

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U S DISTRICT COURT E D N Y

★ OCT 22 2012 ★

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UFCW
LOCAL 50 PENSION FUND,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DAVID DANIAROV, d/b/a COHEN'S KOSHER,

Defendant.
_____X

FEUERSTEIN, J.

ORDER
CV-11-5327(SJF)(WDW)

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") of Magistrate Judge William D. Wall, dated September 10, 2012, recommending that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure be denied. No objections have been filed to the Report. For the reasons stated herein, the Court accepts Magistrate Judge Wall's Report in its entirety.

I

Any portion of a report and recommendation on dispositive matters, to which a timely objection has been made, is reviewed *de novo*. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b). The court, however, is not required to review the factual findings or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to which no proper objections are interposed. See, Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150, 106 S.Ct. 466, 88 L.Ed.2d 435 (1985). To accept the report and recommendation of a magistrate judge on a dispositive matter, to which no timely objection has been made, the district judge need only be satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. See, Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b);

1

Johnson v. Goord, 487 F.Supp.2d 377, 379 (S.D.N.Y. 2007), aff'd, 305 Fed. Appx. 815 (2d Cir. Jan. 1, 2009); Baptichon v. Nevada State Bank, 304 F.Supp.2d 451, 453 (E.D.N.Y. 2004), aff'd, 125 Fed.Appx. 374 (2d Cir. 2005). Whether or not proper objections have been filed, the district judge may, after review, accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b).

II

No party has filed any objections to Magistrate Judge Wall's Report. Upon review, the Court is satisfied that the Report is not facially erroneous. Accordingly, the Court accepts Magistrate Judge Wall's Report in its entirety. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is denied.

SO ORDERED.

s/ Sandra J. Feuerstein

SANDRA J. FEUERSTEIN
United States District Judge

Dated: October 22, 2012
Central Islip, New York