# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TIMOTHY A. BARON,

Plaintiff,

v.

3:13-CV-153 (FJS/DEP)

DICK MILLER, in his official capacity as city Mayor, of the City of Oneonta, in his official capacity as President of the Board of Foothills Performing Center, Inc., of Oneonta, New York and in his individual capacity; TIMOTHY WEST, JR., New York State Trooper, in his individual capacity; JACOB LAKOMSKI, New York State Trooper, in his individual capacity; and FOOTHILLS PERFORMING ARTS CENTER, INC.,

#### Defendants.

**APPEARANCES** 

**OF COUNSEL** 

#### TIMOTHY A. BARON

Oneonta, New York 13820 Plaintiff *pro se* 

## LEMIRE JOHNSON, LLC

P. O. Box 2485 2534 Route 9 Malta, New York 12020 Attorneys for Defendant Miller GREGG T. JOHNSON, ESQ. APRIL J. LAWS, ESQ. MARY E. KISSANE, ESQ. TIMOTHY J. HIGGINS, ESQ.

# OFFICE OF NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

The Capitol Albany, New York 12224 Attorneys for Defendants West and Lakomski AARON M. BALDWIN, AAG

SCOTT W. BUSH, ESQ.

## CORRIGAN, McCOY & BUSH, PLLC

220 Columbia Turnpike Rensselaer, New York 12144 Attorneys for Defendant Foothills Performing Arts Center, Inc.

Center, Inc.

SCULLIN, Senior Judge

### **ORDER**

Currently before the Court is Magistrate Judge Peebles' April 29, 2014 Report and Recommendation, *see* Dkt. No. 68, and Plaintiff's objections thereto, *see* Dkt. No. 69.

After reviewing a magistrate judge's recommendations, the district court may accept, reject or modify those recommendations. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The court reviews de novo those portions of the magistrate judge's recommendations to which a party objects. See Pizzaro v. Bartlett, 776 F. Supp. 815, 817 (S.D.N.Y. 1991). ""If, however, the party makes only conclusory or general objections, . . . the Court reviews the Report and Recommendation only for clear error."" Salmini v. Astrue, No. 3:06-CV-458, 2009 WL 179741, \*1 (N.D.N.Y. June 23, 2009) (quoting [Farid v. Bouey, 554 F. Supp. 2d 301] at 306 [(N.D.N.Y. 2008)] (quoting McAllan v. Von Essen, 517 F. Supp. 2d 672, 679 (S.D.N.Y. 2007))). Finally, even if the parties file no objections, the court must ensure that the face of the record contains no clear error. See Wilds v. United Parcel Serv., Inc., 262 F. Supp. 2d 163, 169 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (quotation omitted).

In light of Plaintiff's conclusory objection to Magistrate Judge Peebles' recommendations, the Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Peebles' April 29, 2014 Report and Recommendation for clear error; and, finding none, the Court hereby

**ORDERS** that Magistrate Judge Peebles' April 29, 2014 Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED in its entirety** for the reasons stated therein; and the Court further

**ORDERS** that Defendants' motions to dismiss, *see* Dkt. Nos. 9, 12, 23, are **GRANTED**; and the Court further

**ORDERS** that the following of Plaintiff's claims are **DISMISSED** with leave to replead: (1) section 1983 claims against all Defendants, including the conspiracy claim asserted against all Defendants and the equal protection claim asserted against the Trooper Defendants;

(2) section 1981 claims against the City Defendants and Defendant Foothills; and (3) sections

2000 and 2000a-2 claims against Defendant Foothills; and the Court further

**ORDERS** that Plaintiff's CAT claim and his claims arising under section 2000a and

2000a-2, insofar as they seek monetary relief, are **DISMISSED** with prejudice; and the Court

further

**ORDERS** that the City Defendants' motion to strike certain portions of the amended

complaint, see Dkt No. 9, is **DENIED**; and the Court further

**ORDERS** that Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend, see Dkt. No. 24, is **GRANTED in** 

part, and Plaintiff is permitted to submit a revised second amended complaint for filing within

thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, subject to dismissal of all claims on behalf of

Plaintiff Nuphlo Entertainment, Inc., as well as those claims dismissed with prejudice; and the

Court further

**ORDERS** that the Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order on the parties in

accordance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 13, 2014

Syracuse, New York

Senior United States District Court Judge

uller

-3-