Hudson v. Barnhart Doc. 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BONNIE HUDSON, on behalf of S.G.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

1:06-CV-1342 (LEK/VEB)

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

Defendant.

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court following a Report-Recommendation filed on April 13, 2009, by the Honorable Victor E. Bianchini, United States Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and L.R. 72.3(c) of the Northern District of New York. Report-Rec. (Dkt. No. 15).

Within ten days, excluding weekends and holidays, after a party has been served with a copy of a Magistrate Judge's Report-Recommendation, the party "may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations," FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b), in compliance with L.R. 72.1. No objections have been raised in the allotted time with respect to Judge Bianchini's Report-Recommendation. Furthermore, after examining the record, the Court has determined that the Report-Recommendation is not subject to attack for plain error or manifest injustice.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED, that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 15) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED** in its **ENTIRETY**; and it is further

ORDERED, that the decision of the Commissioner be **REVERSED**, and that the case be

REMANDED to the Commissioner pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405 (g) for further administrative proceedings consistent with this Report and Recommendation; and it is further **ORDERED**, that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order on all parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 30, 2009

Albany, New York

Lawrence E. Kahn U.S. District Judge