at 13-15.) Finding no clear error, the court adopts this portion of the R&R in full.

² On December 1, 2016, the court ordered the sale of the Marlborough Road Property. (Order (Dkt. 50).)

II. CONCLUSION

In light of the fact that the Government has cured the service issues identified in the R&R, the R&R is ADOPTED in part and the Government's Motion for Default Judgment against Capital One (Dkt. 35) is GRANTED. Capital One is hereby adjudged to have no right, title, claim, or lien interest in the Marlborough Road Property. The Clerk of Court is respectfully DIRECTED to enter judgment against Capital One in accordance with this Order.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York February <u>,</u> 2017 s/Nicholas G. Garaufis /NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS United States District Judge

Å