UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AARON TOMPKINS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

9:10-CV-1200 (LEK/RFT)

C.O. D. BEANE; et al.,

Respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter comes before the Court following a Report-Recommendation filed on September 27, 2013, by the Honorable Randolph F. Treece, U.S. Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Northern District of New York Local Rule 72.3. Dkt. No. 83 ("Report-Recommendation").

Within fourteen days after a party has been served with a copy of a magistrate judge's reportrecommendation, the party "may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); L.R. 72.1(c). "If no objections are filed . . . reviewing courts should review a report and recommendation for clear error." <u>Edwards v. Fischer</u>, 414 F. Supp. 2d 342, 346-47 (S.D.N.Y. 2006); <u>see also Cephas v. Nash</u>, 328 F.3d 98, 107 (2d Cir. 2003) ("As a rule, a party's failure to object to any purported error or omission in a magistrate judge's report waives further judicial review of the point."); <u>Farid v. Bouey</u>, 554 F. Supp. 2d 301, 306 (N.D.N.Y. 2008).

No objections to the Report-Recommendation were filed in the allotted time period. After a thorough review of the Report-Recommendation and the record, the Court has determined that the Report-Recommendation is not subject to attack for clear error or manifest injustice.

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 83) is **APPROVED** and

ADOPTED in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Court finds that special circumstances exist excusing Plaintiff Aaron Tompkins's failure to exhaust all administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1992e(a); and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk of the Court serve a copy of this Order upon the parties to this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 27, 2013 Albany, New York

Lawrence E. Kahn

U.S. District Judge