Isserlis v. Kirschenbaum et al Doc. 2

FILED CLERK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

9/6/2012 11:41 am

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

KENNETH KRISCHENBAUM, as Chapter 7 Trustee of the Estates of the Robert Plan of New York Corporation and the Robert Plan Corporation,

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION AND ORDER 10-MC-0674 (ADS)

Plaintiff,

-against-

Leeds Morelli & Brown, P.C. and Nancy Isserlis,

Defendants.

-----X

APPEARANCES:

Kirschenbaum & Kirschenbaum, P.C.

Attorneys for the Plaintiff 200 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530

By: Steven B. Sheinwald, Esq., Of Counsel

Lester & Associates, P.C.

Attorneys for the Defendant Leeds Morelli & Brown, P.C. 600 Old Country Road Suite 229 Garden City, NY 11530

Wayne Greenwald P.C.

Attorneys for the Defendant and Movant Nancy Isserlis 475 Park Avenue S-26th Floor New York, NY 10016

By: Wayne M. Greenwald, Esq., Of Counsel

SPATT, District Judge.

On August 25, 2008, the Debtors—the Estates of the Robert Plan of New York

Corporation and the Robert Plan Corporation—filed voluntary petitions for bankruptcy relief
pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 101, et seq. On September 15, 2008, the joint administration of the

Debtors' cases was ordered. On January 19, 2009, the Debtors' cases were converted to

liquidation cases, under Chapter 7 of 11 U.S.C. § 101, et seq. The Plaintiff, Kenneth

Kirschenbaum, was then appointed as the Chapter 7 Trustee of the Debtors' estates (the

"Trustee" or "Plaintiff"). On April 21, 2010, the Trustee commenced this action seeking to set

aside alleged voidable transactions, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 5441, 547, 548 and 550.

Thereafter, the Defendant Leeds, Morelli & Brown P.C. ("LMB") filed an answer, and

Nancy Isserlis served her answer to the Complaint with cross-claims against LMB, sounding in

professional malpractice. LMB then moved to dismiss the cross-claims, arguing that the

Bankruptcy Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over them. The Bankruptcy Court did not

decide the issue but rather offered Isserlis the opportunity to move to withdraw the reference of

this action to the Bankruptcy Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d). Isserlis filed the present

motion to withdraw the reference on October 21, 2010, and this motion is presently pending.

As a significant amount of time has passed since the initial filing of this motion, the

movant Isserlis is directed to file with the Court a letter advising as to the current status of the

relevant bankruptcy proceeding on or before September 21, 2012. If the motion to withdraw the

reference is not moot, and if any of the parties wish to oppose the motion, they are directed to do

so on or before October 12, 2012. A reply, if applicable, may be filed on or before October 26,

2012.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Central Islip, New York

September 6, 2012

/s/ Arthur D. Spatt ARTHUR D. SPATT

United States District Judge