Pettus v. Richards et al Doc. 47

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JAMES PETTUS,

Plaintiff,

v.

9:06-CV-0030 (LEK/DRH)

CAPTAIN RICHARDS, Cayuga Correctional Facility, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**APPEARANCES** 

OF COUNSEL:

JAMES PETTUS 03-R-3597 Plaintiff, pro se

HON. ANDREW M. CUOMO New York State Attorney General Attorney for Defendants SENTA B. SIUDA, Esq. Assistant Attorney General

LAWRENCE E. KAHN United States District Judge

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff James Pettus commenced this action by filing a *pro se* complaint, and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, on January 10, 2006. Dkt. Nos. 1, 2. By Order of this Court filed on April 18, 2006, Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* application was granted and service of the complaint was directed. Dkt. No. 4. On October 30, 2007, Defendants filed a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) to revoke Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* status because Plaintiff had filed numerous prior frivolous lawsuits. Dkt. No. 25. Plaintiff opposed the motion and made a cross-motion for summary judgment. Dkt. No. 30. By Order of this Court filed on September 18, 2008, Plaintiff's cross-motion was denied and Defendants' motion to revoke Plaintiff's *in* 

forma pauperis status was granted. Dkt. No. 42. Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed without

prejudice as to all Defendants and all claims unless Plaintiff paid the full filing fee of \$250.00

within thirty days of the filing date of the September 18, 2008 Order. Id. On October 10, 2008,

Plaintiff appealed from the September 18, 2008 Order to the United States Court of Appeals for

the Second Circuit. Dkt. No. 43.

By Order dated February 27, 2009, issued as a Mandate on April 3, 2009, the Second

Circuit Court of Appeals dismissed Plaintiff's appeal and directed that "upon receipt of this

Court's mandate, the district court shall dismiss Appellant's case with prejudice and without

affording him the opportunity to pay the required filing fee." Dkt. No. 46.

WHEREFORE, in accordance with the Mandate of the United States Court of Appeals

for the Second Circuit, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) is **DISMISSED** with prejudice; and

it is further

**ORDERED**, that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), any appeal from this matter would

not be taken in good faith; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order on the parties in accordance with

the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

April 14, 2009

Albany, New York

Lawrence E. Kahn

U.S. District Judge

-2-