

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

**ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS**

**ASSN. 1** d/b/a Environmental  
Solutions Association,

**Plaintiff,**

**5:12-cv-944  
(GLS/DEP)**

**v.**

**PROFESSIONAL  
LABORATORIES, INC.,**

**Defendant.**

---

**SUMMARY ORDER**

Plaintiff Environmental Solutions Assn. 1, doing business as Environmental Solutions Association, commenced this action against defendant Professional Laboratories, Inc., alleging six causes of action, including copyright infringement, breach of contract and unjust enrichment. (See Compl., Dkt. No. 1.) Although service of the Complaint was made on June 20, 2012, (see Dkt. No. 6), Professional Laboratories failed to interpose an Answer. As such, Environmental Solutions filed a request for a Clerk's entry of default, which was entered on July 19, 2012. (See Dkt. Nos. 8, 9.) Now pending are Environmental Solutions' motion for default judgment, and Professional Laboratories' cross motion to, *inter alia*, set

aside the default under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(c)<sup>1</sup>. (See Dkt. Nos. 10,15.) For the reasons that follow, Environmental Solutions' motion is denied and Professional Laboratories' cross motion is granted.

Willfulness does not generally include conduct that is careless or negligent. *Am. Alliance Ins. Co. v. Eagle Ins. Co.*, 92 F.3d 57, 61 (2d Cir. 1996). Although Environmental Solutions argues otherwise, (see Dkt. No. 16 at 2-8), the court is unpersuaded that Professional Laboratories' improvident reliance on its former counsel was anything more than careless or negligent, (see Dkt. No. 15, Attach. 1 ¶¶ 21-37). Indeed, there is no indication that Professional Laboratories acted in bad faith in failing to timely defend. Furthermore, setting aside the entry of default will not prejudice Environmental Solutions. Prejudice results when delay causes "the loss of evidence, create[s] increased difficulties of discovery, or provide[s] greater opportunity for fraud and collusion." *Davis v. Musler*, 713

---

<sup>1</sup> The standard of review under Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(c) is well established and will not be repeated here. For a full discussion of the standard, the court refers the parties to its decision in *Gates v. Wilkinson*, No. 1:03-CV-763, 2005 WL 3115826, at \*1-2 (N.D.N.Y. Nov. 21, 2005). To the extent that Environmental Solutions seeks application of a more stringent standard under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b), (see Dkt. No. 16 at 2), the court—largely for the reasons discussed in Professional Laboratories' surreply, which is now accepted for filing, (see Dkt. No. 19, Attach. 1 at 1-2)—disagrees that the heightened standard is applicable at this juncture.

F.2d 907, 916 (2d Cir. 1983) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Here, discovery has not even commenced, and there is no indication that evidence has been lost.

Finally, Professional Laboratories not only attached its Answer to its motion papers, but in so doing, also presented evidence of meritorious defenses, including threshold challenges to the court's jurisdiction. (See Dkt. No. 15, Attach. 20.) "To satisfy the criterion of a meritorious defense, the defense need not be ultimately persuasive at this stage." *Niepoth v. Montgomery Cnty. Dist. Attorney's Office*, 177 F.R.D. 111, 113 (N.D.N.Y. 1998) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "A defense is meritorious if it is good at law so as to give the fact finder some determination to make." *Id.* (internal quotation marks citations omitted). Here, the defenses raised by Professional Laboratories are sufficient to set aside the entry of default. (See Dkt. No. 15, Attach. 20 ¶¶ 93-115.)

In sum, because Professional Laboratories has demonstrated that its default was not willful, Environmental Solutions will suffer no prejudice, and it may have a meritorious defense, the entry of default is vacated and the time in which to file an Answer is extended.

**ACCORDINGLY**, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that Professional Laboratories' request to file a surreply (Dkt. No. 19) is **GRANTED** and the attached surreply is deemed filed immediately; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Environmental Solutions' motion for default judgment (Dkt. No. 10) is **DENIED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Professional Laboratories' motion to set aside the Clerk's entry of default and to extend the time to file an Answer (Dkt. No. 15) is **GRANTED**; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Professional Laboratories shall file its proposed Answer within seven (7) days of the date of this Summary Order; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the parties shall contact Magistrate Judge Peebles in order to schedule further proceedings in accordance with this Summary Order; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk provide a copy of this Summary Order to the parties.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

November 9, 2012  
Albany, New York

  
Gary L. Sharpe  
Chief Judge  
U.S. District Court