UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ARKISHA K. HARRISON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

5:15-ev-0035 (LEK/CFH)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court following a Report-Recommendation filed on March 15, 2016, by the Honorable Christian F. Hummel, U.S. Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 72.3. Dkt. No. 17 ("Report-Recommendation").

Within fourteen days after a party has been served with a copy of a magistrate judge's reportrecommendation, the party "may serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings
and recommendations." FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); L.R. 72.1(c). If no objections are made, or if an
objection is general, conclusory, perfunctory, or a mere reiteration of an argument made to the
magistrate judge, a district court must review that aspect of a report-recommendation only for clear
error. Barnes v. Prack, No. 11-CV-0857, 2013 WL 1121353, at *1 (N.D.N.Y. Mar. 18, 2013); Farid
v. Bouey, 554 F. Supp. 2d 301, 306-07 & n.2 (N.D.N.Y. 2008); see also Machicote v. Ercole, No.
06 Civ. 13320, 2011 WL 3809920, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 25, 2011) ("[E]ven a *pro se* party's
objections to a Report and Recommendation must be specific and clearly aimed at particular
findings in the magistrate's proposal, such that no party be allowed a second bite at the apple by
simply relitigating a prior argument."). "A [district] judge . . . may accept, reject, or modify, in

whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

No objections were filed in the allotted time period. See Docket. Accordingly, the Court has reviewed the Report-Recommendation for clear error and has found none.

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 17) is APPROVED and ADOPTED in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED, that the decision of the Commissioner is **VACATED** and **REMANDED** for further proceedings consistent with findings of the Report-Recommendation; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk of the Court serve a copy of this Order on the parties in accordance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 05, 2016

Albany, New York

Lawrence E. Kahn

U.S. District Judge