

FILED  
CLERK

6/23/2017 4:45 pm  
For Online Publication Only  
**U.S. DISTRICT COURT**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**  
**LONG ISLAND OFFICE**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
MICHELE PRISCO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR INDUSTRIES GROUP, ET AL,

Defendants.

-----X  
**AZRACK, United States District Judge:**

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT**  
**AND RECOMMENDATION**  
15-CV-7340 (JMA)(AYS)

Plaintiff Michele Prisco brought this lawsuit against defendants Air Industries Group, Welding Metallurgy, Inc., Peter D. Rettaliata, and Gary Settoducato, advancing claims of employment discrimination. Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, and plaintiff filed a cross-motion to amend the complaint. The Court referred both motions to the Honorable Anne Y. Shields, United States Magistrate Judge, for a report and recommendation. In a Report and Recommendation dated June 8, 2017 (“the R & R”), Magistrate Judge Shields recommended that the motion for leave to amend be denied.

No party has objected to the R & R, and the time for doing so has passed. When deciding whether to adopt a report and recommendation, a district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). “To accept the report and recommendation of a magistrate, to which no timely objection has been made, a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record.” Jarvis v. N. Am. Globex Fund, L.P., 823 F. Supp. 2d 161, 163 (E.D.N.Y.2011) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). The Court has reviewed the record and, finding no clear error, adopts the R & R as the opinion of the Court. The Court denies plaintiff’s motion for leave

