



Because Hill's complaint does not allege any facts suggesting Eastern District residences for the unidentified officers who allegedly assaulted him, venue here cannot be justified under § 1391(b)(1). Moreover, the Eastern District is not a proper venue under § 1391(b)(2) because the alleged assault took place, it is alleged, solely in New York County. *See id.* § 112(c). Section 1391(b)(3) does not come into play here because the Southern District would be a proper venue for this action under § 1391(b)(2). *See id.* § 112(b).

Rather than dismiss this case improperly initiated in this district, however, the Court will exercise its discretion, in the interest of justice, to transfer the case across the East River to its proper venue in the Southern District. *See id.* §§ 112(b), 1391(b)(2), 1406(a). In so doing, the Court offers no opinion on the merits of the complaint. Any ruling on plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, (Pl.'s Mot., ECF No. 2), is reserved for the transferee court.

#### Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, this case is hereby transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. No summons shall issue from this Court. That provision of Rule 83.1 of the Local Rules of the Eastern District of New York, which provides for a seven-day delay in the transfer of a case, is waived.

The Clerk of Court is therefore directed to transfer this case, to mail a copy of this Transfer Order to plaintiff and, following transfer, to close this docket for administrative purposes.

So Ordered.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
February 17, 2017

s/Eric N. Vitaliano  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ERIC N. VITALIANO  
United States District Judge