

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**CATHY BARBER, STEVEN B. BARBER,
and STEVEN B. BARBER II,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**1:06-CV-630
(FJS/RFT)**

RLI INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

APPEARANCES

OF COUNSEL

OFFICE OF DANIEL A. EHRING
20 Corporate Woods Boulevard
Albany, New York 12211
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DANIEL A. EHRING, ESQ.

**KAUFMAN BORGEEST &
RYAN LLP**
200 Summit Lake Drive
Valhalla, New York 10595
Attorneys for Defendant

**JOAN M. GILBRIDE, ESQ.
LEONARD B. COOPER, ESQ.**

POWERS & SANTOLA, LLP
39 North Pearl Street
Albany, New York 12207-2785
Attorneys for Applicants

DANIEL R. SANTOLA, ESQ.

SCULLIN, Senior Judge

ORDER

Currently before the Court is Arthur Ceas, Cecelia Beaudin, Marleen M. Izzano, Adina Schultz and Katherine Morelli's ("Applicants") motion to intervene in this action. *See* Dkt. No.

32.¹ Plaintiffs do not oppose this motion and, in fact, filed papers in support thereof. *See* Dkt. No. 33. Defendant does not oppose the Applicants' motion "to the extent that it seeks the sole relief of intervention." *See* Dkt. No. 34 at 1.²

In light of the fact that the parties have no opposition to the Applicants' motion to intervene in this action, the Court hereby


ORDERS that Arthur Ceas, Cecelia Beaudin, Marleen M. Izzano, Adina Schultz and Katherine Morelli's motion to intervene in this action is **GRANTED**; and the Court further

ORDERS that the Clerk of the Court shall add Arthur Ceas, Cecelia Beaudin, Marleen M. Izzano, Adina Schultz and Katherine Morelli as intervenor-plaintiffs in this action; and the Court further

ORDERS that this matter is referred to Magistrate Judge Treece for all further pretrial matters, including the resolution of the Applicants' request to amend the complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 14, 2010
Syracuse, New York



Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.
Senior United States District Court Judge

¹ It also appears that the Applicants seek to amend the complaint in this action. This Order does not address that request.

² Defendant does, however, oppose the Applicants' request to amend the complaint. *See* Dkt. No. 34 at 5.