FILED

			IN CLERK'S OFFI US DISTRICT COURT	CE E.D.N.Y.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF NEW	200 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		★ DEC 1 3 201	7 *
		X :	BROOKLYN OF	FICE
SHERWIN THOMPSON,		: ,		
– against –	Petitioner,	:	MEMORANDUM DECISION AS ORDER	<u>ND</u>
SUPERINTENDENT, SING SIN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY,	lG	:	16 -cv-01711 (AMD) (LB)	
	Respondent.	: X		

ANN M. DONNELLY, District Judge.

The *pro se* petitioner, Sherwin Thompson, filed a petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his 2010 New York conviction for Manslaughter in the First Degree (N.Y. Penal Law § 125.20), Criminal Facilitation in the Second Degree (N.Y. Penal Law § 115.05), and two counts of Criminal Possession of a Weapon in the Second Degree (N.Y. Penal Law §§ 265.03(l)(b), (3)). The petitioner claims that the prosecutor made unfair appeals to his jury's sympathy and that the trial judge made a variety of errors, including permitting the admission of gruesome photographs, allowing the prosecutor to impeach her own witness and to introduce an eye-witness' show-up identification, and permitting another prosecutor to testify about the petitioner's statements in a proffer session. The petitioner also argues that his appellate lawyer was ineffective.

I referred the petition to Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom for a report and recommendation.

On November 21, 2017, Judge Bloom issued a thorough and well-reasoned Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), recommending that I deny the petition in its entirety. The R&R was

mailed to the petitioner on the same day. Since then, no party has objected to the R&R, and the

time to do so has passed.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or

recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). To accept those portions

of the R&R to which no timely objection has been made, "a district court need only satisfy itself

that there is no clear error on the face of the record." Jarvis v. N. Am. Globex Fund. L.P., 823

F.Supp.2d 161, 163 (E.D.N.Y. 2011) (internal quotation marks omitted).

I have reviewed the record and find no clear error in Judge Bloom's recommendation that

the petition be denied. Since the petitioner has failed to make a "substantial showing of the denial

of a constitutional right," a Certificate of Appealability shall not issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). The

Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and to close the case.

SO ORDERED.

s/Ann M. Donnelly

Ann M. Donnelly

United States District Judge

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

December 13, 2017

2