UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

JOSE MEDRANO, DAMIAN J. BRUNSWICK, TYLER GOMES, and LAWRENCE S. YOUSHAH, ESQ., individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PAUL J. MARGIOTTA, ESQ., Executive Director, the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violation Agency; ALLEN S. MATHERS, ESQ., a Judicial Hearing Officer at the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violation Agency; PAUL H. SENZER, ESQ., a Judicial Hearing Officer at the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violation Agency; W. ALEXANDER MELBARDIS, ESQ., a Judicial Hearing Officer at the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violation Agency; ADAM S. HALPERN, ESQ., a Traffic Prosecutor at the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violation Agency; HOWARD FREEMAN, ESQ., a Traffic Prosecutor at the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violation Agency; JOHN LYNCH, ESQ., a Traffic Prosecutor at the Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violation Agency; and THE COUNTY OF SUFFOLK,

Defendants. -----AZRACK, United States District Judge:

For Online Publication Only

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

15-CV-3097 (JMA)(ARL)

FILED CLERK

3/3/2017 4:42 pm

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
LONG ISLAND OFFICE

this putative class action for civil rights violations under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the defendants named above. Defendants have moved to dismiss plaintiffs' amended complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). The Court referred the motion to dismiss to the Honorable Arlene R. Lindsay, United States Magistrate Judge, for a report and recommendation.

Plaintiffs Jose Medrano, Damian J. Brunswick, Tyler Gomes, and Lawrence Youshah bring

In a Report and Recommendation dated February 16, 2017 ("the R&R"), Magistrate Judge Lindsay

recommended that the motion to dismiss be granted.

No party has objected to the R & R, and the time for doing so has passed. When deciding

whether to adopt a report and recommendation, a district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in

whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1). "To accept the report and recommendation of a magistrate, to which no timely objection

has been made, a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record." Jarvis v. N. Am. Globex Fund, L.P., 823 F. Supp. 2d 161, 163 (E.D.N.Y.2011) (internal

quotation marks and citation omitted). The Court has reviewed the record and, finding no clear

error, adopts the R & R as the opinion of the Court. The Court grants defendants' motion to

dismiss. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

SO ORDERED.

Date: March 3, 2017

Central Islip, New York

/s/(IMA)

Joan M. Azrack

United States District Judge

2