

C/M

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X  
 :  
 GLENN W. REBENSTORF, :  
 :  
 Plaintiff, :  
 :  
 - against - :  
 :  
 CORRECTION OFFICER JEFFREY GRANT, :  
 Shield # 18559, :  
 :  
 Defendant. :  
 ----- X

**ORDER**

15 Civ. 5784 (BMC)(MDG)

COGAN, District Judge.

This case is before me on *pro se* plaintiff’s objections to the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Marilyn D. Go, dated December 2, 2016, in which she recommended denial of plaintiff’s motion to vacate the parties’ written settlement agreement. Familiarity with the R&R is assumed, but to summarize, Judge Go recommended denial of the motion because plaintiff’s mental condition is not a sufficient basis for vacating the settlement agreement. Plaintiff has objected to the R&R on essentially the same grounds that he raised before Judge Go.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), I can “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” The standard of review applicable to this decision is *de novo*, id.; see U.S. v. Romano, 794 F.3d 317, 340 (2d Cir. 2015), except that the clear error standard applies if a party merely reiterates his original arguments. Chime v. Peak Sec. Plus, Inc., 137 F. Supp. 3d 183, 187 (E.D.N.Y. 2015); DePrima v. N.Y.C. Dep’t of Educ., No. 12-CV-3626, 2014 WL 1155282, at \*3 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 20, 2014).

Plaintiff raises no new arguments in his objections. There is no credible evidence that plaintiff was unable to understand the terms of the settlement agreement to which he voluntarily agreed. I therefore adopt the Report and Recommendation in full, and the motion to vacate is denied.

**SO ORDERED.**

Digitally signed by  
Brian M. Cogan

---

U.S.D.J.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
December 8, 2016