UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
WALTER LEE ANDERSON,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11-CV-5663(SJF)(WDW)
MR. SPIZZIOTA, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE" and "JANE DOE," Nassau County Corrections, and NASSAU COUNTY CORRECTION OFFICERS,	
Defendants.	
SHAWN PETERSON,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11-CV-6200 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually and in his official capacity as Nassau County Sheriff, "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	ODDED
LAWRENCE MASON,	ORDER
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11-CV-6216 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	

X	
CECIL MYERS,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11-CV-6224 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	
TYRONE STEVENS,	
Plaintiff,	11-CV-6225 (SJF)(WDW)
-against-	11 0 1 0220 (001)(112 11)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	
RAFAEL ROSARIO,	
Plaintiff,	11 CV 6226 (SIE)(WDW)
-against-	11-CV-6226 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	

	X	
PAUL DAIL,	A	
Plaintiff,		11-CV-6227 (SJF)(WDW)
-against-		11 0 0227 (501)(112 11)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sh "JOHN DOE," Warden of Na "JOHN DOE," Superintender	ssau County Jail, and	
	Defendants.	
JOHN VALLEJOS,	X	
	Plaintiff,	11-CV-6228 (SJF)(WDW)
-against-		11 0 7 0220 (001)(112 11)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,		
	Defendants.	
DARRYL ELLERBE,	X	
	Plaintiff,	
-against-		11-CV-6231 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,		
	Defendants.	
ERICK ORTIZ,	X	
	Plaintiff,	11-CV-6233 (SJF)(WDW)
-against-		11 0 1 0233 (501)(112 11)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,		
	Defendants.	
	X	

X	
BARNEY RIVERA,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11-CV-6245 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	
BENJAMIN CRAWLEY,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11-CV-6246 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	
JOHNNIE FLOYD,	
Plaintiff,	11 OU (047 (01F) (NF) Y
-against-	11-CV-6247 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	
VINCENTE OLIVA,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11-CV-6261 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Warden of Nassau County, and "JOHN DOE," individually and in his official capacity as Superintendent of Nassau County,	
Defendants.	
Λ	

.

	X	
ALEXANDER GIL,		
Plaintif	f,	
-against-		11-CV-6262 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, individually capacity as Sheriff of Nassau County individually and in his official capacity Nassau County, and "JOHN DOE," i and in his official capacity as Superir Nassau County,	, "JOHN DOE," ty as Warden of ndividually	
Defend	ants.	
CHRISTOPHER BRIGGS,	X	
Plainti	ff,	11-CV-6263 (SJF)(WDW)
-against-		11-C v -0203 (531)(w D w)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau Co "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Na	ounty Jail, and	
Defend		
JOSEPH MICKENS,	X	
Plainti	ff,	11 CV 4245 (CIE)/WDW)
-against-		11-CV-6265 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau Co "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Na	ounty Jail, and	
Defend		
ARTHUR EILLIS,	X	
Plaintin	f,	11 CV (2(((GIF) (WDW)
-against-		11-CV-6266 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau Co "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Na	ounty Jail, and	
Defend		
	X	

.

	X		
RICKEY LYNCH,			
	Plaintiff,		
-against-		11-CV-6267 (SJF)(WDW)	
MICHAEL SPOSATO, indiv capacity as Sheriff of Nassau individually and in his officia Nassau County, "JOHN DOI his official capacity as Super County, and COUNTY OF N	County, "JOHN DOE," al capacity as Warden of E," individually and in intendent of Nassau		
	Defendants.		
KEVIN HERNANDEZ,	X		
	Plaintiff,	11 OV 6260 (CIP)/WDW)	
-against-		11-CV-6268 (SJF)(WDW)	
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sher "JOHN DOE," Warden of No "JOHN DOE," Superintende	assau County, and		
	Defendants.		
JOSE MOLINA,	Α		
	Plaintiff,	11 CV (20((CIE)/WDW)	
-against-		11-CV-6286 (SJF)(WDW)	
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,			
	Defendants.		
JAVON STEVEN GAMBLE	X E,		
	Plaintiff,	11 CV (225 (CVF) (VVP) VV	
-against-		11-CV-6325 (SJF)(WDW)	
"JOHN/JANE DOE," Nassau "JOHN/JANE DOE," Nassau and OFFICER DE STEFFAN Sheriff's Dept.,	u University Medical Center,		
	Defendants.		
	X		

. .

. .

	X	
NELSON CONTRERAS,	Χ	
Plaintiff,		12 CV 0150 (SIE)(WDW)
-against-		12-CV-0159 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sheri "JOHN DOE," Warden of Na "JOHN DOE," Superintender	ssau County, and	
	Defendants.	
GENRI ACOSTA,	Α	
	Plaintiff,	12-CV-0160 (SJF)(WDW)
-against-		12-6 7-0100 (531)(11/2 11/2
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,		
	Defendants.	
OTONIEL CASTILLO RECI		
	Plaintiff,	10 011 0171 (010)(111011)
-against-		12-CV-0161 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,		
	Defendants.	
VIDAL ESPINAL,	X	
	Plaintiff,	12-CV-0162 (SJF)(WDW)
-against-		12-CV-0102 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sheri "JOHN DOE," Warden of Na "JOHN DOE," Superintenden	ff of Nassau County, ssau County, and it of Nassau County,	,
	Defendants.	
	X	

	X	
LUIS UMPIERRE,	X	
	Plaintiff,	12 OV 0101 (CIP)(WPW)
-against-		12-CV-0181 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, as Sho "JOHN DOE," Warden, "JOH of Jail, and "JANE DOE," An Health, Inc. of Jail,	N DOE," Superintendent	
	Defendants.	
DION DELGADO,	A	
	Plaintiff,	12 OV 0102 (CIT)(WDW)
-against-		12-CV-0183 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sherif "JOHN DOE," Warden of Na: "JOHN DOE," Superintenden	ssau County Jail, and	
	Defendants.	
RONNIE DUREN,	Х	
	Plaintiff,	12 OV 0451 (CIP)(WDW)
-against-		12-CV-0451 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sherif Officers,	ff, and "JOHN DOE 1-3,"	
	Defendants.	
CHARLES RAYMOND MCC	11	
	Plaintiff,	10.01/.0450.(01E)(11E)17
-against-		12-CV-0452 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Nassa	u County Sheriff,	
	Defendant.	
CHRISTFUL FOUSE,	X	
	Plaintiff,	
-against-		12-CV-0644 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sherif "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nas "JANE DOE," Superintendent	f of Nassau County, sau County Jail, and of Nassau County Jail,	
	Defendants. X	

.

X	
RHAMEL LAWSON,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	12-CV-0645 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, Sheriff of Nassau County, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	
BASTIEN GERARD,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	12-CV-0638 (SJF)(WDW)
MICHAEL SPOSATO, "JOHN DOE," Warden of Nassau County Jail, and "JOHN DOE," Superintendent of Nassau County Jail,	
Defendants.	
FEUERSTEIN, District Judge:	

Between November 16, 2011 and the date of this order, the thirty-three (33) incarcerated pro se plaintiffs named above filed separate complaints pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("Section 1983") challenging the prison conditions at the Nassau County Correctional Center ("NCCC"), accompanied by, inter alia, applications to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiffs' financial status, as set forth in their declarations in support of their applications to proceed in forma pauperis, qualify them to commence the actions without prepayment of the filing fee.

Accordingly, plaintiffs' respective applications to proceed in forma pauperis are granted.

I. Consolidation of Actions

In their complaints and/or amended complaints, plaintiffs allege, *inter alia*: (1) the existence of unhealthy, unsanitary and/or hazardous conditions at the NCCC, including (a) unclean showers, sinks, toilets and cells, (b) faulty plumbing, (c) cells that are too cold, (d) bug and mouse infestation and (f) poorly prepared, unsanitary and unwholesome meals; (2) that their grievances and/or complaints about those conditions were ignored; and (3) that they are being housed with an inmate who has "full blown AIDS disease," which poses an unreasonable risk of harm to their health and safety. In addition, plaintiff Ronnie Duren ("Duren") contends, *inter alia*, that certain "John Doe" corrections officers "bang[] the cell doors daily at 6:30 a.m. as a means of waking inmates" and that gates are banged throughout the day "which is very loud and violent," (Duren Compl., ¶ IV); and plaintiff Charles Raymond McClendon ("McClendon") alleges that the roof is leaking at the NCCC and that "medical and dental evaluations aren't being met in a timely matter [sic]," (McClendon Compl., ¶ IV).

Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "[i]f actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may: * * * consolidate the actions; or issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay." See Devlin v. Transportation

Communications Intern. Union, 175 F.3d 121, 130 (2d Cir. 1999). District courts have broad discretion to determine whether consolidation is appropriate, see Johnson v. Celotex Corp., 899

F.2d 1281, 1284-1285 (2d Cir. 1990), and may consolidate actions under Rule 42(a) sua sponte.

See Devlin, 175 F.3d at 130. Consolidation "should be prudently employed as a valuable and important tool of judicial administration, * * *, invoked to expedite trial and eliminate unnecessary repetition and confusion." Devlin, 175 F.3d at 130 (internal quotations and citations

omitted). Nonetheless, although considerations of judicial economy generally favor consolidation, "[c]onsiderations of convenience and economy must yield to a paramount concern for a fair and impartial trial." <u>Johnson</u>, 899 F.2d at 1285; <u>see also Devlin</u>, 175 F.3d at 130 ("[E]fficiency cannot be permitted to prevail at the expense of justice * * *.") In determining whether consolidation is appropriate, the court must consider:

Whether the specific risks of prejudice and possible confusion are overborne by the risk of inconsistent adjudications of common factual and legal issues, the burden on parties, witnesses, and available judicial resources posed by multiple lawsuits, the length of time required to conclude multiple suits as against a single one, and the relative expense to all concerned of the single-trial, multiple-trial alternatives.

<u>Johnson</u>, 899 F.2d at 1285 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

All thirty-three (33) actions were recently filed and have not yet proceeded to discovery; all of the complaints allege similar unsanitary and hazardous conditions existing at the NCCC, indeed, many of the complaints and/or amended complaints are virtually identical; and there will be minimal, if any, prejudice or confusion to the parties in consolidating these actions.

Therefore, in the interests of judicial economy and efficiency, and to minimize the expense and burden on all parties in prosecuting and defending multiple lawsuits, the thirty-three (33) actions are consolidated for all pretrial purposes and for trial, which will be bifurcated on the issue of liability and damages, with leave for any party to seek to sever the actions for the purpose of any trial on the issue of damages within ten (10) days following the close of discovery in this consolidated action. The actions will henceforth proceed under docket number 11-cv-5663 (the "lead case"), all papers filed in these actions shall henceforth bear only the lead case docket number, the caption of this consolidated action shall be amended in accordance with this Order

and the actions assigned docket numbers other than the lead docket number shall be administratively closed with leave to reopen for the purpose of any trial on the issue of damages.

II. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, it is hereby:

ORDERED that plaintiffs' respective applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* are granted; and it is further,

ORDERED that the thirty-three (33) above-designated actions are consolidated for all purposes, including trial, to proceed under docket number 11-cv-5663 ("the consolidated action"), with leave to move to sever the actions for the purpose of any trial on the issue of damages within ten (10) days following the close of discovery in this consolidated action; and it is further,

ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall: (1) amend the caption of the lead case in accordance with this Order, (2) administratively close the actions assigned docket numbers other than the lead docket number, with leave to reopen as set forth herein and (3) forward to the United States Marshal for the Eastern District of New York copies of the summonses, complaints, and this order for service upon all named defendants without prepayment of the filing fee; and it is further,

ORDERED that when any *pro se* action relating to the subject matter of this consolidated action is hereafter filed in this Court, the Clerk of the Court shall: (1) assign such action a new case number ("newly-filed action") to proceed before the undersigned and Magistrate Judge

William D. Wall; (2) docket this order in each newly-filed action; (3) consolidate each newly-filed action with this consolidated action and make an appropriate entry on the consolidated action's docket so indicating; (4) mail a copy of this order to the plaintiff in the newly-filed action and so indicate on the consolidated action's docket; and (5) administratively close each newly-filed action; and it is further,

ORDERED that any party to a newly-filed action, i.e., the plaintiff filing the newly-filed action and any named defendant therein, may move to sever any claim raised in such newly-filed action that was not raised in the previous thirty-three (33) actions within thirty (30) days after the Clerk of the Court mails the plaintiff filing the newly-filed action a copy of this order, or they will be deemed to have waived their right to seek severance, except as otherwise provided herein, i.e., for trial on the issue of damages. Any plaintiff of a newly-filed action with a severed claim may proceed with such claim after the resolution of this consolidated action by moving to have the newly-filed action reopened within thirty (30) days of the entry of judgment in this consolidated action, or the severed claim will be deemed voluntarily withdrawn; and it is further,

ORDERED that the United States Marshal Service shall serve the summonses and complaints, together with a copy of this order, upon defendants without prepayment of the filing

¹ The actions consolidated herein, and any newly-filed action hereunder, are deemed "related" only to the extent that they relate to the subject matter of this consolidated action. Any future civil action commenced by any of the *pro se* plaintiffs in this consolidated action and any newly-filed action hereunder shall <u>not</u> be deemed "related" within the meaning of Local Civil Rule 50.3.1(e)(2) and, thus, shall <u>not</u> be automatically assigned to the undersigned unless such plaintiff has filed an action unrelated to the subject matter of this consolidated action that was previously assigned to me. Rather, any such future actions shall be either randomly assigned or automatically assigned to the district judge who presided over any prior action filed by such plaintiff.

fee; and it is further,

ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 77(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk of the Court shall serve notice of entry of this order upon all parties in accordance with Rule 5(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including mailing a copy of this order to each plaintiff's address of record pursuant to Rule 5(b)(2)(C).

The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of any appeal. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45, 82 S. Ct. 917, 8 L. Ed.2d 21 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Sandra J. Feuerstein

United States District Judge

Dated:

February 13, 2012

Central Islip, New York