Amberg v. Astrue Doc. 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FLOYD W. AMBERG,

Plaintiff,

-against-

6:08-CV-967 (LEK/VEB)

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court following a Report-Recommendation filed on May 24, 2010 by the Honorable Victor E. Bianchini, United States Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and L.R. 72.3 of the Northern District of New York. Report-Rec. (Dkt. No. 19). After ten days from the service thereof, the Clerk has sent the entire file to the undersigned, including the objections by Plaintiff Floyd W. Amberg, which were filed on June 2, 2010. Objections (Dkt. No. 20).

It is the duty of this Court to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). "A [district] judge . . . may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." Id. This Court has considered the objections and has undertaken a *de novo* review of the record and has determined that the Report-Recommendation should be approved for the reasons stated therein.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED, that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 19) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED** in its **ENTIRETY**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is **GRANTED**, that Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is **DENIED**, and that the decision of the Commissioner is **AFFIRMED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order on all parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 23, 2010

Albany, New York

Lawrence E. Kahn

U.S. District Judge