Mendez v. Amato et al Doc. 38

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
JOSHUA MENDEZ,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	9:12-CV-560
MICHAEL J. AMATO, Sheriff; MICHAEL FRANKO, Jail Administrator,	(TJM/CFH
Defendants.	
THOMAS J. McAVOY Senior United States District Judge	

DECISION and ORDER

I. INTRODUCTION

This pro se action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 was referred by this Court to the Hon. Christian F. Hummel, United States Magistrate Judge, for a Report and Recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 72.3(c). In his June 18, 2013 Report-Recommendation and Order, Magistrate Judge Hummel recommends that Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. # 23) be granted in part and denied in part. No objections to the Report-Recommendation and Order have been filed, and the time to do so has expired.

II. DISCUSSION

After examining the record, this Court has determined that the Report-Recommendation and Order is not subject to attack for plain error or manifest injustice.

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the Report-Recommendation and Order for the reasons stated therein. Defendants' motion for summary judgment [dkt. # 23] is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. In this regard, the motion is

- 1. **GRANTED** with respect to Mendez's claims regarding his condition of confinement, access to the law library and counsel, and freedom to practice his religion, and these claims are dismissed; and
 - 2. **DENIED** in all other respects.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 17, 2013

Thomas J. McKvoy Senior, U.S. District Judge