## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WATER WORKS REALTY CORP. and GARY MELIUS,

\_\_\_\_X

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

CV 08-0449 08-4754

-against-

(Wexler, J.)

HARRISON J. EDWARDS, WILLIAM GLACKEN, RENAIRE DAVIS-FRIERSON, WILLIAM WHITE, DONALD MILLER, JORGE MARTINEZ, and VILMA LANCASTER,

Defendants.

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
US DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y

\*

APPEARANCES:

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

Rosenberg Calica & Birney LLP By: Ronald J. Rosenberg, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Harrison J. Edwards, Esq. Defendant Pro Se c/o Edwards & Edwards 336 South Ocean Avenue Freeport, NY 11520

William F. Glacken Defendant Pro Se 152 N. Long Beach Avenue Freeport, NY 11530

Renaire Davis-Frierson Defendant Pro Se 164 Moore Avenue Freeport, NY 11520

Vilma Lancaster Defendant Pro Se

16\_

94 Brookside Avenue Freeport, NY 11520

Jorge Martinez Defendant Pro Se 80 Van Buren Avenue Freeport, NY 11520

Donald D. Miller Defendant Pro Se 160 West End Avenue Freeport, NY 11520

William H. White Defendant Pro Se 141 Garfield Street Freeport, NY 11520

WEXLER, District Judge

In a Memorandum and Order dated January 10, 2011, this court denied Defendants' motions to dismiss. The court noted that the assigned Magistrate Judge had vacated any stay of discovery and ordered that all discovery be completed on or before March 15, 2011 and that witness and exhibit lists are to be filed no later than March 31, 2011. Finally, the court ordered trial in this matter to commence with jury selection to take place at 8:30 A.M. on April 4, 2011, and that there would be no adjournments.

Following this court's order, the pro se Defendants requested that this court continue a stay previously entered in this matter. The requested stay seeks suspension of all proceedings pending decision on an appeal of the denial of an Article 78 proceeding which challenged the Village of Freeport's decision to terminate the defense and indemnification of the pro se Defendants herein. It appears that the decision to terminate the defense followed the pro se Defendants' decision to decline to participate in the settlement of this matter with the Village of Freeport.

The court has reviewed the parties' submissions and denies the request to reinstate the stay. It appears that the pro se Defendants' have not yet perfected the appeal of the denial of their Article 78 proceeding. This matter has already been unduly delayed by previous stays. In the event that the Appellate Division reverses the Article 78 decision, the pro se Defendants will be

able to recoup any expenses incurred in connection the with defense of this action.

While the court denies the request for a stay, the court directs Plaintiff's counsel to investigate the claim of Defendant Martinez who stated before this court and counsel that he was not a member of the Village of Freeport Board of Trustees during the time period relevant to this lawsuit. Plaintiff's counsel shall inform the court, within two weeks of the date of this order, as to whether he plans to continue this action against Defendant Martinez. All other requests for stays and /or dismissal are denied.

SO ORDERED.

CEONARD D. WEXLER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Central Islip, New York January 21, 2011